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1. Motivation

Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials are related to Stieltjes functions, \(S\), that satisfy a Riccati differential equation with polynomial coefficients [1–3]

\[ AS' = BS^2 + CS + D. \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The study of recurrences for orthogonal polynomials related to the differential equations of general type (1) is connected to a wide range of subjects in mathematics, such as probability theory [4], differential equations [5, 6], constructive approximation [7], etc. In particular, the semiclassical case - \(B \equiv 0\) in (1) - has been much studied in the literature due to the well-known connections with integrable systems and Painlevé equations (see, amongst many others, [8, 9]).

In the literature of orthogonal polynomials, the study of Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials proceeds in two directions. One of them is the study within the framework of modifications of measures and the analysis of the corresponding perturbations on the sequences of orthogonal polynomials. Indeed, Laguerre-Hahn families of orthogonal polynomials may appear as a result of modifications of semi-classical orthogonal polynomials, for example, through some perturbations of the coefficients of the three
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term recurrence relation [10-13], or through spectral rational modifications of the Stieltjes functions [14-16]. Another direction of study concerns the problem of classification of families of orthogonal polynomials in terms of classes of differential equations (1), which amounts to the classification in terms of classes of distributional equations for the corresponding linear functionals. In more specific terms, given information on the polynomial coefficients of (1), the goal is to describe the systems of equations for the recurrence relation coefficients of the corresponding sequence of orthogonal polynomials, the so-called Laguerre-Freud equations [17-21]. Generally, such equations are given in terms of non-linear systems, whose complexity increases with the degrees of the polynomials in (1). In this topic one should emphasize the vast literature on the semi-classical case, showing that recurrence coefficients of semi-classical orthogonal polynomials can often be expressed in terms of solutions of Painlevé equations (see, amongst many others, [8, 9, 22, 23]).

In the present work we focus on the difference equations for the recurrence relation coefficients of Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials in class one (cf. Section 2), max{deg(A), deg(B)} ≤ 3, deg(C) = 2 or max{deg(A), deg(B)} = 3, deg(C) ≤ 2 in (1). The symmetric case has been analysed in [24], but the problem of a classification of such a class of orthogonal polynomials remains open. Our main results are contained in Section 3, in Theorems 3.3, 3.6, and 3.8. We focus on deg(A) ≤ 1 in (1), and show that the recurrence relation coefficients of orthogonal polynomials belonging to Laguerre-Hahn class one in the non-symmetric case related to deg(A) ≤ 1 in (1) are governed by difference equations of the Painlevé type, namely, dP_{TV} and dP_{I}. The expressions of discrete Painlevé equations in terms of the coefficients of the Riccati equation for the Stieltjes function are deduced. The main tool is the so-called structure relations for Laguerre-Hahn polynomials [1, Eqs. (3.9), (3.10)] which have been recently re-interpreted and studied within the theory of matrix Sylvester equations in [14, Theorem 1]. Comments on the analysis of the cases deg(A) ≥ 2 are given in Remark 3.9.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give preliminary results on Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials. Section 3 is devoted to the derivation of recurrences for Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials and to show the connection to the discrete Painlevé equation dP_{TV} and dP_{I}. In Section 4 we illustrate our main results with examples of modified Laguerre and associated Laguerre polynomials.

2. Preliminary results

Let \( \mathbb{P} = \text{span} \{x^k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \) be a linear space of polynomials with complex coefficients and let \( \mathbb{P}^* \) be its algebraic dual space. We will denote the action of \( u \in \mathbb{P}^* \) on \( f \in \mathbb{P} \) by \( \langle u, f \rangle \).

Given the moments of \( u, u_n = \langle u, x^n \rangle, \ n \geq 0, \) where we take \( u_0 = 1, \) the principal leading minors of the corresponding Hankel matrix are defined by \( H_n = \det(u_{i+j})_{i, j = 0}^n, \ n \geq 0, \) where, by a convention, \( H_{-1} = 1. \) The functional \( u \) is said to be quasi-definite (respectively, positive-definite) if \( H_n \neq 0 \) (respectively, \( H_n > 0 \)), for all \( n \geq 0. \)

