A globalização do conhecimento começou em Macau no século XVI quando os saberes do Oriente e do Ocidente se cruzaram nesta terra singular do Sul da China.

No século XXI, o intercâmbio cultural entre os dois mundos continua a ser a vocação de Macau.

A Revista de Cultura é o veículo dessa vocação.

Knowledge entered into an age of globalisation in Macao in the 16th century when the wisdoms of East and West met in this unique part of South China.

In the 21st century, Macao remains dedicated to cultural interchange between both worlds in a vocation maintained by Review of Culture.
A NOSSA CAPA
Uma série de interações mercantis, tecnológicas e sociais entre Europeus e Asiaticos nos mares do Sul da China teria criado “uma variante do modelo mediterrâneo” nas cidades portuárias do Sul e Leste da China no início da era moderna, como sugere, particularmente, o trabalho de Roderich Puk sobre um tema algo descurrido pelos investigadores: a circulação de animais e produtos de origem animal. A presente edição de Revista de Cultura oferece ainda novas leituras historiográficas sobre os jesuítas Tomás Pereira e Melchior Nunes Barreto, na China e no Japão. O mito e o culto de Guan Di, cujo templo de devoção se situa no coração histórico da cidade, o antigo bazar chinês, é também abordado nesta edição, que, de salto para a contemporaneidade, dá à estampa um estudo sobre o período da transição (1988-1999) e que tem como objeto de análise as negociações sino-portuguesas sobre o futuro de Macau. E se futuro que é já hoje.

OUR COVER
A series of trading, technological and social exchanges between European and Asians in the South China Sea created a variant of the Mediterranean model in the port cities of south and east China in the early modern period. Such is the thesis of Roderich Puk who writes in this issue on a topic rarely studied by researchers: the circulation of animals and animal products. This issue of Review of Culture also provides new findings on the work of the Jesuits Tomás Pereira and Melchior Nunes Barreto in China and Japan as well as turning its attention to the myths and worship of Guan Di, to whom Macao’s Three Streets Guild Temple is dedicated. Looking forward to more recent times, an article on the Transition period (1988-1999) analyses the Sino-Portuguese negotiations on Macao’s future, a future that is now being lived.
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The ‘Policies of Localisation’ in Sino-Portuguese Negotiations during the 1988-1999 Transition Period

The Impact for Macao’s Administration

Carmen Amado Mendes*

INTRODUCTION

The transfer of the Macao administration from Portugal to the People’s Republic of China (PRC) was prepared during the so-called transition period, which started on 15 January 1988, when the Joint Declaration of the Government of the People’s Republic of China and the Government of the Republic of Portugal on the Question of Macao came into force, and ended on 19 December 1999, the last day of the Portuguese administration in Macao. During this period, the Portuguese government remained solely responsible for the administration of Macao, and its powers remained unchanged, with the exception of some land issues. Although the Joint Declaration clearly defined Portuguese responsibility for the administration of the territory until the handover, it also obliged the Chinese government to cooperate in the promotion of Macao’s economic development and social stability. The agreement stipulated that the transfer of administration should be done within a framework of continuity, so the Portuguese and Chinese governments had to work in close cooperation to guarantee Macao’s political, economic and social stability during the transition.

The transition was a very complex period for Macao and for Sino-Portuguese bilateral relations. It required the articulation of positions not only between the Portuguese and Chinese governments but also between Portugal’s central authorities and the Portuguese administration in Macao. The Portuguese side feared that the process would not be conducted smoothly and without crisis. For Portugal it was essential to strive for consensus with China: it was the only guarantee that the measures adopted by the Macao administration would be respected in the long term.

The Joint Declaration defined the framework and institutional devices within which talks on the transition issues took place: the Sino-Portuguese Joint Liaison Group (JLG) and the Sino-Portuguese Land Group (LG). However, if these joint commissions failed to settle a particular issue it would be tackled directly by the Portuguese and the Chinese governments. As the
JLG and the LG were the formal mechanisms chosen by the Joint Declaration for the implementation of the agreement, both the Portuguese and the Chinese sides respected the decisions of these joint commissions, and the proceedings of the meetings had legal force. Nevertheless, the two countries often held different interpretations of the power and functions of the two groups, leading to some conflicting views during the meetings.

The Sino-Portuguese Joint Liaison Group was established on 15 January 1988, when the Sino-Portuguese Joint Declaration entered into force, and continued its work until 1 January 2000. According to the Joint Declaration, it was ‘an organ of liaison, consultation and exchange of information between the two governments’ and had four functions:

1) to conduct consultations on the implementation of the Joint Declaration and its Annexes; 2) to exchange information and conduct consultations on matters relating to the transfer of government of Macao in 1999; 3) to conduct consultations on actions to be taken by the two governments to enable the Macao Special Administrative Region to maintain and develop external economic, cultural and other relations, and 4) to exchange information and conduct consultations on other subjects as may be agreed by the two sides.1

The Chinese side tended to use the JLG to subject to her approval all the issues with a possible impact on the future Special Administrative Region (SAR), arguing that all the issues that would have an impact after 1999 should be subject to previous consultations, otherwise they would not be recognised by China. The Portuguese side did not accept this principle, arguing that, according to the Joint Declaration the JLG ‘shall not interfere in the administration of Macao nor shall it have any supervisory role over that administration.’2 Portugal was responsible for the Macao administration until 1999 and China had no right to interfere. The Portuguese side used the JLG for various purposes: to clarify specific issues; to inform the Chinese delegation of the progress achieved in different areas; and to reach agreement or find an acceptable solution for both sides regarding specific problems.

The Joint Liaison Group’s meetings during the first year of the transition period took place alternatively in Lisbon, Beijing and Macao. Afterwards, the meetings in Lisbon and in Beijing were always followed by a meeting in Macao. The rotating meetings opened doors for the use of bilateral diplomacy when negotiations stalled within the JLG: while in Beijing, the Portuguese side would try to resolve issues directly with representatives of the Chinese government, while the Chinese side used the meetings in Lisbon and Macao to put pressure on the Portuguese authorities. As mentioned above, although the Joint Declaration aimed at eliminating from the scope of Sino-Portuguese bilateral relations all the problems related to the administration and transfer of sovereignty of Macao, it stipulated that matters in which there was disagreement in the JLG or in the LG should be referred to the two governments for solution through consultations.3

The Portuguese and Chinese delegations of the JLG had five members each, the leader being of ambassadorial rank, and experts and supporting staff were designated when required. The Portuguese delegation had three diplomats: the head, the deputy head, and the counsellor of the Portuguese embassy in Beijing. The other two Portuguese members were personal choices of the President and the Prime Minister, although this was not officially stipulated. The head of the Portuguese delegation was based in Lisbon: the only Portuguese member of the JLG that resided in Macao was the deputy head, who was also the head of the Portuguese delegation in the Land Group and chief of the principal base in Macao. In contrast, all the members of the Chinese delegation were based in Macao, with the exception of the counsellor of the Chinese embassy in Lisbon. Although the Chinese side often insisted on a stronger Portuguese presence in the principal base in Macao to solve pending issues in between the JLG meetings, Portugal feared that this would lead the Chinese side to put even more pressure on the Macao administration.

This article analyses Sino-Portuguese negotiations on the three inter-related issues that were permanently discussed in the JLG until the end of the transition period: the localisation of the civil service, the localisation of the language and the localisation of the law. For their importance, the three localisations were called ‘the three big issues’ and were put on the agenda of every JLG meeting. The Chinese side tended to reduce these three issues into one because progress in one localisation implied and depended on progress on the other two.4 Despite the different points of view,
Portugal and China were both strongly committed to achieve progress on those questions. A joint working group was created and divided into three sub-groups to deal with the three questions.

