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Abstract
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1. Introduction

In the category of groups, there is a well-known equivalence between actions and split extensions, obtained via the semidirect product construction. It is also well known (see, for example, [5]) that internal categories in the category of groups are equivalent to crossed modules. In the paper [11], Porter proved the same equivalence in the case of categories of groups with operations, which includes the examples of rings, associative algebras, Lie
algebras, Jordan algebras and many others.

The equivalence between internal categories and crossed modules is not true in weaker algebraic contexts, such as monoids. However, in the paper [10], Patchkoria introduced, in the category of monoids, a particular kind of internal categories, called Schreier internal categories, and he proved the equivalence between them and what he called crossed semimodules. Schreier internal categories in monoids are equivalent to homogeneous categories in the sense of Lavendhomme and Roisin [6]: in that paper, the authors proved that homogeneous internal categories in monoids are equivalent to crossed modules.

The aim of the present paper is to generalize Patchkoria’s result to a wider class of categories, whose objects are called monoids with operations. This class, which includes monoids, commutative monoids, semirings, join-semilattices with a bottom element and distributive lattices with a bottom element, actually generalizes at the same time Patchkoria’s result concerning monoids and Porter’s result concerning groups with operations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notion of monoids with operations and we describe actions and the construction of semidirect products in this context. In Section 3 we define crossed modules in monoids with operations and we prove that they are equivalent to Schreier internal categories. Section 4 is devoted to comparing, in the case of monoids, the notion of semidirect product described in Section 2 with the categorical one introduced by Bourn and Janelidze in [4]. In Section 5 the case of semirings, and of distributive lattices as a particular case, is developed with concrete examples.

2. Monoids with operations

The following definition is inspired by the analogous one, given by Porter in [11], of groups with operations.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\Omega$ be a set of finitary operations such that the following conditions hold: if $\Omega_i$ is the set of $i$-ary operations in $\Omega$, then:

1. $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$;
There is a binary operation $+ \in \Omega_2$ (not necessarily commutative) and a constant $0 \in \Omega_0$ satisfying the usual axioms for monoids;

\( \Omega_0 = \{0\} \);

(4) Let $\Omega'_2 = \Omega_2 \setminus \{+\}$; if $* \in \Omega'_2$, then $*^0$ defined by $x *^0 y = y * x$ is also in $\Omega'_2$;

(5) Any $* \in \Omega'_2$ is left distributive w.r.t. $+$, i.e.:

\[
a * (b + c) = a * b + a * c;
\]

(6) For any $* \in \Omega'_2$ we have $b * 0 = 0$;

(7) Any $\omega \in \Omega_1$ satisfies the following conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{- } \omega(x + y) &= \omega(x) + \omega(y); \\
\text{- } \text{for any } * \in \Omega'_2, \quad \omega(a * b) &= \omega(a) * b.
\end{align*}
\]

Let moreover $E$ be a set of axioms including the ones above. We will denote by $\mathbb{C}$ the category of $(\Omega, E)$-algebras. We will call the objects of $\mathbb{C}$ monoids with operations.

Remark. The definition above does not include the case of groups, or more generally, the one of groups with operations. Indeed, the unary operation given by the group inverses, denoted by $-$, does not satisfy Condition 7. However, in order to recover all these structures, it suffices to add another condition: if the base monoid structure (given by the operations $+$ and 0) is a group, then the operation $-$ should be distinguished from the other unary operations. In other terms, Condition 7 should be satisfied only by operations in $\Omega'_1 = \Omega_1 \setminus \{-\}$. In this way, our definition becomes a generalization of the concept of groups with operations.

Example 2.2. Apart from the known structures covered by Porter’s definition, such as groups, rings, associative algebras, Lie algebras and many others, our definition includes the cases of monoids, commutative monoids, semirings (i.e. rings where the additive structure is not necessarily a group, but just a commutative monoid), join-semilattices with a bottom element, distributive lattices with a bottom element (or a top one).
Observation 2.3. Let us observe that requiring left and right distributivity of any \( * \in \Omega_2 \) with respect to \( + \), as in Definition 2.1 (or, in other terms, left distributivity of \( * \) and \( *^0 \)), implies a partial commutativity of \( + \). Indeed, consider the element \((a + b) * (c + d)\); on one hand we have:

\[(a + b) * (c + d) = (a + b) * c + (a + b) * d = a * c + b * c + a * d + b * d,\]

while, on the other hand:

\[(a + b) * (c + d) = a * (c + d) + b * (c + d) = a * c + a * d + b * c + b * d,\]

and hence the two expressions on the right are equal.

From now on, let \( \mathbb{C} \) be a category of \((\Omega, E)\)-algebras as in the definition above.

Definition 2.4. Let \( X \) and \( B \) be two objects of \( \mathbb{C} \). A pre-action of \( B \) on \( X \) is a set, indexed by the set \( \Omega_2 \) of binary operations, of set-theoretical maps \( \alpha_*: B \times X \rightarrow X, * \in \Omega_2 \).

What we call pre-action is what was called set of actions in [9], in the more restricted context of categories of interest (which are particular categories of groups with operations, in the sense of Porter).

Given a pre-action of \( B \) on \( X \), we can construct a semidirect product of \( X \) and \( B \) with respect to this pre-action, following the analogous construction already known for groups with operations.

Definition 2.5. Given a pre-action \( \alpha = \{\alpha_*, * \in \Omega_2\} \) of \( B \) on \( X \), the semidirect product \( X \ltimes_\alpha B \) of \( X \) and \( B \) with respect to \( \alpha \) is the \( \Omega \)-algebra with underlying set \( X \times B \) and operations defined by:

\[(x_1, b_1) + (x_2, b_2) = (x_1 + \alpha_+(b_1, x_2), b_1 + b_2),\]

\[(x_1, b_1) * (x_2, b_2) = (x_1 * x_2 + \alpha_*(b_1, x_2) + \alpha_*^0(b_2, x_1), b_1 * b_2), \text{ for } * \in \Omega_2,\]

\[\omega(x, b) = (\omega(x), \omega(b)), \text{ for } \omega \in \Omega_1.\]

For a generic pre-action \( \alpha \), \( X \ltimes_\alpha B \) is not a \((\Omega, E)\)-algebra. The main goal of this section is to characterize those pre-actions for which the corresponding semidirect product is a \((\Omega, E)\)-algebra.
Let $B$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}$. The category $\text{Pt}(B)$ is the category of the points of the comma category $\mathcal{C}$ over $B$, i.e. the cocomma category $1_B$ over $\mathcal{C}/B$. This amounts to the category whose objects are the split epimorphisms with codomain $B$. In fact a morphism from the terminal $1_B : B \to B$ to an object $\alpha : A \to B$ is precisely an arrow $\beta : B \to A$ such that $\alpha \beta = 1_B$. An object of $\text{Pt}(B)$ will be called point over $B$. We will consider, in the context of monoids with operations, a particular kind of point. The definition below is inspired by the definition of Schreier internal category given in [10] in the category of monoids:

**Definition 2.6.** A point

$$X = \text{Ker } p \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{p} B$$

(1)

is said to be a Schreier point if, for any $a \in A$, there exists a unique $x \in X$ such that $a = k(x) + sp(a)$ (where, as in Definition 2.1, we use the symbol $+$ for the monoid operation).