**Definition 2.1.** (see [25]) Let \( u \in \mathbb{P}^* \) and let \( \{P_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) be a sequence of polynomials such that \( \deg(P_n) = n, \ n \geq 0. \) \( \{P_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) is said to be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to \( u \) if

\[
\langle u, P_n P_m \rangle = h_n \delta_{n,m}, \ h_n \neq 0, \ n, m \geq 0.
\]

(2)

Throughout the paper we shall take each \( P_n \) monic, that is, \( P_n(x) = x^n + \) lower degree terms, and we will abbreviate a sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials \( \{P_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) by
The equivalence between the quasi-definiteness of \( u \) and the existence of a SMOP with respect to \( u \) is well known in the literature on orthogonal polynomials (see [25, 26]). Furthermore, if \( u \) is positive-definite, then it has an integral representation in terms of a positive Borel measure \( \mu \) supported on an infinite point set \( I \) of the real line such that
\[
\langle u, x^n \rangle = \int_I x^n \, d\mu(x), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{3}
\]
and the orthogonality condition (2) becomes
\[
\int_I P_n(x)P_m(x) \, d\mu(x) = h_n \delta_{n,m}, \quad h_n > 0, \quad n, m \geq 0.
\]

If \( \mu \) is an absolutely continuous measure supported on \( I \), and \( w \) denotes its Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to the Lebesgue measure, i.e. \( d\mu(x) = w(x) \, dx \), then we will also say that \( \{P_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) is orthogonal with respect to \( w \).

Monic orthogonal polynomials satisfy a three term recurrence relation [25]
\[
P_{n+1}(x) = (x - \beta_n)P_n(x) - \gamma_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{4}
\]
with \( P_0(x) = 1, \ P_1(x) = x - \beta_0 \) and \( \gamma_n \neq 0, n \geq 1, \gamma_0 = 1 \).

**Definition 2.2.** (see [26]) Let \( \{P_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) be a SMOP with respect to \( u \in \mathbb{P} \). The sequence of monic associated polynomials of the first kind is defined by
\[
P_n^{(1)}(x) = \langle u, \frac{P_{n+1}(x) - P_{n+1}(t)}{x - t} \rangle, \quad n \geq 0,
\]
where \( u_t \) denotes the action of \( u \) on the variable \( t \).

The sequence \( \{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n \geq 0} \) also satisfies a three term recurrence relation
\[
P_n^{(1)}(x) = (x - \beta_n)P_{n-1}^{(1)}(x) - \gamma_n P_{n-2}^{(1)}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{5}
\]
with \( P_1^{(1)}(x) = 0, \ P_0^{(1)}(x) = 1 \).

**Definition 2.3.** The Stieltjes function of \( u \in \mathbb{P} \) is defined by
\[
S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{u_n}{x^{n+1}}, \quad \text{where} \ u_n \ \text{are the moments of} \ u.
\]

The function \( S \) has a continued fraction expansion given by
\[
S(x) = \frac{1}{x - \beta_0 - \frac{\gamma_1}{x - \beta_1 - \frac{\gamma_2}{\ddots}}}, \tag{6}
\]
where the \( \gamma \)'s and the \( \beta \)'s are the coefficients in the three term recurrence relation for the corresponding SMOP. Note that if \( u \) is positive-definite, defined by (3), then \( S \) is the so-called Borel or Stieltjes transform of the measure,
\[
S(x) = \int_I \frac{d\mu(t)}{x - t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus I.
\]
In account of (6), the Stieltjes functions corresponding to \(\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}\) and \(\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n\geq 0}\), denoted by \(S\) and \(S^{(1)}\), respectively, are related by [16]

\[
\gamma_1 S^{(1)}(x) = -\frac{1}{S(x)} + (x - \beta_0).
\]  

(7)

**Definition 2.4.** (see [3]) A Stieltjes function \(S\) and the corresponding linear functional \(u\), are said to be Laguerre-Hahn if there exist polynomials \(A, B, C, D\), such that \(S\) satisfies a Riccati differential equation

\[
AS' = BS^2 + CS + D, \quad A \neq 0.
\]  

(8)

A sequence of orthogonal polynomials related to \(S\) (or \(u\)) is then called Laguerre-Hahn. If \(B = 0\), then \(S\) (or \(u\)) is said to be semi-classical.