THE LOCALISATION OF THE CIVIL SERVICE

The localisation of the civil service was closely related to the two other 'big issues' of the transition period, and both Portugal and China agreed that it was the basis of the politics of localisation. For the Chinese side, the localisation of the civil service was the most important of all three localisations because once the Chinese occupied the higher ranks in the Macao administration the Chinese language would immediately be used, and the replacement of Portuguese for Chinese juridical staff would promote the localisation of the law.7 The Portuguese side aimed at using the localisation of the civil service to reduce Chinese interference in post-1999 Macao.6

The localisation of the civil service included two main aspects: the increase of local civil servants in the Macao administration and the reintegration of the Portuguese expatriates in the Portuguese Republic. The increase of local civil servants was related to three issues: 1) the planning of the localisation of the civil service until 1999, namely of the chief and director ranks; 2) the nationality issue; and 3) the recognition of educational qualifications.

The localisation of the civil service was differently perceived by the Chinese and the Portuguese sides. The Chinese authorities (and Macao's Chinese population) tended to interpret localisation as 'Sinification': the promotion and recruitment of local Chinese residents to higher positions in the civil service, arguing that the 'localisation should accurately reflect the ethnic composition of Macao's citizens.' Some Macanese,
Portuguese-Chinese or Portuguese-Asian mixed-blood, wanted to be promoted after the withdrawal of Portuguese expatriates, and they identified localisation as ‘Macanisation’: ‘promotion priority should be given to bilingual Macanese.’ Portugal was mainly concerned with the preservation of its cultural heritage in Macao after 1999 and was eager to integrate the Macanese, the best guardians of the Portuguese culture, in Macao’s civil service. Unlike the local Chinese, the Macanese could choose between Chinese and Portuguese nationality after 1999, but should they choose to remain Portuguese citizens they would be excluded from leadership posts in Macao. Nevertheless, the Portuguese administration tended to adopt a mixed interpretation of ‘Sinification’ and ‘Macanisation’: ‘recruitment and promotion of civil servants should be based on qualification and merit only, regardless of race and nationality.’

Due to these different interpretations, the localisation of the Macao civil service became a diplomatic issue between the two sides.

The Chinese side wanted a gradual increase in the number of Chinese functionaries in the middle and high posts of the Macao administration; Portugal did not want this ethnic discrimination of the other locals, namely the Macanese. This was related to the nationality question and to the issue of the Resident Identity Cards: the citizens who did not choose Chinese nationality had the status of permanent resident, being discriminated and banned access from principal public posts. China favoured a general plan of localisation, especially of the middle and high rank civil servants, and wanted the Portuguese to elaborate a list of the civil servants. The Chinese delegates in the JLG frequently asked for details of the civil servants of director and chief ranks—namely their distribution by service, place of birth, nationality, educational qualifications, posts, and knowledge of Portuguese and Chinese—and criticised Portugal for the slow pace of the localisation and for taking too long to promote local Chinese to higher ranks.

The Chinese delegation in the JLG insisted that the local inhabitants should correspond in the same percentage to the middle and high rank civil servants. They argued that, because 97 per cent of the Macao population was Chinese, they had necessarily to occupy an equal or similar percentage of middle and high positions in the future Macao administration.

This Chinese negotiating strategy aimed at obtaining at the very least a more representative bureaucracy and accelerating the pace of localisation in Macao.

The Portuguese position was that the Chinese discrimination based on race or nationality to the public positions would lead not to a true localisation but to a pure ‘Sinification’ of three quarters of all civil servants. The Sino-Portuguese Joint Declaration dismissed the Chinese theory of percentage and proportionality to nominate Chinese nationals to middle and high rank posts: After the establishment of the Macao Special Administrative Region, ‘public servants, (including police) of Chinese nationality and Portuguese and other foreign nationalities previously serving in Macao may all remain in employment …’ The Macao Special Administrative Region may appoint Portuguese and other foreign nationals previously serving in the public service in Macao or currently
holding Permanent Identity Cards of the Macao Special Administrative Region to public posts (except certain principal official posts). ... The appointment and promotion of public servants shall be on the basis of qualifications, experience and ability.15

For the Portuguese delegates, the Chinese criterion of localisation was based on racial, ethnic, linguistic or nationality discrimination that had nothing to do with the experience and qualifications of Macao’s civil service.16 They argued that the local Chinese usually had low educational levels and language proficiency17 and that promotion of local staff to chief and director ranks should follow the criteria of qualification.

As happened with the other leadership positions of the Macao administration, such as the governor and the under-secretaries, the upper ranks of Macao’s civil service were usually filled through political appointments. The temporary and commissioned appointments favoured the Portuguese expatriates and led to few openings at the director level for the local Chinese or Macanese and to the absence of leadership training programmes for local civil servants. The recruitment for permanent staff set written examinations only in Portuguese, excluding the majority of local Chinese. Besides, prior to 1989 the Portuguese administration did not recognise degrees from universities from non-Portuguese language regions, stopping much young local talent from entering the civil service.18

During the early years of the transition, the Chinese delegation in the JLG constantly criticised the increase in numbers of the civil servants in the administration, namely Portuguese expatriates, regardless of the needs of Macao’s bureaucracy.19 According to them, this resulted in the creation of new positions to accommodate friends, to the overlapping of services and to the drastic inflation of governmental posts, which affected efficiency, increased the financial expenses of the administration and delayed localisation. The Portuguese delegates argued that the rapid increase of staff in the administration was rather a consequence of the integration of new local civil servants and would be compensated by the re-integration of some of the staff in Portugal’s bureaucracy. The contracted local civil servants would progressively replace the vacant positions left by the Portuguese expatriates, reducing the number of temporary appointments.

The Sino-Portuguese Joint Declaration on the Question of Macao was signed in Beijing on 13 April 1987.
The Chinese delegates wanted a clear definition of the civil servants who would remain in the Macao administration: only after the Portuguese expatriates left could the local Chinese and Macanese aspire to fill vacant positions in the upper administrative ranks.\textsuperscript{20} Willing to accelerate the pace of localisation, the Chinese side pressed for the recognition of academic qualifications of local people who did not have a Portuguese education and the reduction of educational requirements for the local bureaucrats, namely the proficiency in Portuguese language.\textsuperscript{21} Agreeing with the importance of training local civil servants, in 1988 the Macao administration transformed the University of Macau (previously called University of East Asia) into a public university and implemented reforms to avoid the brain drain of local talent.\textsuperscript{22} In 1993, the Macao administration established a new regime of recognition of educational qualifications obtained outside Macao and in the unofficial education systems existing in Macao.\textsuperscript{23} Despite these efforts, the Portuguese side implemented Macao’s localisation policies at a much slower rhythm than Hong Kong, and by the end of the transition period Macao was left with a young and inexperienced team of civil servants and a mediocre bureaucracy.\textsuperscript{24}

Portugal wanted to guarantee a place in the Republic’s civil service to those Portuguese functionaries who opted to stay in Macao after 1999 in case they decided to be reintegrated in Portugal. However, the Chinese delegates in the Joint Liaison Group did not accept the double-binding system: civil servants could under no circumstance have a double juridical statute and could not be responsible to two different governments. The civil servants could choose between retirement, continuing to exercise functions in Macao as predicted in the Joint Declaration, or returning to Portugal. The Chinese position on this issue was that the Portuguese civil servants who opted to stay in Macao
after the handover could not remain civil servants of
the Portuguese Republic; the civil servants integrated
in Portugal's civil service would be dismissed by the
government of the future Special Administrative Region
in 1999. The government of the future SAR would not
accept that Portugal attributed a dual status.