In other terms, a Schreier point is a point of the form (1) equipped with a unique set-theoretical map $q : A \to X$ with the property that

$$a = kq(a) + sp(a)$$

for any $a \in A$.

It comes immediately from the definition above that, in a Schreier point, the morphisms $k$ and $s$ are jointly epimorphic. Hence they have the following interesting property:

**Proposition 2.7.** In a point of the form

$$X \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{p} B,$$

if $k$ and $s$ are jointly epimorphic, then $p$ is the cokernel of $k$. In other terms the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{p} B \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and the point is a split extension.
Proof. Given a morphism \( f : A \to D \) such that \( fk = 0 \), we have that \( fs \) makes the triangle below commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{k} & A & \xrightarrow{p} & B \\
& \searrow & \downarrow{s} & \nearrow{fs} & \\
& & f & & D.
\end{array}
\]

Indeed:

\[ fsps = fs \quad \text{and} \quad fspk = 0 = fk, \]

and since \( k \) and \( s \) are jointly epimorphic, we have that \( fsp = f \). Moreover, given any \( g : B \to D \) such that \( gp = f \), we have that

\[ g = gps = fs. \]

\[ \square \]

It is known that, in a category \( \mathbb{C} \) of monoids with operations, there are points that are not split extensions. For example, in the category \( \text{Mon} \) of monoids, consider the following point, where \( \mathbb{N} \) is the monoid of natural numbers with the usual sum:

\[ 0 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{(0,1)} \mathbb{N}. \]

Now we can introduce the concept of action, which corresponds to the one of set of derived actions, introduced in [9] for categories of interest.

Given a Schreier point over \( B \) with kernel \( X \), we can define a pre-action of \( B \) on \( X \) in the following way:

\[
\alpha_+(b, x) = q(s(b) + k(x)),
\]

\[
\alpha_*(b, x) = q(s(b) * k(x)), \quad \text{for} \quad * \in \Omega_2'.
\]

**Definition 2.8.** A pre-action defined as above, starting from a Schreier point, will be called an action of \( B \) on \( X \).

Now we can state the main result of this section:

**Theorem 2.9.** A pre-action \( \alpha \) of \( B \) on \( X \) is an action if and only if the semidirect product \( X \rtimes_{\alpha} B \) is an object of \( \mathbb{C} \).
Proof. Let

\[ \frac{X \rtimes_{\alpha} A \xrightarrow{q} B}{k} \]

be a Schreier point. First let us observe that \( \alpha_+(b, x) \) is the unique element of \( X \) such that:

\[ s(b) + k(x) = k\alpha_+(b, x) + s(b) \]

(this follows from the Schreier condition applying \( q \) to the element \( a = s(b) + k(x) \)). Now, considering \( \alpha \) as in Definition 2.8, we have to show that \( A \) is isomorphic to the semidirect product \( X \rtimes_{\alpha} B \) of \( X \) and \( B \) with respect to the action \( \alpha \). Consider the map \( \psi : A \to X \rtimes_{\alpha} B \) sending an element \( a \in A \) to the pair \( (q(a), p(a)) \). It is a bijection, whose inverse is the map \( \varphi : X \rtimes_{\alpha} B \to A \) sending a pair \((x, b)\) to the element \( k(x) + s(b) \). Indeed:

\[
\varphi \psi (a) = \varphi (q(a), p(a)) = kq(a) + sp(a) = a
\]

and

\[
\psi \varphi (x, b) = \psi (k(x) + s(b)) = (q(k(x) + s(b)), b),
\]

so it remains to prove that \( q(k(x) + s(b)) = x \). Putting \( a = k(x) + s(b) \) and \( q(a) = x' \), we have that \( x' \) is the unique element of \( X \) such that

\[
k(x) + s(b) = a = kq(a) + s(b) = k(x') + s(b),
\]

and hence \( x = x' \). Finally, \( \varphi \) (and hence \( \psi \)) is a homomorphism, in fact preservation of unary operations is obvious, and moreover:

\[
\varphi((x_1, b_1) + (x_2, b_2)) = \varphi(x_1 + \alpha_+(b_1, x_2), b_1 + b_2) =
\]

\[
= k(x_1 + \alpha_+(b_1, x_2)) + s(b_1) + b_2 = k(x_1) + k\alpha_+(b_1, x_2) + s(b_1) + s(b_2) =
\]

\[
= k(x_1) + s(b_1) + k(x_2) + s(b_2) = \varphi(x_1, b_1) + \varphi(x_2, b_2);
\]

\[
\varphi(0, 0) = k(0) + s(0) = 0;
\]

and, for any \( * \in \Omega_2 \):

\[
\varphi((x_1, b_1) \ast (x_2, b_2)) = \varphi(x_1 \ast x_2 + \alpha_+(b_1, x_2) + \alpha_+(b_2, x_1), b_1 \ast b_2) =
\]

\[
= \varphi(x_1 \ast x_2 + q(s(b_1) \ast k(x_2)) + q(s(b_2) \ast k(x_1)), b_1 \ast b_2) =
\]

\[
= \varphi(x_1 \ast x_2 + q(s(b_1) \ast k(x_2)) + q(k(x_1) \ast s(b_2)), b_1 \ast b_2) =
\]
\[= k(x_1 \ast x_2 + q(s(b_1) \ast k(x_2)) + q(k(x_1) \ast s(b_2))) + s(b_1 \ast b_2) =
\]
\[= k(x_1) \ast k(x_2) + kq(s(b_1) \ast k(x_2)) + kq(k(x_1) \ast s(b_2)) + s(b_1) \ast s(b_2).\]

But \(kq(s(b_1) \ast k(x_2)) = s(b_1) \ast k(x_2)\); indeed:
\[
s(b_1) \ast k(x_2) = kq(s(b_1) \ast k(x_2)) + sp(s(b_1) \ast k(x_2)),
\]
but \(sp(s(b_1) \ast k(x_2)) = 0\), because \(s(b_1) \ast k(x_2) \in \text{Ker } p\); analogously, \(kq(k(x_1) \ast s(b_2)) = k(x_1) \ast s(b_2)\).

\[
\varphi((x_1, b_1) \ast (x_2, b_2)) = k(x_1) \ast k(x_2) + s(b_1) \ast k(x_2) + k(x_1) \ast s(b_2) + s(b_1) \ast s(b_2) =
\]
\[= (k(x_1) + s(b_1)) \ast (k(x_2) + s(b_2)) = \varphi(x_1, b_1) \ast \varphi(x_2, b_2).
\]

Being \(X \rtimes \alpha B\) isomorphic to \(A\), it is an object of \(C\).