The integration of Macao civil servants in the
Portuguese Republic was related to the issue of the
pension fund for Macao's civil servants. The Portuguese
side wanted the Macao Special Administrative Region
to pay the pensions of all Macao civil servants, except
for those who were reintegrated in Lisbon before 1999.
The issue was a cause of great dissension in the Joint
Liaison Group. There were three types of situations:
1) the civil servants who stayed in Macao after the
handover; 2) the civil servants who were integrated
into Portugal's bureaucracy before the handover; and
3) the civil servants who retired before the end of the
Portuguese administration. Consensus was reached
in the first two situations. The future Macao Special
Administrative Region was responsible to pay the
pensions to the civil servants that stayed in Macao,
while the pensions of the civil servants reintegrated in
Portugal were transferred to Portugal's Retirement Fund
(Caisse Générale de Retraites—CGA).

The dissensions referred to the civil servants
who retired before the handover: according to one
member of the Portuguese delegation of the JLG,
Portugal argued that these pensions should be paid by
the Macao Special Administrative Region, but China
did not accept this. The Chinese position was that the
pensions of the functionaries who retired before the
end of the Portuguese administration were Portugal's
responsibility. The Portuguese position was that,
because they were Macao's civil servants, their pensions
should be paid by Macao. Portugal did not manage to
push the Chinese delegation to accept the total payment
of the pensions for Macao, as said another Portuguese
delegate. The responsibilities of the pension fund of the
Macao SAR were divided, and Portugal was bound to
contribute. The Portuguese government agreed with
the transfer to Portugal's CGA of the responsibilities for
the payment of the pensions of the civil servants who
retired before 19 December 1999.25

In February 1994, the Macao Government
issued a decree authorising civil servants to take early
retirement or to leave the civil service with financial
compensation, with the transfer of responsibilities to
the CGA. Macao civil servants could opt before 24
May 1994 between: joining Portugal's civil service
after 1999; retiring, with the transfer of responsibilities
to the CGA; leaving the civil service under pecuniary
compensation; or remaining in Macao's civil service.26
This was a huge burden for Portugal's pension fund,
and the Portuguese negotiators could have negotiated
the issue more carefully: Portugal should have created
a fund with the money of Macao to pay those civil
servants.

THE LOCALISATION OF THE LANGUAGE

The global plan for the localisation of the civil
service was directly related to the generalisation of
bilingualism within the Macao administration. The
Chinese side expected that the official status of the

Portuguese Prime Minister Cavaco Silva with Deng Xiaoping during
an official visit to China (April 1987).
Chinese language would increase the number of Chinese in Macao’s bureaucracy and that the translation of Portuguese laws would put an end to the Portuguese and Macanese control of Macao’s judiciary. In Macao there coexisted a language spoken by the majority of the population and a language of reference used in the civil service, in the legislative process and in the courts. This was typical of colonial situations in which the lawmakers and law enforcers did not know the language used by the majority of the addressees of the norms. During more than four centuries of occupation, Portugal had not succeeded in generalising the use of the Portuguese language in Macao.

The Chinese side claimed that the Chinese language should be of equal status to Portuguese during the transition period. After long delaying the issue, the Portuguese side realised that the officialisation of the Chinese language during the transition period was the best way to safeguard and valorise the Portuguese language and culture in Macao after 1999. Portugal saw the attribution of official status to the Portuguese language as an assurance of the stability of Macao’s juridical system beyond 1999. It also safeguarded the possibility of Portugal-Macao juridical cooperation and allowed the Macao courts and administration to appeal to Portuguese jurisprudence and doctrine. The Portuguese side even expected that the structures and methodologies for juridical translation developed to translate into Chinese the Portuguese law would be the base for a system of bilingual juridical production, or at least for the translation into Portuguese of normative acts originally produced in Chinese.

By April 1991, Portugal and China finally reached agreement and signed a memorandum of talks on the statute of the Portuguese and Chinese languages in Macao. The Portuguese side agreed to publish, before the end of 1991, legislation conferring on the Chinese language an official status identical to and with the same legal force as the Portuguese language. That legislation should include information on the conditions to implement the Chinese language in the Macao administrative, legislative and judicial sectors as rapidly and gradually as possible. In exchange, the Portuguese side obtained the guarantee in Macao Basic Law that the Portuguese language would remain official after 1999: ‘Besides the Chinese language, the Portuguese language can be used in the administrative, legislative and judicial bodies of the Macao Special Administrative Region. The Portuguese language is also an official language.’

Portugal assumed a two-level engagement: 1) one regarding the political principle, related to the exercise of sovereignty; 2) and the other regarding the implementation in the field. At the political level, the Portuguese government decreed that: ‘In Macao the Chinese language has official status and has the
same legal force as the Portuguese language. At the implementation level, it was up to Macao's governmental bodies to assure that, in conformity with the local reality, the official status of the Chinese language was gradually and progressively implemented in the administrative, legislative and judicial domains.

The Macao administration wanted to show the Chinese side 'the political will to create all the necessary conditions for the effective implementation of the agreement on the official statute of the Chinese language,' and gradually adopted measures to extend the official use of the Chinese language. These measures were intended to improve the quality of the training of interpreters and translators by creating courses of a higher academic level, to intensify the diffusion of the Chinese language through the existence of more modular courses of different levels and lengths, and to support Sino-Portuguese education. The administration also adopted specific measures to train local bilingual staff in order to achieve a wider use of both languages: the creation of a team to assess the plans for the generalisation of bilingualism and linguistic training in the administration.

Despite all these measures, the official recognition of the Chinese language had little practical influence on the status of Portuguese as the working language of the Macao administration for two reasons. First, all official and legal documents were in Portuguese and, due to the shortage of translators, only important policy announcements and decrees were translated into Chinese. Second, the Portuguese and the Macanese, who could not read nor write Chinese, occupied the chief positions in the administration.

Although the official recognition of the Chinese language had repercussions over all the Macao
administration, the more delicate issues centred on the legislative and judicial levels. The achievement of a situation of legislative bilingualism was a complex process in Macao due to some adverse starting points. First, the lack of bilingual jurists: the majority of the judicial community did not command written Chinese; only a minority commanded spoken Chinese (Cantonese); and just a few technical staff of Chinese origin commanded Portuguese. The population also had a very poor command of the juridical organisation in force. Second, the need to attribute official value to the translation of legal texts made imperative the clarification of the translator's competence; the number of interpreters and translators was insufficient, and they usually had a deficient training base and lack of specialised training. Third, the translations were made by different entities with no guarantee of terminological and stylistic uniformity in technical-juridical terms and were usually made without juridical support. Fourth, legislative bilingualism required the establishment of criteria for the solution of possible divergences of sense or interpretation between the Portuguese and Chinese versions of the texts.

The process of legislative bilingualism in Macao evolved through three different phases. Before the Organic Statute of Macao entered into force, the majority of Macao legislation was produced in Portugal, and only the laws that directly affected the Chinese community were translated. From 1976 to 1989, the legislation produced in Portugal decreased and the legislation produced in Macao increased, but there were still a limited number of legislative translations. With the signing of the Sino-Portuguese Joint Declaration in 1987, predicting an autonomous judicial system for Macao that was characterised by legislative and judicial bilingualism, the translation of the laws became one of the priority tasks of the transition period.

In 1989 a decree declared obligatory the publishing of a Chinese translation of all legislative or legal diplomas, but ‘in case of doubt, the text in the Portuguese language prevails upon the translation of the text in the Chinese language.’ The Chinese version had thus a merely informative character. The lack of centralisation in the elaboration of the Chinese version of the laws facilitated the increase in the number of translated texts but impeded the Portuguese and Chinese versions from having equal value. Due to the diversity of editing styles of the texts in Chinese and the lack of uniformity in the translation of technical terms, the versions in the Chinese language could not be invoked with identical authenticity to the Portuguese versions.

The implementation of the official status of the Chinese language involved the attribution of identical legal value to the Portuguese and the Chinese versions of the diplomas edited by the Macao administration. The authenticity of both versions required the settlement of a fixed Chinese version for the technical-juridical terms of the Portuguese law, a Chinese linguistic and stylistic pattern to give coherence to the legislative texts, and the provision of rules in case of divergence between the interpretations of the sense of law resulting from the two versions. The Office for Juridical Translation (Gabinete para a Tradução Jurídica—GTJ) aimed at ‘creating conditions for the existence of official versions in the Chinese language of the normative acts in force invoked with the same rigour and juridical security of the versions in Portuguese language.’ In 1991 the GTJ had seven translation teams, each composed of a jurist of Portuguese training, a jurist of Chinese training, an interpreter-translator and a scholar.

Although the localisation of the Chinese language in Macao's legislation was a rather consensus issue in the Joint Liaison Group meetings, it consisted of a slow process that demanded much Sino-Portuguese cooperation. The slowest and more complex dimension of the implementation of the official status of the Chinese language was at the judicial level. Priority was given to the training of bilingual jurists, to the translation of the laws and to the gradual use of Chinese in the courts, both orally and in documents. Macao had to be governed by its own people also in the legal sector, so the magistrates were gradually localised to work in synchrony with the community that they served. The legislative and judicial autonomy of the territory depended on the existence of professionals of law and of a local juridical culture. The strategy of the GTJ was to train translators who held a great knowledge of the law in force in Macao. Priority was thus given to the recruitment of local personnel with university training or attending law courses.

The political, economic and social autonomy of Macao presumed that its population knew the diplomas that were the base of the juridical system in
force. The translation of the laws into Chinese had to follow criteria of technical and juridical quality and of terminological uniformity to assure the invocation of the Portuguese and Chinese versions of the legal texts with the same juridical security. Priority was given to the translation of the structural norms and diplomas of the Macao juridical system: the Constitution of the Portuguese Republic, the Organic Statute of Macao, the Law of the Bases of the Judicial Organisation of Macao, and the five 'major codes'.

THE LOCALISATION OF THE LAW

The localisation of the law was a complex process that included different aspects such as: 1) the transformation of laws of Portuguese origin into local laws; 2) the classification of the laws in force by subjects (penal law, commercial law, civil law, procedural penal law, procedural civil law and administrative law) and by types of diplomas; and 3) the law reform, which consisted in revising, updating and adapting the Macao legislation to the local situation. Other aspects of the localisation of the law, analysed in the previous section, were the translation into Chinese of all the existing laws and the training of bilingual jurists.

The need to update the Macao judicial system during the transition period was a consequence of the demands of the Joint Declaration and of the inertia that had characterised the life of the Territory. In 1988 the Macao judicial organisation was mainly the original one from Portugal and only accessible in Portuguese: Macao had a District Court with appeal to the High Court of Justice in Lisbon; there was an insignificant number of local people working in the civil service; Portuguese was the only official language, and few laws had Chinese versions.39 There were two major sources of Macao's laws: Portuguese laws and local laws. The Portuguese laws emanated from the Portuguese Republic (Parliament and Government) and were either laws specifically made for Macao or national laws extended to Macao through publication in the Official Bulletin of Macao. The local laws were the ones created by Macao's bodies with legislative competence, i.e., the legislature and the Governor.40

After 1976, with the entrance into force of the Macao Organic Statute, the production of local legislation increased, balancing the amount of laws created by the Republic. The interrelated use of both the Portuguese and the local laws made it difficult to determine the origins of the laws in force in Macao.

As the Sino-Portuguese Joint Declaration stated that 'the laws currently in force in Macao will remain basically unchanged',41 the Portuguese authorities in Macao were of the opinion that those laws would remain 'basically unchanged' during the fifty years after the transition, regardless of their origin. But by 1980-1990 the Chinese authorities made clear to the Macao government that only the local laws would be respected. Members of the juridical department of Xinhua News Agency privately repeated to the coordinator of the Macao Legislative Office that the Chinese interpretation of 'laws in force in Macao' only referred to the legal laws which emanated from the bodies of the territory. In the JLG the Chinese side also insisted on the need to localise the laws, suggesting that all the 'colonial' legislation would become void after 1999. If the Portuguese side wanted the Macao Special Administrative Region to adopt those laws, they had to pass through a process of localisation to become Macao laws. Initially, the Portuguese side interpreted the Chinese version as a mere negotiating strategy or as a tactic to accelerate Macao's legal reforms and to intervene in the legislative process. But the perseverance of the Chinese authorities led the Macao administration to consider the possible existence of similar procedures regarding Hong Kong.

The administration concluded that China had a similar approach to Hong Kong and that the British and Hong Kong authorities had created a negative precedent. Both the Sino-Portuguese Joint Declaration on Macao and the Sino-British Joint Declaration on Hong Kong stipulated the permanence of the laws in force in the enclaves after the handover, but the two agreements used different techniques to define the nature and origin of the laws that would remain in force. The Sino-British Joint Declaration limited the acts to remain in force and the non-localised laws would not be automatically maintained. In the Sino-Portuguese Joint Declaration there was not a restrictive enumeration of the normative acts—all the normative acts were generally considered—thus the norms to remain in force did not need localisation. According to the Sino-British Joint Declaration:

'After the establishment of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, the laws previously in force in Hong Kong (i.e., the common law, rules
of equity, ordinances, subordinate legislation and customary law) shall be maintained, save for any that contravene the Basic Law and subject to any amendment by the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region legislature.\(^\text{32}\)

There was no reference to the British Acts of Parliament extended to Hong Kong, such as the Letters Patent, Royal Instructions and the Orders in Council, and there was not a residual category for non-localised normative acts. Thus, the laws originated in the United Kingdom would not remain in force in Hong Kong. In the Sino-British Joint Declaration Britain accepted the principle of the localisation of the laws emanating from British legislative bodies. The British side was thus obliged to localise several laws, leading to a vast programme of localisation, for which Britain engaged with China in informal talks. On the other hand, the Sino-Portuguese Joint Declaration stipulated that:

‘After the establishment of the Macao Special Administrative Region, the laws, decrees, administrative regulations and other normative acts previously in force in Macao shall be maintained save for whatever therein may contravene the Basic Law or subject to any amendment by the Macao Special Administrative Region legislature.\(^\text{33}\)

The Portuguese interpretation of this statement was that all normative acts existing in Macao before the transfer of the Administration would remain in force, including both the acts originating in Macao and the acts emanating from the Portuguese Republic and extended to Macao. As an international treaty, the Sino-Portuguese Joint Declaration did not stipulate the obligation of localising the laws in Macao’s legal structure. In juridical terms, the Portuguese side could thus claim that the Joint Declaration was clear enough on the needlessness of localising the laws and that there was no ground for further discussion. However, the risk of prevalence of the Chinese version could result in the loss of the most significant part of
Macao's legal structure, damaging the interests and rights of Macao's citizens, the security of the legal traffic, and ending any possibilities of preserving a legal structure of Portuguese origin in the Far East. And for the Portuguese authorities and the Portuguese administration in Macao this was an objective of 'the highest priority.'