Conversely, let \(\alpha\) be a pre-action of \(B\) on \(X\) such that \(X \rtimes \alpha B\) is an object of \(C\). Then we have the following point in \(C\):
\[
X \xrightarrow{(1, 0)} X \rtimes \alpha B \xrightarrow{\pi_B}{(0, 1)} B.
\]

This is a Schreier point, where \(q = \pi_X\); the uniqueness of \(q\) comes from the following fact: if \(y \in X\) is such that
\[
(x, b) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle (y) + \langle 0, 1 \rangle \pi_B(x, b),
\]
then
\[
(x, b) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle (y) + \langle 0, 1 \rangle \pi_B(x, b) = (y, 0) + (0, b) = (y, b),
\]
and hence \(y = x = \pi_X(x, b)\). Moreover, it is immediate to see that the action defined by this Schreier point is exactly the pre-action \(\alpha\) with which we started. This completes the proof.

We conclude this section with a remark that will be useful in what follows:

**Lemma 2.10.** Let \(\alpha\) be an action of \(B\) on \(X\) in \(C\). For any \(b, b_1, b_2 \in B\), \(x, x_1, x_2 \in X\) and \(* \in \Omega_2\) we have:

1. \(\alpha_+(b, x_1 + x_2) = \alpha_+(b, x_1) + \alpha_+(b, x_2)\);
2. \(\alpha_+(b_1 + b_2, x) = \alpha_+(b_1, \alpha_+(b_2, x))\);
\begin{align*}
(3) \quad & \alpha_+(0, x) = x; \\
(4) \quad & \alpha_+(b, 0) = 0; \\
(5) \quad & \alpha_+(b, x_1 + x_2) = \alpha_+(b, x_1) + \alpha_+(b, x_2); \\
(6) \quad & \alpha_+ (b_1 + b_2, x) = \alpha_+ (b_1, x) + \alpha_+ (b_2, x).
\end{align*}

Proof. The equalities above follow immediately from the fact that $X \rtimes_\alpha B$ is an object of $\mathbb{C}$, and hence $+$ is a monoid operation on it, with identity given by $(0, 0)$, and any $\ast \in \Omega'_2$ is distributive with respect to $+$. \hfill \square

3. Crossed modules and Schreier internal categories

Theorem 2.9 allows us to obtain, in the context of monoids with operations, an equivalence between crossed modules and particular internal categories, that will be called Schreier internal categories (following [10]). This fact is a generalization of the known equivalence for groups with operations, described in [11], and for monoids, as in [10].

We start describing what is a crossed module in a category of monoids with operations. Throughout all the section, $\mathbb{C}$ will be a category of $(\Omega, E)$-algebras as in Definition 2.1.

**Definition 3.1.** Given two objects $X$ and $B$ of $\mathbb{C}$, an action $\alpha$ of $B$ on $X$ and a morphism $f: X \to B$, we say that the pair $(\alpha, f)$ is a crossed module if, for any $x, x_1, x_2 \in X$, $b \in B$ and $\ast \in \Omega'_2$, the following conditions hold:

1. $f(\alpha_+(b, x)) + b = b + f(x)$;
2. $\alpha_+(f(x_1), x_2) + x_1 = x_1 + x_2$;
3. $f(\alpha_+(b, x)) = b \ast f(x)$;
4. $\alpha_+(f(x_1), x_2) = \alpha_+(f(x_2), x_1) = x_1 \ast x_2$.

Given two crossed modules $(X, B, \alpha, f)$ and $(X', B', \alpha', f')$, a morphism between them is a pair $(\beta, \gamma)$ of morphisms in $\mathbb{C}$, where $\beta: X \to X'$ and $\gamma: B \to B'$, such that the following conditions hold:

1. $\beta(\alpha_+(b, x)) = \alpha'_+(\gamma(b), \beta(x))$ for any $b \in B$, $x \in X$;
(b) $\beta(\alpha_*(b, x)) = \alpha'_*(\gamma(b), \beta(x))$ for any $b \in B$, $x \in X$ and $* \in \Omega_2'$;

(c) $\gamma f = f' \beta$.

Crossed modules in $\mathbb{C}$ and morphisms between them form a category, which will be denoted by $XMod(\mathbb{C})$. We will show that this category is equivalent to a category whose objects are particular internal categories. Recall that an internal category in $\mathbb{C}$ is a reflexive graph:

$A \xrightarrow{d} \xrightarrow{c} B$

(i.e. $de = ce = 1_B$) with a morphism (giving the composition of arrows) $m: A \times_B A \to A$ ($A \times_B A$ is the pullback of $d$ along $c$) satisfying associativity and identity axioms. A morphism between two internal categories $(A, B, d, c, e, m)$ and $(A', B', d', c', e', m')$, also called internal functor, is a pair $(g_1, g_0)$, where $g_1: A \to A'$ and $g_0: B \to B'$, preserving domain, codomain, composition and identities.

**Definition 3.2.** An internal category $(A, B, d, c, e, m)$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is a Schreier internal category if the point

$X = \text{Ker } d \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{d} B$

is Schreier.

In [6], Lavendhomme and Roisin introduced the notion of homogeneous internal category in the category of monoids, and they proved that homogeneous categories are equivalent to crossed modules. We recall now their definition (extending it to any category of monoids with operations), in order to compare it with the notion of Schreier internal category.

**Definition 3.3.** An internal category $(A, B, d, c, e, m)$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is homogeneous if, for any $b \in B$, the map $\alpha_b: d^{-1}(0) \to d^{-1}(b)$ defined by

$\alpha_b(a) = a + e(b)$

is bijective.

**Proposition 3.4.** An internal category in $\mathbb{C}$ is homogeneous if and only if it is Schreier.
Proof. Suppose that \((A, B, d, c, e, m)\) is a Schreier internal category. For any \(b \in B\), we can define the map \(\beta_b: d^{-1}(b) \to d^{-1}(0)\) by putting \(\beta_b(a) = kq(a)\). \(\beta_b\) is the inverse map of \(\alpha_b\), indeed:

\[
\alpha_b \beta_b(a) = kq(a) + e(b) = kq(a) + ed(a) = a,
\]

and

\[
\beta_b \alpha_b (c) = \beta_b(c + e(b)) = kq(c + e(b)) = c,
\]

where the last equality follows from the uniqueness in the Schreier condition, since, for \(c \in d^{-1}(0) = k(X)\) we have:

\[
c + e(b) = kq(c + e(b)) + ed(c + e(b)) = kq(c + e(b)) + e(b).
\]

Conversely, if \((A, B, d, c, e, m)\) is a homogeneous internal category, we can define \(q: A \to X\) by putting \(q(a) = x\), where \(x\) is the unique element of \(X\) such that \(\alpha^{-1}_{d(a)}(a) = k(x)\). Then \(q\) satisfies the Schreier condition. Indeed:

\[
kq(a) + ed(a) = \alpha^{-1}_{d(a)}(a) + ed(a) = \alpha_{d(a)} \alpha^{-1}_{d(a)}(a) = a.
\]

Furthermore, to prove its uniqueness, suppose that \(y \in X\) is such that

\[
a = k(y) + ed(a);
\]

then

\[
a = k(y) + ed(a) = \alpha_{d(a)}(k(y)),
\]

and hence

\[
k(y) = \alpha^{-1}_{d(a)}(a),
\]

which implies that \(y = q(a)\). \(\Box\)

We will denote by \(SCat(C)\) the category whose objects are Schreier internal categories in \(C\) and whose morphisms are internal functors between them.

Lemma 3.5. Let \((A, B, d, c, e, m)\) be a Schreier internal category in \(C\), and let \(a, a' \in A\) be composable arrows (i.e. \(d(a') = c(a)\)). Then

\[
m(a', a) = kq(a') + kq(a) + ed(a).
\]
Proof. We know that
\[ a = kq(a) + ed(a); \]
moreover:
\[ d(a') = c(a) = c(kq(a) + ed(a)) = ckq(a) + d(a), \]
and hence
\[ a' = kq(a') + ed(a') = kq(a') + e(ckq(a) + d(a)). \]

Since \( m \) is a morphism in \( C \) and it preserves identities, we have:
\[
\begin{align*}
    &m(a', a) = m(kq(a') + e(ckq(a) + d(a)), kq(a) + ed(a)) = \\
    &= m(kq(a'), 0) + m(e(ckq(a) + d(a)), kq(a) + ed(a)) = \\
    &= m(kq(a'), edkq(a')) + m(ec(kq(a) + ed(a)), kq(a) + ed(a)) = kq(a') + kq(a) + ed(a).
\end{align*}
\]

Corollary 3.6. A Schreier internal reflexive graph (i.e. an internal reflexive graph such that the domain and the identity form a Schreier point) admits at most one structure of internal category.

Theorem 3.7. The categories \( X \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}) \) and \( SCat(\mathbb{C}) \) are equivalent.

Proof. Let
\[ X = \text{Ker } d \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{d} B \]
be a Schreier internal category in \( C \), and \( q: A \to X \) the unique map satisfying the Schreier condition. In the previous Section we proved that \( q \) defines an action \( \alpha \) of \( B \) on \( X \) in the following way:
\[
\begin{align*}
    &\alpha_+(b, x) = q(e(b) + k(x)), \\
    &\alpha_+(b, x) = q(e(b) \ast k(x)), \text{ for } \ast \in \Omega'_2.
\end{align*}
\]
Consider then the morphism \( f = ck \). We have to show that \((X, B, \alpha, f)\) is a crossed module:
(i) For any $b \in B$ and $x \in X$ we have that:

$$e(b) + k(x) = k\alpha_+(b, x) + e(b);$$

applying the morphism $c$ on both sides of the equality we get:

$$ce(b) + ck(x) = ck\alpha_+(b, x) + ce(b),$$

and since $ce = 1_B$ we have:

$$b + f(x) = f\alpha_+(b, x) + b.$$  

(ii) Applying the Schreier condition to the element $eck(x_1) + k(x_2)$, we have, for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$:

$$eck(x_1) + k(x_2) = k\alpha_+(f(x_1), x_2) + ed(eck(x_1) + k(x_2)) = k\alpha_+(f(x_1), x_2) + eck(x_1).$$

It is easy to see that the elements $k\alpha_+(f(x_1), x_2) + eck(x_1)$ and $k(x_1)$ in $A$ are composable; hence, applying Lemma 3.5:

$$k(\alpha_+(f(x_1), x_2) + x_1) = k\alpha_+(f(x_1), x_2) + k(x_1) =$$

$$= m(k\alpha_+(f(x_1), x_2) + eck(x_1), k(x_1)) =$$

$$= m(eck(x_1) + k(x_2), k(x_1)) = m(eck(x_1), k(x_1)) + m(k(x_2), 0) =$$

$$= m(eck(x_1), k(x_1)) + m(k(x_2), edk(x_2)) = k(x_1) + k(x_2) = k(x_1 + x_2),$$

and since $k$ is injective, we have that $\alpha_+(f(x_1), x_2) + x_1 = x_1 + x_2$.

(iii) We already observed, in the proof of Theorem 2.9, that

$$kq(e(b) * k(x)) = e(b) * k(x)$$

for any $b \in B, x \in X$ and $* \in \Omega'_2$;

hence:

$$f(\alpha_*(b, x)) = c k q (e(b) * k(x)) = c (e(b) * k(x)) = c e(b) * c k(x) = b * f(x).$$

(iv) We have:

$$k\alpha_*(f(x_1), x_2) = k\alpha_*(eck(x_1), x_2) = k q(eck(x_1) * k(x_2)) = eck(x_1) * k(x_2),$$
and hence, using Lemma 3.5 and the fact that \( m \) preserves the binary operation *:

\[
k\alpha_*(f(x_1), x_2) = m(k\alpha_*(f(x_1), x_2), 0) = m(eck(x_1) \ast k(x_2), 0) = m(eck(x_1) \ast k(x_2), k(x_1) \ast 0) = m(eck(x_1), k(x_1)) \ast m(k(x_2), 0) = k(x_1) \ast k(x_2) = k(x_1 \ast x_2),
\]

and, since \( k \) is a monomorphism, we have that:

\[
\alpha_*(f(x_1), x_2) = x_1 \ast x_2;
\]

the proof that \( \alpha_*(f(x_2), x_1) = x_1 \ast x_2 \) is similar.

Consider now the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{k} & A \\
\delta & & \downarrow{\gamma} \\
X' & \xrightarrow{k'} & A'
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
& \xrightarrow{d} & B \\
\downarrow{g_1} & & \downarrow{g_0} \\
& \xrightarrow{d'} & B'
\end{array}
\]

\[
(\beta, \gamma): (X, B, \alpha, f) \rightarrow (X', B', \alpha', f')
\]

such that \((g_1, g_0)\) is a morphism of internal categories; we can define a morphism of crossed modules

\[
(\beta, \gamma): (X, B, \alpha, f) \rightarrow (X', B', \alpha', f')
\]

by putting \( \beta = \delta \) and \( \gamma = g_0 \). Indeed:

(a) using the Schreier condition we have

\[
g_1 k\alpha_+(b, x) + e' g_0(b) = g_1 k\alpha_+(b, x) + g_1 e(b) = g_1 (k\alpha_+(b, x) + e(b)) = g_1 (e(b) + k(x)) = g_1 e(b) + g_1 k(x) = e' g_0(b) + k' \delta(x) = k' \alpha'_+(g_0(b), \delta(x)) + e' g_0(b);
\]

by uniqueness in the Schreier condition we obtain that:

\[
k' \delta\alpha_+(b, x) = g_1 k\alpha_+(b, x) = k' \alpha'_+(g_0(b), \delta(x)),
\]

and since \( k' \) is injective we get

\[
\delta\alpha_+(b, x) = \alpha'_+(g_0(b), \delta(x)),
\]

i.e.

\[
\beta\alpha_+(b, x) = \alpha'_+(\gamma(b), \beta(x)).
\]
(b) using the fact that \( kq(e(b) \ast k(x)) = c(b) \ast k(x) \) for any \( b \in B, x \in X \), we have:

\[
k' \delta q(e(b) \ast k(x)) = g_1 kq(e(b) \ast k(x)) = g_1(e(b) \ast k(x)) =
\]

\[
g_1 e(b) \ast g_1 k(x) = e' g_0(b) \ast k' \delta(x) = k' q'(e' g_0(b) \ast k' \delta(x)),
\]

and since \( k' \) is injective, we obtain:

\[
\beta \alpha_*(b, x) = \delta q(e(b) \ast k(x)) = q'(e' g_0(b) \ast k' \delta(x)) = \alpha'_*(\gamma(b), \beta(x)).
\]

(c) The fact that \( \gamma f = f' \beta \) comes immediately from the commutativity of diagram (2).