Portugal wanted to keep the juridical system of Portuguese origin as an effective social system in the Chinese language, confirming Macao's autonomy, namely towards Zhuhai and Hong Kong. The Portuguese side perceived the localisation of the law as the main legacy that Portugal could leave in Macao. Macao's market economy and social stability were meaningless if not translated into local laws that safeguarded the rights, liberties and guarantees of the residents after 1999. It was absolutely necessary to translate into local laws the rights, liberties and guarantees recognised in the Organic Statute of Macao. Thus, for political reasons the Portuguese side opted to establish with the Chinese side the terms in which Macao's legal structure would effectively be preserved, through the localisation of the most relevant laws of the enclave. The Portuguese position was that although the Joint Declaration did not require the localisation of Macao laws of Portuguese origin, this would benefit Macao's legal modernisation and adaptation, and therefore Portugal was willing to hold private talks with China on the plans of localisation and adaptation of the laws in force. According to this position, the talks should always include experts from the Macao government and follow the Hong Kong model.

The Chinese side expected to be consulted in advance or at least informed by the Portuguese side on the elaboration of new laws affecting the long-term interests of Macao citizens, to avoid the compulsory revision in case they contravene the Basic Law, and wanted the Portuguese side to submit drafts on the localisation of the laws and reach consensus within the JLG before publishing them in the Macao government bulletin. The Chinese side insisted on the principle of previous consultation: Portugal should submit to the Joint Liaison Group all the legislation for approval, so that it remained binding after the handover; but the Portuguese side did not accept this principle.

The Portuguese laws that were in force in Macao and that did not contravene the Basic Law could be legally transformed into local laws through a process of localisation, thus constituting the legal system of the Macao Special Administrative Region, ensuring a smooth and stable transition. The urge for discarding the laws with colonial features forced the Portuguese side to rapidly alter less acceptable codes and laws. The law reform consisted of re-approving the obsolete legal codes and adapting them to local needs. The most relevant legislation was inserted into specific legal codes, namely the 'major codes'. Priority was given to the major codes that regulated the main aspects of the lives of Macao's inhabitants: the criminal code, the civil code, and the commercial code. Some of these codes were made in the late 19th century or early 20th century. They were obsolete in content, did not reflect Macao's social reality, and had lost validity.
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with the revision of the Organic Statute of Macao. For example, while in Portugal the criminal code of 1982 had already been revised several times, in Macao the criminal code in force dated from 1886, and its limitations had been surpassed by the production of detached legislation since the 1970s. Another priority was to revise the code of criminal procedure and the code of civil procedure in order to guarantee the good functioning of the courts. This would leave Macao with an autonomous judicial organisation and an efficient justice.

The Portuguese strategy for updating Macao’s legal system was to keep untouched the Portuguese source of the Macao law while adapting it to the local and regional realities. There was permanent collaboration with the Chinese side, namely within the JLG, to guarantee that the judicial structure would remain unchanged in the following fifty years. According to a member of the Portuguese delegation, the Chinese delegates were also very interested in this collaboration and rushed Portugal to finish the codes so that they had time to make suggestions. Before producing a new law, the Portuguese side showed the Chinese translation to their counterpart and negotiated the points of disagreement. A previous member of the Portuguese Administration in Macao revealed that the aim of the Portuguese negotiators was that the laws would remain in force after the handover, contrary to what happened in Hong Kong.

The Portuguese side also aimed at leaving Macao with an autonomous judicial system. They considered that only with an independent judicial power and autonomous institutions could Macao maintain its lifestyle, as predicted in the Joint Declaration. Portugal wanted to leave in Macao an independent judicial power that guaranteed the primacy of the law, and so it created conditions for the effective independence of the magistrates, who should enjoy a status of total independence and should not be susceptible to any illegitimate interference.

In 1990 the Portuguese parliament, by proposal of Macao’s Legislature, consigned in the Organic Statute of Macao the judicial autonomy of the territory, and the Law of Bases of the Macao Judicial Organisation ensured Macao’s singularity and established the contents and limits of that autonomy. The majority of the Macao legislative powers that still remained in the Portuguese Parliament were transferred to the local government bodies—the Governor and the Legislative Assembly—which were given the authority to legislate in terms of judicial order and to establish the regime of the courts. The High Court of Justice was established, and the local instances were given specific functioning rules and exclusive jurisdiction in the majority of the matters on trial, granting Macao a high degree of judicial autonomy.

CONCLUSION

The localisation of the civil service, the localisation of the language and the localisation of the law were a direct consequence of the transfer of the Macao administration from Portugal to the People’s Republic of China. As the good functioning of the administration after the handover depended on the solutions found for these localisations, they were known as ‘the three big issues’. Thus, they dominated the agenda of Sino-Portuguese consultations during the so-called transition period, until the transfer of the Macao administration in 1999. The problems resulting from the localisation process were discussed in all Joint Liaison Group plenary meetings, and the Chinese side clearly wanted the process to evolve at a faster pace.

Some failures in the Macao Joint Declaration would have repercussions in the negotiations during the transition period—the Portuguese side tried to compensate for the omissions in the agreement during the JLG talks. For example, while the Hong Kong Joint Declaration states that the Hong Kong SAR is responsible for paying the pensions of its inhabitants, regardless of whether the retirement took place before or after the handover, the Macao Declaration merely mentions that the pensions paid to the civil servants who retire after 1999 cannot be lower than the amount paid before. The Portuguese delegation in the JLG then had to negotiate the issue of the pension fund, which was quite contentious. In fact, the localisation of the civil service was the most controversial issue, as Portugal strongly rejected China’s ethnic criterion for the high posts of the administration. The Chinese side constantly claimed the need for a plan of the localisation of Macao’s civil service during the transition period, and that the localisation should be representative: the majority of the population was Chinese and should be represented in the right proportion in the middle
and high ranks of the civil service. For the Portuguese side, the official objectives of the localisation were the continued promotion of Macao's economic and social development, stability and security, and 'the existence in 19 December 1999 of one administration that would work fully and without disruption on 20 December 1999.'

Another issue that was overlooked during the Sino-Portuguese negotiations of the Joint Declaration was the official status of the Portuguese language after 1999, which was also negotiated in the JLG and included in Macao's Basic Law. While negotiating the localisation of the Chinese language, Portugal secured the official status of the Portuguese language after 1999, which arguably should have been negotiated before the signature of the Joint Declaration; it was a tactical mistake to overlook the issue and accept the vague Chinese declaration: 'In addition to Chinese, Portuguese may also be used in organs of government and in the legislature and the courts in the Macao Special Administrative Region.' The status of the Portuguese language was one of the major Portuguese objectives for the transition period as Portugal was mostly interested in the visibility of the Portuguese presence and culture in Macao after the transfer of the administration to China.

This paper also argued that the outdated situation of Macao's juridical system in the beginning of the transition led the localisation of the law to absorb much of the efforts of the Portuguese delegation in the JLG, which resulted in neglecting other areas that could safeguard Portugal's presence in Macao after 1999. The Portuguese side aimed at establishing an autonomous judicial order defined by the local government bodies, to give Macao competence in terms of judicial organisation. Portugal considered the law to be the best guarantee for the maintenance of Macao's identity and aimed to consolidate Macao's politico-administrative autonomy and judicial system. Fearing that China would discard after 1999 the legislation that she did not approve, Portugal consulted the Chinese delegation in the JLG while editing, in Portuguese and Chinese, Macao's disciplinary codes. Although not formally accepting the principle of preliminary consultation of the Chinese side, the Portuguese side was subordinated to the diplomatic consensus: 'one went as far as the consensus allowed. To go beyond it, in a unilateral way, would be of no use.'