This defines a functor

\[
F: SCat(C) \to XMod(C).
\]

In order to show that this functor is an equivalence, we will define another functor

\[
G: XMod(C) \to SCat(C).
\]

Given a crossed module \((X, B, \alpha, f)\), we can define \( A = X \rtimes_\alpha B \) and we obtain a Schreier point:

\[
X \xrightarrow{(1, 0)} X \rtimes_\alpha B \xrightarrow{\pi_B} \langle 0, 1 \rangle B.
\]

Putting \( d = \pi_B, e = \langle 0, 1 \rangle, k = (1, 0) \) and defining \( c \) by \( c(x, b) = f(x) + b \) we obtain a reflexive graph:

\[
X \xrightarrow{k} A \xrightarrow{c} B;
\]

\( c \) is a morphism, indeed preservation of unary operations is obvious, and moreover:

\[
c((x_1, b_1) \ast (x_2, b_2)) = c(x_1 + \alpha_+(b_1, x_2), b_1 + b_2) =
\]

\[
f(x_1) + f\alpha_+(b_1, x_2) + b_1 + b_2 = f(x_1) + b_1 + f(x_2) + b_2 = c(x_1, b_1) + c(x_2, b_2),
\]

and, for any \( \ast \in \Omega'_2 \)

\[
c((x_1, b_1) \ast (x_2, b_2)) = c(x_1 \ast x_2 + \alpha_+(b_1, x_2) + \alpha_+(b_2, x_1), b_1 \ast b_2) =
\]
Now we have to define the composition \( m \). First observe that two pairs \((x, b)\) and \((x', b')\) are composable if and only if \( b' = f(x) + b \). Hence we can define \( m \) in the following way:

\[
m((x', f(x) + b), (x, b)) = (x' + x, b).
\]

\( m \) is a morphism, indeed preservation of unary operations is obvious, and moreover:

\[
m[((x_1', f(x_1) + b_1), (x_1, b_1)) + ((x_2', f(x_2) + b_2), (x_2, b_2))] =
\]

\[
m[(x_1', f(x_1) + b_1) + (x_2', f(x_2) + b_2), (x_1, b_1) + (x_2, b_2)] =
\]

\[
m[(x_1' + \alpha_+(f(x_1) + b_1, x_1'), f(x_1) + b_1 + f(x_2) + b_2), (x_1 + \alpha_+(b_1, x_2), b_1 + b_2)] =
\]

\[
= (x_1' + \alpha_+(f(x_1) + b_1, x_1'), x_1' + x_1 + \alpha_+(b_1, x_2), b_1 + b_2),
\]

and the two pairs are the same, because, thanks to Lemma 2.10, we have:

\[
x_1' + \alpha_+(f(x_1) + b_1, x_1') + x_1 + \alpha_+(b_1, x_2) = x_1' + x_1 + \alpha_+(b_1, x_2 + x_2).
\]

Analogously it can be proved that \( m \) preserves any \( * \in \Omega_2' \):

\[
m[((x_1', f(x_1) + b_1), (x_1, b_1)) * ((x_2', f(x_2) + b_2), (x_2, b_2))] =
\]

\[
m[(x_1', f(x_1) + b_1) * (x_2', f(x_2) + b_2), (x_1, b_1) * (x_2, b_2)] =
\]

\[
m[(x_1' * x_2' + \alpha_*(f(x_1) + b_1, x_1'), x_1' + \alpha_*(f(x_2) + b_2, x_1'), (f(x_1) + b_1) * (f(x_2) + b_2)),
\]

\[
(x_1 * x_2 + \alpha_*(b_1, x_2) + \alpha_*(b_2, x_1), b_1 * b_2)] =
\]

\[
= (x_1' * x_2' + \alpha_*(f(x_1) + b_1, x_1') + \alpha_*(f(x_2) + b_2, x_1') + x_1 * x_2 + \alpha_*(b_1, x_2) + \alpha_*(b_2, x_1), b_1 * b_2) =
\]
\[ (x_1' \ast x_2' + \alpha_\ast (f(x_1), x_2') + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2') + \alpha_\ast (f(x_2), x_1') + \alpha_\ast (b_2, x_1') + x_1 \ast x_2 + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2) + \\
+ \alpha_\ast (b_2, x_1), b_1 \ast b_2) = \]
\[ = (x_1' \ast x_2' + x_1 \ast x_2' + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2') + x_1' \ast x_2 + \alpha_\ast (b_2, x_1') + x_1 \ast x_2 + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2) + \alpha_\ast (b_2, x_1), b_1 \ast b_2), \]

while
\[ m((x_1', f(x_1) + b_1), (x_1, b_1)) \ast m((x_2', f(x_2) + b_2), (x_2, b_2)) = (x_1' + x_1, b_1) \ast (x_2' + x_2, b_2) = \]
\[ = ((x_1' + x_1) \ast (x_2' + x_2) + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2' + x_2) + \alpha_\ast (x_1 + x_1, b_1 \ast b_2)) = \]
\[ = ((x_1' + x_1) \ast (x_2' + x_2) + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2') + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2) + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2') + \alpha_\ast (b_2, x_1'), b_1 \ast b_2) = \]
\[ = (x_1' \ast x_2' + x_1 \ast x_2' + x_1 \ast x_2 + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2') + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2) + \alpha_\ast (b_2, x_1) + \alpha_\ast (b_2, x_1), b_1 \ast b_2); \]

in order to prove that \( m \) preserves any \( * \in \Omega'_2 \), we have to show that (3) and (4) are equal. Since the second components are equal, it suffices to show that also the first components are the same. To do this, we can apply the monomorphism \( k \) to them. In fact, thanks to the Schreier condition, \( k_\alpha_\ast (b, x) = s(b) \ast k(x) \) for any \( b \in B, x \in X \). Then the partial commutativity of + in \( A \), as explained in Observation 2.3, can be applied to give the result.

It is straightforward to check that \( m \) is associative and preserves identities. Hence we have a Schreier internal category. Moreover, given a morphism \( (g_1, g_0) \) between the corresponding Schreier internal categories by putting

\[ g_0 = \gamma, \quad g_1(x, b) = (\beta(x), \gamma(b)). \]

\( g_1 \) is a morphism, indeed preservation of unary operations is obvious, and moreover:
\[ g_1((x_1, b_1) + (x_2, b_2)) = g_1(x_1 + \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2), b_1 + b_2) = \]
\[ = (\beta(x_1) + \beta \alpha_\ast (b_1, x_2), \gamma(b_1 + b_2)) = (\beta(x_1) + \alpha_\ast (\gamma(b_1), \beta(x_2)), \gamma(b_1) + \gamma(b_2)) = \]
\[ = (\beta(x_1), \gamma(b_1)) + (\beta(x_2), \gamma(b_2)) = g_1(x_1, b_1) + g_1(x_2, b_2), \]
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and, for any \( * \in \Omega'_2 \):
\[
g_1((x_1, b_1) \ast (x_2, b_2)) = g_1(x_1 \ast x_2 + \alpha_*(b_1, x_2) + \alpha_* (b_2, x_1), b_1 \ast b_2) = \\
= (\beta(x_1 \ast x_2) + \beta\alpha_*(b_1, x_2) + \beta\alpha_* (b_2, x_1), \gamma(b_1 \ast b_2)) = \\
= (\beta(x_1) \ast \beta(x_2) + \alpha'_*(\gamma(b_1), \beta(x_2)) + \alpha'_* (\gamma(b_2), \beta(x_1)), \gamma(b_1) \ast \gamma(b_2)) = \\
= (\beta(x_1), \gamma(b_1)) \ast (\beta(x_2), \gamma(b_2)) = g_1(x_1, b_1) \ast g_1(x_2, b_2).
\]
Moreover, we have:
\[
g_0 d(x, b) = g_0(b) = \gamma(b) = d'(\beta(x), \gamma(b)) = d' g_1(x, b);
\]
\[
g_0 c(x, b) = g_0(f(x) + b) = \gamma f(x) + \gamma(b) = f' \beta(x) + \gamma(b) = c'(\beta(x), \gamma(b)) = c' g_1(x, b);
\]
\[
e'(g_0(b)) = e' \gamma(b) = (0, \gamma(b)) = g_1(0, b) = g_1 e(b);
\]
\[
m'(g_1 \times g_1)((x', b'), (x, b)) = m'((\beta(x'), \gamma(b')), (\beta(x), \gamma(b))) = \\
= (\beta(x') + \beta(x), \gamma(b)) = g_1(x' + x, b) = g_1 m((x', b'), (x, b)).
\]
So we have a functor
\[
G : X\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}) \to \text{SCat}(\mathbb{C}).
\]
It is immediate to see that \( FG = 1_{X\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C})} \); let us prove that \( GF \simeq 1_{\text{SCat}(\mathbb{C})} \). In order to do that consider, for any Schreier internal category
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{k} & A \\
\end{array},
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{d} & B \\
\end{array}
\]
the following diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{k} & A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B \\
\downarrow \psi & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{(1,0)} & X \times_{\alpha} B & \xrightarrow{\pi_B \times_{C'} \alpha} & B,
\end{array}
\]
where the lower line is the image of the upper one under the functor \( GF \) and the morphisms \( \psi \) and \( \varphi \) are defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.9:
\[
\psi(a) = (q(a), d(a)), \quad \varphi(x, b) = k(x) + e(b).
\]
We already know that $\psi$ and $\varphi$ are isomorphisms in $\mathbb{C}$; it remains to prove that they give rise to internal functors. $\varphi$ (and hence $\psi$) is a morphism of internal reflexive graphs, indeed:

$$d\varphi(x, b) = d(k(x) + e(b)) = b = \pi_B(x, b);$$

$$c\varphi(x, b) = c(k(x) + e(b)) = ck(x) + ce(b) = ck(x) + b = c'(x, b);$$

$$\varphi(0, 1)(b) = \varphi(0, b) = e(b).$$

Moreover, $\psi$ preserves composition, i.e. $\psi m = m'(\psi \times \psi)$. Indeed:

$$\psi m(a', a) = \psi(kq(a') + kq(a) + ed(a)) = (q(kq(a') + kq(a) + ed(a)), d(a)),$$

while

$$m'(\psi \times \psi)(a', a) = m'((q(a'), d(a')), (q(a), d(a))) = (q(a') + q(a), d(a)),$$

and they are equal, because, applying the Schreier condition to the element $k(q(a') + q(a)) + ed(a) \in A$ we have:

$$k(q(a') + q(a)) + ed(a) = kq(k(q(a') + q(a)) + ed(a)) + ed(a)$$

and the thesis follows by the uniqueness in the Schreier condition. This concludes the proof. □

**Definition 3.8.** ([10]) A Schreier internal groupoid is an internal category

$$X \xrightarrow{k} A \xleftarrow{d} \xrightarrow{c} B$$

in $\mathbb{C}$ endowed with a set-theoretical map $i: A \to A$ giving inverses for the composition $m$, i.e.:

$$di = c, \ ci = d, \ m(i(a), a) = ed(a), \ m(a, i(a)) = ec(a) \ \text{for any} \ a \in A.$$

We observe that, in the definition above, it is not necessary to ask $i$ to be a $\mathbb{C}$-morphism. Indeed, for an internal category in a category with pullbacks, being an internal groupoid is a property, which can be expressed by saying that the kernel pair of the domain morphism is given by the composition morphism and one of the projections (as showed, for example, in Proposition A.3.7 in [1]). Hence, since for any variety the forgetful functor into the category of sets preserves and reflects pullbacks, any internal category is an internal groupoid as soon as it is a groupoid in the category of sets.
Corollary 3.9. A Schreier internal category in $C$ is a Schreier internal groupoid if and only if, in the corresponding crossed module $(X,B,\alpha,f)$ in $C$, $X$ is a group.

Proof. Given a Schreier internal groupoid of the form (5), for every $y \in X$ we have that
\[ m(ik(y), k(y)) = edk(y) = 0, \quad m(k(y), ik(y)) = eck(y). \]

By the Schreier condition, there exists a unique $x \in X$ such that
\[ ik(y) = k(x) + edik(y) = k(x) + eck(y), \]
hence
\[ m(k(x) + eck(y), k(y)) = 0, \quad m(k(y), k(x) + eck(y)) = eck(y). \]

Using Lemma 3.5, we obtain
\[ kq(k(x) + eck(y)) + k(y) = 0, \quad k(y) + kq(k(x) + eck(y)) + ed(k(x) + eck(y)) = eck(y), \]
here
\[ kq(k(x) + eck(y)) + k(y) = 0, \quad k(y) + kq(k(x) + eck(y)) + eck(y) = eck(y). \]
By the Schreier condition we have that $kq(k(x) + eck(y)) = k(x)$ and so:
\[ k(x) + k(y) = 0, \quad k(y) + k(x) + eck(y) = eck(y). \]
Again by the Schreier condition, the second equality gives $k(y) + k(x) = 0$; since $k$ is a monomorphism, we have that
\[ x + y = y + x = 0, \]
and $X$ is a group.