The Macao administration was aware that the transition period was too short to cover the inactivity that had characterised the Portuguese rule for the previous twenty years. There was no social structure on which to build the transition policies. It was hard to get short-term results from the investment in higher education and in the training of local functionaries. Besides, the last years of the transitional period were considered 'lost' in psychological terms: after 1997 the evolution of the Hong Kong transition would have a bigger impact on Macao than any policies of the Portuguese administration. Moreover, the Macao administration faced strong pressure made through the Chinese press in the Territory, persistently criticising the Portuguese administration and reiterating the positions of the Chinese delegation in the JLG. China also used intermediaries to influence the Governor. In the JLG, the Chinese side constantly pushed the negotiations of the 'three big issues' to a more radical and accelerated rhythm, and forced the introduction of new points in the agenda, insisting on their treatment during the talks.

In conclusion, from 1999 to 2049 the success of this model of 'negotiated transition' is being tested in the Macao Special Administrative Region. Portugal aimed at leaving Macao with consolidated institutions, a modern administration and a consistent juridical framework. As predicted in the Joint Declaration, the rights, liberties and guarantees of the Macao people were codified in internal laws and by the application to Macao of the main international covenants of rights, confirmed in the Joint Liaison Group meetings. However, the Portuguese strategy of remaining a strong presence in the territory until the handover delayed the localisation of Chinese civil servants and the use of the Chinese language in Macao's bureaucracy. As a result, by 1999, Macao was arguably left with a mediocre bureaucracy, vulnerable to China's influence and unlikely to maintain the Portuguese cultural presence after the handover. The bureaucracy was left with few qualified people related to the Portuguese system, and the places were filled with civil servants trained by Beijing; the Macanese should have been more supported and should have been attributed high posts in the administration; very few Portuguese chose to stay in the territory after 1999; the Portuguese school was a weak solution; the Portuguese language was a lost cause.
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RESUMOS

A Circulação de Animais e Produtos de Origem Animal nos Mares do Sul e do Leste da China (Final da Idade Média e Princípio da Idade Moderna)
Os historiadores que estudam os fluxos de mercadorias através dos mares do Sul e do Leste da China nos períodos final da Idade Média e princípio da Idade Moderna colocam frequentemente bastante ênfase nas trocas de seda chinesa por metais preciosos, em especial a prata japonesa. Mas, para além destas mercadorias encontram-se também diversas plantas e animais nos padrões mercantis destas regiões. Este documento procura resumir algumas das características essenciais do comércio de animais e produtos de origem animal. Defende que a circulação desses produtos foi um fenômeno complexo de longue durée com um impacto prolongado em várias culturas costeiras, no sentido braudeliano. Sugere ainda que as áreas costeiras em torno do Sul e do Leste da China partilhavam determinadas características, podendo assim ser comparáveis ao mundo mediterrânico ou consideradas como variações desse modelo.
[Autor: Roderich Peck, pp. 7-23]

Drogas Asiáticas e Práticas Médicas nas Relações de Pedro Teixeira (Antuérpia, 1610)
As informações disponíveis sobre o viajante e escritor português Pedro Teixeira são muito escassas, sendo forçoso recorrer às Relações que publicou em Antuérpia em 1610 para traçar um hesitante esboço da sua vida aventurosa. Partiu para a Índia em 1586, provavelmente como soldado, e durante muitos anos viajou por todo o Oriente, da África Oriental até às Filipinas. Em 1600 empreendeu a viagem de regresso pela via do Pacífico e do Novo Mundo. Chegou a Lisboa, logo embarca novamente para Goa, para depois regressar à Europa pela via do golfo Pérsico. Acabaria por se estabelecer em Antuérpia, onde publicou um curioso relato de viagem, complementado por traduções de várias crônicas persas, adquiridas durante uma longa estadia em Ormuz. Estas Relações nunca foram traduzidas ou editadas em Portugal, pelo que se trata de uma fonte pouco frequentada pela moderna historiografia. Pormenor curioso, nas entrelaçadas destas obras, em sucessivas interpolações, Teixeira revela um insito interesse pelas drogas orientais e pelas práticas médicas asiáticas, assuntos que revela conhecer com relativa profundidade, fazendo mesmo levantar a suspeita de que com eles estaria profissionalmente relacionado.
[Autor: Rui Manuel Loureiro, pp. 24-41]

Revisitando a Primeira Biblioteca Jesuíta no Japão. Uma Análise do Príncípio da Biblioteca de Nunes Barreto em Kyushu (1556)
O objectivo deste artigo é sublinhar as principais razões subjacentes ao estabelecimento da primeira biblioteca jesuíta no Japão pelo padre Melchior Nunes Barreto durante a sua missão no país em 1556, usando como ponto de partida o artigo de Jesus Lopez-Gay, S. J., "La Primeira Biblioteca de los Jesuitas en Japón (1556). Su Contenido y su Influencia", publicado pela primeira vez na Monuments nipponica, em 1959/60. Embora este artigo forneça uma longa lista descrevendo a natureza dos livros que integram a biblioteca de Japão, servindo-se para tal dos títulos abreviados facultados pelo próprio Nunes Barreto na lista dos bens pessoais que elaborou aquando da sua partida da Índia para o Japão, falta-lhe, no entanto, uma análise das razões que o levaram a transportar a biblioteca consigo. Este artigo tenta, de novo, analisar a natureza de tais livros, bem como a sua importância para os jesuítas de meados do século xvi explicantando provas de fontes bem conhecidas como as cartas de Francisco Xavier e de outros jesuítas ou a Historia de japam, de Fróis. Igualmente, apresenta uma nova interpretação sobre o modo como a chegada da biblioteca afectou a própria missão e como contribuiu para o estabelecimento e expansão do poder dos jesuítas no país bem como para o processo de educação de novos membros da Ordem entre os japoneses cristãos.
[Autor: Romulo Ehalt, pp. 42-51]

O Galeão de Manila — Grande Nau de Macau. O Comércio de Macau com as Filipinas
O presente artigo enquadra o comércio de Macau com Manila, na rede mais vasta do comércio da China com a América e a sua posterior canalização para a Europa. São reveladas as interacções e interdependências, mas também a concorrência e conflitualidade entre o comércio português e espanhol e o chinês. Com a ocupação espanhola das Filipinas, Manila transformou-se no grande entresitio comercial com a América (México, Peru e Chile), para onde encaminhava as mercadorias adquiridas no Japão, China, Champá, Camboja, Siam ou Insulindia. Simultaneamente, os espanhóis das Filipinas, acalentavam o objectivo estratégico de penetração e estabelecimento na China, mas todas as suas tentativas fracassaram. A rota espanhola de Manila a Nova Espanha, foi complementar das rotas portuguesas e chinesas que cruzavam o mar da China e o oceano Pacífico, ligando o litoral sul da China às Filipinas e, por vezes, mesmo à América. Fazemos a caracterização do comércio de Macau com as Filipinas, a sua duração, periodicidade, principais mercadorias transportadas, e os diferentes tipos de taxa alfandegárias e a regulamentação aplicável. Uma das consequências da rota Filipinas–Novia Espanha foi ter contribuido para a integração de novos espaços, como a Califórnia, no domínio económico europeu e do espanhol em particular.
[Autor: Rui d'Avila Louúdo, pp. 52-72]

Inscrições na Pedra Tumular de Tomás Pereira e o "Édito da Tolerância" do Imperador Kangxi
Este artigo contém a primeira tradução integral para inglês das inscrições da pedra tumular do jesuíta português Tomás Pereira (1645-1708). Também, e com base nos cinco documentos originais existentes,
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é analisado o contexto e toda a história do famoso “Edito da Tolerância” do imperador Kangxi em 1692. O autor faz uma avaliação bastante objetiva da actividade dos Jesuítas na China e, em particular, do importante papel de Tomás Pereira, bem como das circunstâncias que rodearam a construção do seu túmulo e lápide e ainda dos registos sobre esta e das inscrições nela gravadas.

Autor: Wang Bing, pp. 73-85

Sociedade e Poder Político em Macau nos Séculos XVIII e XIX

É no Ou-Man Kêi-Lok, relato Setecentista sobre Macau, de autoria de dois magistrados chineses do antigo distrito de Heong-Sán que se encontram algumas das referências de “maior crédito” de estrangeiros sobre a sociedade macaense. Segundo alguns autores, foi antes da perda do comércio com o Japão (1639-1640) e da tomada de Malaca pelos holandeses (1641) que mulheres indígenas, malaias e japonesas contribuíram para o aparecimento das primeiras gerações de macaenses. Esta foi uma versão muito defendida em Macau e veiculada, sobretudo, pelas chamadas famílias tradicionais, que considerava a influência chinesa como recente e secundária. Mas há muitas outras, matizadas por diferentes interpretações. Actualmente, a mais seguida é a que resulta do estudo comparativo das teses “tradicionais” e “luo-chinesas”, que concluiu que “ambas as versões das origens macaenses têm algo de verídico e [...] não são contraditorias”. Está comprovada a mesticação luso-chinesa desde o século xvii, através da observação de assentos de baptismo e de casamento das frequeias da Sé e de S. Lourenço (1802 a 1831). Na segunda metade de Setecentos, nomeadamente até à década de 70, a estratificação social de Macau assentava nos laços sanguíneos que ligavam o indivíduo ao Reino. A situação começou a modificar-se quando se começaram a fazer sentir as transformações que as reformas pombalinas de 1761 e 1774 determinaram. Novas leis aboliram as diferenças habituais entre os subditos nascidos no Reino e os nascidos nos domínios asiáticos, desde que estes fossem baptizados.

Autor: Jorge de Abreu Arrimars, pp. 86-106

As “Políticas de Localização” nas Negociações Luso-Chinesas durante o Período de Tradição (1888-1999). O Seu Impacto Administração de Macau

Este artigo analisa como é que Portugal e a República Popular da China negociaram questões pertinentes para a transferência da Administração de Macau durante o período de 1988-1999, nomeadamente a localização da língua, dos quadros e da lei. Estes temas estiveram presentes em todas as reuniões do Grupo de Ligação Conjunto, um dos mecanismos formais estipulados pela Declaração Conjunta Sino-Portuguesa para resolver os assuntos referentes ao período de transição. Como o bom funcionamento da Administração de Macau depois da transferência dependia das soluções encontradas para as três localizações, elas ficaram conhecidas como “as três grandes questões”. Para a parte chinesa, a localização dos quadros era a mais importante: por um lado, a partir do momento em que os postos mais altos da administração pública de Macau fossem ocupados por chineses, a língua chinesa seria imediatamente usada; por outro lado, a substituição dos quadros jurídicos portugueses por chineses iria promover uma localização da lei. Para Portugal, o objectivo era negociar a localização dos quadros de forma a reduzir a interferência chinesa em Macau após 1999, garantindo o estatuto oficial da língua portuguesa e o estabelecimento de um ordem judicial definida pelos órgãos governamentais, para dar à Macau competência em termos de organização judicial. Este artigo tenciona providenciar as bases para a análise do impacto das negociações destas diferentes estratégias na burocracia de Macau desde 1999.

Autora: Carmen Amado Mendes, pp. 107-122

A Sanjie Huiguan de Macau. O Mito e o Culto de Guan Di

O “Centro Histórico de Macau” foi inscrito na lista do Património Mundial da UNESCO em 2005. Entre os locais com características ocidentais ou orientais que integram o “Centro Histórico de Macau”, a Associação das Três Ruas (Sanjie Huiquan) partilha um certo encanto. A associação também usa outro nome: o de Velho Templo de Guan Di (Guan Di Gymnão). É uma associação-templo que foi, em tempos, a sede do comércio e das trocas no bairro chinês da cidade. O “deus da associação” é Guan Yu, o histórico guerreiro divinizado, popularmente conhecido como Guan Di (imperador Guan), cujo culto apresenta elementos sagrados para justificar negócios seculares. Através dos tempos, Guan Yu tem sido mitificado como o epitome das virtudes confucionianas e, em particular, é referido como a verdadeira personificação da integridade na novela histórica Sanguo Yanyi (Romance dos Três Reinos). É uma das divindades mais veneradas no panteão paniteista chinês e um símbolo muito significativo da cultura nacional chinesa. A rapidez com que se deu o processo de sua divinização oferece um exemplo rigoroso do processo de apoio que se manifesta comum na China.

Autora: Christina Miu Bing Cheng, pp. 123-138

Rio de Pêrolas, Rio de Confluências, Marcas Civilizacionais nos Contos Chineses de Deolinda da Conceição

Os Contos Chineses de Deolinda da Conceição, constituem uma obra plena de marcas civilizacionais, pequenas fotografias da época, dos locais da acção e das suas gentes. A leitura destes contos permite-nos entrar naquilo que constituí o ser-mo macaense entre as décadas de 1930 e 1950, período conturbadíssimo da História. Se, por um lado, confluem para estes contos elementos vários tanto orientais como ocidentais, defumam leituras paralelas de outros textos, não só sobre a essência macaense, mas também sobre a essência feminina, tanto no campo da sujeição, como no da libertação. Mercê da sua vida e da sua actividade profissional, Deolinda da Conceição nutre-nos igualmente com um retrato vivo do que foi o contexto de guerra do outro lado das Portas do Cerco, deixando transparecer a sua sensibilidade e, raras vezes, o seu empenhamento.

Autora: Gustavo Infante, pp. 139-146
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The Circulation of Animals and Animal Products in the South and East China Seas (Late Medieval and Early Modern Periods)
Historians writing on commodity flows across the East and South China Seas in the late medieval and early modern periods often place much emphasis on the exchange of Chinese silk for precious metals, especially Japanese silver. But besides these commodities, one also encounters various plants and animals in the trade patterns of these regions. The present paper summarizes some essential characteristics of the trade in animals and animal products. It argues that the circulation of such products was a complex *longue durée* phenomenon that had a long-lasting impact on various coastal cultures, in the Braudelian sense. It also suggests that the coastal areas around East and South China shared certain things in common—and may thus be compared to the Mediterranean world, or considered as variations of that model.
[Author: Roderich Prak, pp. 7-23]

Asian Drugs and Medical Practices in Pedro Teixeira’s *Relaciones* (Antwerp, 1610)
Due to the scarcity of available information about the traveler and writer Pedro Teixeira, it is necessary to refer to the *Relaciones*, which he published in Antwerp in 1610, in order to draw a hesitant outline of his adventurous life. Teixeira went to India in 1586, probably as a soldier, and for many years he travelled all around the Orient, from East Africa to the Philippines. In 1600, he undertook his return trip via the Pacific route and the New World. Upon arrival in Lisbon, he left again for Goa, after which he returned to Europe via the Persian Gulf. He finally settled in Antwerp, where he published a curious travelogue, complemented by various Persian chronicles, which were acquired during a long stay in Ormuz. Pedro Teixeira’s *Relaciones* were never translated or edited in Portugal, which explains why it has been a seldom used source in modern historiography. A curious detail hides between the lines in several interpolations, namely that Teixeira reveals an unusual interest in oriental drugs and Asian medical practices, matters about which he displays a relatively deep knowledge, suggesting that he might have even been professionally involved with them.
[Author: Rui Manuel Loureiro, pp. 24-41]