Conversely, let $(X,B,\alpha,f)$ a crossed module such that $X$ is a group. Consider the corresponding Schreier internal category
\[ X \xrightarrow{(1,0)} X \ltimes_{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\pi_B \circ \varepsilon} B, \]
where $c(x,b) = f(x) + b$ and $m((x', f(x) + b), (x, b)) = (x' + x, b)$. We can define $i$ by:
\[ i(x, b) = (-x, f(x) + b). \]
It is immediate to see that $i$ gives inverses for $m$. 
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4. The case of monoids

The aim of this section is to compare, in the case of monoids, the semidirect product defined in Section 2 with the categorical one, defined by D. Bourn and G. Janelidze in [4]. We start recalling the categorical definition of semidirect products introduced in [4].

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. A diagram

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{q} & A \\
\downarrow{\gamma} & & \downarrow{\alpha} \\
B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & E \\
\end{array}
\end{equation}

is called a split commutative square if $\alpha \beta = 1_B$, $\gamma \delta = 1_E$ and it commutes both upwards and downwards, i.e. $\alpha q = p \gamma$ and $q \delta = \beta p$.

A split pullback is a universal such square. More precisely, the diagram (6) is a split pullback of $(\alpha, \beta)$ along $p$ if, for any other split commutative square

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
D' & \xrightarrow{q'} & A' \\
\downarrow{\gamma'} & & \downarrow{\alpha'} \\
B & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & E' \\
\end{array}
\end{equation}

there exists a unique morphism $d: D' \to D$ such that

$$\gamma d = \gamma', \quad d \delta' = \delta, \quad q d = q'.$$

Dually, the same diagram defines a split pushout of $(\gamma, \delta)$ along $p$ when, for any other split commutative square

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{q} & A' \\
\downarrow{\gamma} & & \downarrow{\alpha'} \\
B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & E' \\
\end{array}
\end{equation}

there exists a unique morphism $a: A \to A'$ such that

$$\alpha' a = \alpha, \quad a \beta = \beta', \quad a q = q'.$$
We say that the category $\mathcal{C}$ has split pullbacks (resp. split pushouts) if it admits split pullbacks (resp. split pushouts) along any morphism $p : E \to B$.

The existence of split pullbacks defines a contravariant pseudofunctor

$$Pt : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \to \text{Cat}$$

(the pseudofunctor of points) that assigns to a morphism $p : E \to B$, the pullback functor

$$p^* : Pt(B) \to Pt(E),$$

where the category $Pt(B)$ is the category of points over $B$, as in Section 2.

Hence the following is a purely categorical definition:

**Definition 4.1. ([4], Definition 3.2)** A category $\mathcal{C}$ with split pullbacks is said to be a category with semidirect products if, for any arrow $p : E \to B$ in $\mathcal{C}$, the pullback functor $p^*$ (has a left adjoint and) is monadic.

In this case, denoting by $T^p$ the monad defined by this adjunction, given a $T^p$-algebra $(D, \xi)$ the semidirect product $(D, \xi) \rtimes (B, p)$ is an object in $Pt(B)$ corresponding to $(D, \xi)$ via the canonical equivalence $K$:

$$[Pt(E)]^{T^p} \xrightarrow{\sim} Pt(B) \xrightarrow{p^*} Pt(E)$$

(7)

Let us observe that, if $\mathcal{C}$ is finitely complete, the pullback functors $p^*$ have left adjoints $p_!$ (for any $p$ in $\mathcal{C}$) if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ has split pushouts. Moreover, in the paper [8] the authors proved that, if $\mathcal{C}$ is finitely complete, it has pushouts of split monomorphisms and an initial object, then it is not necessary to consider all morphisms $p$ in $\mathcal{C}$, but it is sufficient to consider only the morphisms $i_B : 0 \to B$ with the initial object as domain. Indeed:

**Proposition 4.2. ([8], Corollary 3)** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with finite limits, pushouts of split monomorphisms and initial object. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) all pullback functors $i_B^*$ defined by the initial arrows are monadic;
(ii) for any morphism \( p \) in \( C \), the pullback functor \( p^* \) is monadic, i.e. \( C \) admits semidirect products.

The algebras for the monad \( T^{\sharp} \) are called internal actions in [2]. The monad \( T^{\sharp} \) is usually denoted by \( B\sharp(-) \); for any object \( X \), \( B\sharp X \) is the kernel of the morphism \([0,1]: X + B \to B\). Algebras for this monad are hence morphisms \( \xi: B\sharp X \to X \) satisfying the usual conditions for an algebra. Our aim is to compare internal actions with the actions defined by a Schreier split extension, as in Section 2, that will be called external actions from now on.

Let now \( C \) be the category \( \text{Mon} \) of monoids. It is known that this category doesn’t have semidirect products in the categorical sense, or, in other terms, that the points are not equivalent to the internal actions. Indeed, the category \( \text{Mon} \) is not protomodular in the sense of [3], and it is known that protomodularity is a necessary condition in order to have semidirect products (see [4]; see also [7], where a characterization of pointed categories that admit semidirect products is given). On the other hand, Theorem 2.9 gives an equivalence between Schreier points and external actions (i.e. pre-actions such that the corresponding semidirect product is an object of \( C \)). Hence it is worth comparing internal and external actions in this context.

In general, internal and external actions are not equivalent. To see that, we can consider the monoid \( \mathbb{N} \) of natural numbers (with the usual sum as operation) as acting monoid \( B \). In this case, \( \mathbb{N}\sharp X = X \) for any monoid \( X \). Indeed, it is easy to see that the kernel of the morphism \([0,1]: X + \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\) is just \( X \). Hence an internal action is a morphism \( \xi: X \to X \) satisfying the usual conditions; in particular, \( \xi \) should be a split epimorphism, with section given by the inclusion \( \eta: X \to B\sharp X \). But in this case \( \eta = 1_X \), and this forces \( \xi \) to be the identity. In other terms, the set \( \text{IntAct}(\mathbb{N},X) \) of internal actions of \( \mathbb{N} \) over \( X \) is just a singleton.

However, the set \( \text{ExtAct}(\mathbb{N},X) \) of external actions of \( \mathbb{N} \) over \( X \) is not a singleton in general. To see that, we can choose also \( X \) to be the monoid \( \mathbb{N} \) of natural numbers. Consider then, for any natural number \( n \), different from 0, the following pre-action of \( \mathbb{N} \) on itself:

\[
\alpha_n(b,x) = n^b x.
\]

It is straightforward to verify that the semidirect product defined using any
of these pre-actions, as in Definition 2.5, is a monoid. Hence \( \alpha_n \) is an external action for any \( n \). It is easy to see that these actions do not give rise to semidirect products that are all isomorphic: it suffices to observe that the semidirect product \( N \rtimes_{\alpha_1} N \) is just the direct product of \( N \) with itself, hence it is a commutative monoid, while the semidirect products \( N \rtimes_{\alpha_n} N \) are not commutative if \( n \neq 1 \). Hence \( \text{IntAct}(N, N) \neq \text{ExtAct}(N, N) \).