Revisiting the First Jesuit Library in Japan: An Analysis of the Purpose of Nunes Barreto’s Library in Kyushu (1556)
The objective of this paper is to introduce and underline the main reasons behind the establishment of the first Jesuit library in Japan, created by Father Melchior Nunes Barreto during his mission there in 1556. It uses as its starting point the widely known article of Jesús López-Gay, S. J., under the title ‘La Primera Biblioteca de los Jesuitas en Japón (1556), Su Contenido y su Influencia’ first published in *Monumenta Nipponica*, in 1959/1960. Although the article by López-Gay provides a long list describing the nature of the books in the Jesuit library (based on shortened titles given by Nunes Barreto himself in his list of belongings when he was leaving India for Japan) it lacks any deeper analysis of the reasons which made the Rector of the College of Saint Paul in Goa and Vice-provincial of the Society of Jesus in India take the library with him. The current paper will attempt anew to analyse the nature of the books included in the library, as well as their importance to Jesuits in the mid-16th century, drawing evidence from well-known sources such as Francis Xavier’s and other Jesuit letters, as well as Froissart’s *Histoire de Japon*. In addition new interpretations will be provided of how way that the arrival of the library affected the mission itself and how it contributed to the establishment and expansion of Jesuit power within the country, as well as the process of educating new members of the Order.
[Author: Rómulo Ehalt, pp. 42-51]

Manila Galileo: The Great Ship of Macao, Macao’s Trade with the Philippines
This article places Macao’s trade with Manila in the much larger network of China’s trade with America and its subsequent channeling to Europe. It indicates the links and interdependencies, as well as the competition and conflicts between Portuguese, Spanish and Chinese trade. After Spain occupied the Philippines, Manila became the major trading post for transporting goods acquired in Japan, China, Champa, Cambodia, Siam and Insulinida to Mexico, Peru and Chile. At the same time, the Spaniards in the Philippines nurtured the strategic goal of entering and establishing themselves in China. All their attempts failed, however. The Spanish route from Manila to New Spain complemented the Portuguese and Chinese routes crossing the China Sea and Pacific Ocean, linking China’s southern coast with the Philippines and sometimes even America. Macao’s trade with the Philippines is described along with its duration, frequency, the main goods involved, the different customs levies and applicable regulations. One of the outcomes of the Philippines-New Spain route was the fact that it brought new spaces such as California into the economic sphere of Europe, particularly Spain.
[Author: Rui d’Ávila Lourido, pp. 52-72]

The Inscriptions on Tomás Pereira’s Tombstone and the ‘Edict of Toleration’ from the Emperor Kangxi
This article gives the full inscriptions on the tombstone of the Portuguese Jesuit Tomás Pereira (1645-1708) for the first time in an English translation. Additionally, there is discussion based on the existing five original documents, the background and the whole story of the famous historical event that led to the ‘Edict of Toleration’ being issued by the Emperor Kangxi in 1692. The author makes an objective appraisal
RESUMOS

of Jesuit activities in China, particularly the important role of Tomás Pereira in the event. Also the circumstances surrounding to construction of Tomás Pereira's tomb and tombstone, as well as the records about his tombstone and the inscriptions on it are stated.

[Society and Political Power in Macao in the 18th and 19th Centuries]

It is in Ou-Man Kei-Leok, the 18th-century portrait of Macao, written by two Chinese magistrates of the former district of Heong-San [Xiangshan] that one can find some of the "most credible" references from foreigners about Macao society. According to some authors, it was before trading with Japan came to an end (1639-40) and the conquest of Malacca by the Dutch (1641), that Indian, Malaysian and Japanese women contributed to the appearance of the first generations of Macao residents. This was the most advanced version transmitted in Macao, especially by the so-called traditional families, who considered the Chinese influence as recent and secondary. But other versions carry different interpretations. Currently, the most popular results from the comparative study of the 'traditionalist' and 'Portuguese-Chinese' theories, which concluded that 'both versions of the origin of the Macao residents have an element of truth ... and are not contradictory'. Portuguese-Chinese interbreeding is proven since the 17th century, through observation of the baptism and marriage certificates of the parishes of Sê and S. Lourenço (1802 to 1831). In the second half of the 18th century, especially up until the 1770s, the social stratification of Macao was based on the blood ties that linked the individual to the Kingdom. The situation began to change when the transformations brought about by the Marquis de Pombal’s reforms of 1761 and 1774 began to be felt. New laws abolished the usual differences between the subjects born in the Kingdom and those born in the Asian domains, provided that the latter were baptised.

[The ‘Policies of Localisation’ in Sino-Portuguese Negotiations during the 1988-1999 Transition Period: The Impact for Macao’s Administration]

This paper examines how Portugal and the People's Republic of China negotiated the transfer of the Portuguese administration of Macao to China during the 1988-1999 transition period. It focuses on the localisation of the language, the localisation of the civil service and the localisation of the law. These routine matters were present in every Joint Liaison Group meeting, one of the formal devices stipulated in the Sino-Portuguese Joint Declaration on the Macao Question to settle the issues of the transition period. As the good functioning of the administration after the hand-over depended on the solutions found for these three localisations, they were known as ‘the three big issues’. For the Chinese side, the localisation of the civil service was the most important of all three localisations because once the Chinese occupied the higher ranks in the Macao administration the Chinese language would immediately be used and the replacement of Portuguese for Chinese juridical staff would promote the localisation of the law. For Portugal, the aim was to use the localisation of the civil service to reduce Chinese interference in post-1999 Macao, to secure the official status of the Portuguese language and to establish an autonomous judicial order defined by the local government bodies, to give Macao competence in terms of judicial organization. This paper intends to provide the basis for the analysis of the results of the negotiations of these different strategies in Macao’s post-1999 bureaucracy.

[Macao’s Sanjee Huijuan. The Myth and the Cult of Guan Di]

In 2005 the ‘Historic Centre of Macao’, the Guild of Three Streets (Sanjie Huijuan) shares the aura of glamour. The guild bears another name: the Old Temple of Guan Di (Guan Di Gummiao). It is a guild-temple, which was once the headquarters of trade and commerce in the Chinese commercial district. The ‘guild god’ is the defied historical warrior Guan Yu, who is popularly known as Guan Di (Emperor Guan). The cult of Guan Di displays crucial sacred elements to justify secular business. Throughout the ages, Guan Yu has been mythified as the epitome of Confucian virtues and especially he is constructed as the very personification of righteousness in the historical novel Sangue Yang (Three Kingdoms). He is one of the most adored deities in the Chinese pantheistic pantheon; and a significant symbol of Chinese national culture. His upward mobility to godhood offers a stark example of the process of apotheosis common in China.

[River of Pearls, River of Confluences: Civilizational Landmarks in the Contos Chineses by Deolinda da Conceição]

The Contos Chineses by Deolinda da Conceição is a work full of civilizational landmarks, snapshots of the epoch, of the locations and of its peoples. Reading these stories lets us in on what it meant to be a Macao resident in the 1930s and 1950s, a turbulent period of History. While, on the one hand, these stories encompass several oriental and western facets, parallel readings of other texts flow out from them, not only about the Macao essence, but also about the feminine essence, both in the field of subjection and of emancipation. Thanks to her life and her professional activity, Deolinda da Conceição also furnishes us with a portrait of what it was like living through the war years on the other side of the Border Gate, bringing to the fore her sensibility and, on many occasions, her endeavour.

[Author: Wang Bing. pp. 73-85]

[Author: Christina Miu Bing Cheng. pp. 123-138]

[Author: Gustavo Infante. pp. 139-146]