There are particular cases, however, where internal and external actions coincide. One of them is described in the following

**Proposition 4.3.** If \( B \) is a group (and \( X \) is a generic monoid), then there is a bijection between \( \text{IntAct}(B, X) \) and \( \text{ExtAct}(B, X) \).

**Proof.** Let us first observe that every point

\[
X \xrightarrow{k} A \xleftarrow{s/p} B,
\]

such that \( B \) is a group, is actually a Schreier point. Indeed, we can define a pre-action of \( B \) on \( X \) in the following way:

\[
\alpha(b, x) = s(b) + k(x) - s(b),
\]

and it is immediate to show that the corresponding semidirect product \( X \rtimes_{\alpha} B \) is a monoid, hence this pre-action is an external action and the point (8) is a Schreier one: in fact we have that, in this case, \( q(a) = a - sp(a) \).

Moreover, when \( B \) is a group, \( B^\flat X \) is the submonoid of the free product \( X + B \) generated by chains of the form \( (b, x, -b) \) for \( b \in B \) and \( x \in X \). Hence, given an internal action \( \xi : B^\flat X \to X \), we can define a pre-action (which is actually an external action) by:

\[
\alpha(b, x) = \xi(b, x, -b),
\]

in the same way as it happens in the category of groups (see [4] for a more detailed description of this bijection in the category of groups). Conversely, given an external action \( \alpha \) of \( B \) on \( X \), we can consider the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B^\flat X & \xrightarrow{k_0} & X + B \\
\downarrow{\xi} & \quad & \downarrow{([1,0],(0,1))} \\
X & \xrightarrow{(1,0)} & X \rtimes_{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\pi_B} B.
\end{array}
\]
Then we can define an internal action $\xi$ just by restriction of the morphism $[(1, 0), (0, 1)]$ to $B^o X$. It is straightforward to prove that, in this way, we obtain a bijection between $\text{IntAct}(B, X)$ and $\text{ExtAct}(B, X)$.

5. The case of semirings

In this section we explore in more details the example of semirings.

A semiring $(A, +, 0, \cdot)$ is an algebraic structure with one constant and two binary operations, in which $(A, +, 0)$ is a commutative monoid, $(A, \cdot)$ is a semigroup, and the following conditions are satisfied for every $x, y, z \in A$:

\[ x \cdot (y + z) = (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z), \]
\[ (x + y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) + (y \cdot z), \]
\[ x \cdot 0 = 0 = 0 \cdot x. \]

If $X = (X, +, 0, \cdot)$ and $B = (B, +, 0, \cdot)$ are two semirings, a pre-action of $B$ on $X$ consists of three maps $\alpha_+, \alpha_\cdot, \alpha_\cdot\circ : B \times X \to X$.

**Proposition 5.1.** A pre-action $\alpha = \{\alpha_+, \alpha_\cdot, \alpha_\cdot\circ\}$ is an action if and only if, for all $b \in B$ and all $x \in X$,

\[ \alpha_+(b, x) = x, \]  

and, if for simplicity we write $\alpha_\cdot(b, x) = b \odot x$ and $\alpha_\cdot\circ(b, x) = x \odot b$, the following conditions are satisfied for every $b, b' \in B$ and $x, x' \in X$:

\[ (b + b') \odot (x + x') = b \odot x + b' \odot x' + b \odot x + b' \odot x', \]  

\[ (x + x') \odot (b + b') = x \odot b + x' \odot b + x \odot b' + x' \odot b', \]  

\[ (b \odot x) \cdot x' = b \odot (x \cdot x'), \quad x \cdot (x' \odot b) = (x \cdot x') \odot b, \]  

\[ (b \cdot b') \circ x = b \circ (b' \circ x), \quad x \circ (b \cdot b') = (x \circ b) \circ b', \]  

\[ x \cdot (b \circ x') = (x \circ b) \cdot x', \quad (b \circ x) \odot b' = b \circ (x \odot b'), \]  

\[ 0 \odot x = 0 \circ 0 = x \odot 0 = 0. \]

**Proof.** Condition (9) is due to the fact that $+$ is commutative, together with the specifications $\alpha_+(0, x) = x$ and $\alpha_+(b, 0) = 0$, as it follows from Lemma.
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2.10. Conditions (10) to (15) are equivalent to the distributivity of \( \cdot \) and \( \circ \) with respect to \( + \), the fact that 0 is absorvent with respect to \( \cdot \), and the associativity of \( \cdot \). Indeed, for any element \((x, b)\) in the semidirect product \( X \rtimes_\alpha B \) with the operations as specified in Definition 2.5, we have

\[
(x, b) \cdot (0, 0) = (0, 0) = (0, 0) \cdot (x, b)
\]

and hence

\[
b \circ 0 + x \circ 0 = 0 = 0 \circ x + 0 \circ b,
\]

now using the distributivity, as specified in Lemma 2.10, we also have

\[
0 = 0 \circ x + 0 \circ b = (0 + 0) \circ x + 0 \circ b = 0 \circ x + 0 = 0 \circ x.
\]

The other identities in (15) are obtained in a similar way. It is now a routine calculation to check that the equations (10) and (11) follow from the distributivity of the operation \( \cdot \), while the equations (12), (13) and (14) follow from its associativity in \( X \rtimes_\alpha B \).

The example (\( \mathbb{N}, +, 0, \times \)) of natural numbers with zero, addition and multiplication is perhaps the paradigmatic example of a semiring. Other examples are \( \text{hom}(B, B) \), the set of all endomorphisms on a commutative monoid \( B \), with the zero map, the componentwise addition and the composition of morphisms as multiplication. Moreover, given a set \( A \), the set of languages over the alphabet \( A \) (i.e. the set of subsets of the free monoid \( A^* \) over \( A \)) is a semiring, where the monoid operation is the set-theoretical union, while the other operation is given by the concatenation of words: given two languages \( L \) and \( L' \), a word \( \tau \) belongs to the product \( LL' \) if and only if there exist \( \sigma \in L \) and \( \sigma' \in L' \) such that \( \tau = \sigma \sigma' \). It is immediate to see that this concatenation is associative and distributive with respect to the union.

An important particular instance of a semiring is a distributive lattice: a distributive lattice is a semi-ring \((A, +, 0, \times)\) where, in particular, \((A, +, 0)\) is an idempotent commutative monoid and \((A, \times)\) is an idempotent commutative semigroup.

In the particular case when the operation \( \times \) is commutative, \( \alpha_\times = \alpha_{\times \circ} \). As a concrete example, we can study actions of \( \mathbb{N} \) on itself, where \( \mathbb{N} \) denotes
the semiring of natural numbers. There are exactly two actions of \( \mathbb{N} \) on itself: 
\[ \alpha_x(n, m) = nm \] and \[ \alpha_x(n, m) = 0. \] Indeed, from (10), \( \alpha_x \) must be of the form 
\[ \alpha_x(n, m) = kmn, \] with \( k = \alpha_x(1, 1), \) but in order to satisfy (13), \( k \) must 
be idempotent: \( k = k^2. \) The only two natural numbers with this property 
are \( k = 0 \) and \( k = 1. \)
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