Eq. (8) is equivalent to the distributional equation [1, Theorem 3.1]

\[
D(Au) = \psi u + B(x^{-1}u^2), \quad \psi = A' + C.
\]  

(9)

The polynomial \(D\) can be written in terms of \(A, B, C\) as

\[
D(x) = -(u\theta_0 A)'(x) + (u\theta_0 (A' + C))(x) + (u^2\theta_0^2 B)(x).
\]

The definition of \(\theta_0 p, \ p \in \mathbb{P}\), as well as the right product of a linear functional by a polynomial, and the product of two linear functionals, can be found in [1, 3].

**Remark 2.5.** Let \(B \equiv 0\). If \(\deg(\psi) = 1, \deg(A) \leq 2\), then \(u\) is called a classical functional, and the corresponding orthogonal polynomials are the so-called classical orthogonal polynomials, that is, Hermite, Laguerre, Jacobi and Bessel polynomials. \(\deg(\psi) \geq 1\) corresponds to the semi-classical case.

If \(u\) is positive-definite, defined in terms of a weight \(w\), then the semi-classical character of \(u\), that is, \(D(Au) = \psi u \) or \(AS' = CS + D\), is equivalent to the Pearson equation \(Au' = CW\), with \(w\) satisfying the boundary conditions [3]

\[
x^n A(x)w(x)|_{a,b} = 0, \quad n \geq 0,
\]

where \(a, b\) (eventually \(a\) or \(b\) infinite) are linked with the roots of \(A\). In such a case, \(w\) is the weight function on the support \(I = [a, b]\).

In the semi-classical case, integral representations for \(u\) are known [27, 28]. Let us emphasize that the problem of the integral representation of Laguerre-Hahn linear functionals remains an open problem.

Note that the triple \((A, \psi, B)\) satisfying (9) is not unique, indeed, many triples of polynomials can be associated with such a distributional equation, but only one canonical set of minimal degree exists. To a Laguerre-Hahn linear functional one associates the class, a non-negative integer, defined as follows.

**Definition 2.6.** [3] The class of the linear functional \(u\) satisfying (9) is the minimum value of \(\max\{\deg(\psi) - 1, d - 2\}\), \(d = \max\{\deg(A), \deg(B)\}\), for all triples of polynomials \((A, \psi, B)\) satisfying (9).

The functional \(u\) related to the Riccati equation \(AS' = BS^2 + CS + D\) is of class \(s = \max\{\deg(C) - 1, d - 2\}\) if, and only if, the polynomials \(A, B, C\) and \(D\) have no common zeroes [24, Prop. 2.5].
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The recurrence relations (4) and (5) can be written in the matrix form
\[
Y_n = A_n Y_{n-1}, \quad Y_n = \begin{bmatrix} P_{n+1} & P_n^{(1)} \\ P_n & P_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_n = \begin{bmatrix} x - \beta_n & -\gamma_n \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \geq 1,
\]
with initial conditions \(Y_0 = \begin{bmatrix} x - \beta_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\). The matrix \(A_n\) is known as the transfer matrix.

**Theorem 2.7.** (see [14]) Let \(S\) be a Stieltjes function, let \(\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 0}\) be the corresponding sequence defined in (10), and let \(\beta_n, \gamma_n\) be the coefficients in the recurrence relation. The following statements are equivalent:

(a) \(S\) satisfies (8),
\[
AS' = BS^2 + CS + D, \quad A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{P};
\]
(b) \(Y_n\) satisfies the matrix Sylvester equation
\[
AY'_n = B_n Y_n - Y_n C, \quad n \geq 0,
\]
where
\[
B_n = \begin{bmatrix} l_n & \Theta_n \\ -\Theta_{n-1}/\gamma_n & l_{n-1} + (x - \beta_n)\Theta_{n-1}/\gamma_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} C/2 & -D \\ B & -C/2 \end{bmatrix}
\]
with \(l_n, \Theta_n\) polynomials of uniformly bounded degrees, satisfying the initial conditions
\[
\Theta_{-1} = D, \quad \Theta_0 = A + (x - \beta_0)(C/2 - l_0) + B, \quad l_{-1} = C/2, \quad l_0 = -C/2 - (x - \beta_0)D;
\]
(c) the transfer matrix \(A_n = \begin{bmatrix} x - \beta_n & -\gamma_n \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\) satisfies the matrix Sylvester equation
\[
A A'_n = B_n A_n - A_n B_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

**Remark 2.8.** If the class of the linear functional related to (8) is \(s\), the polynomials \(l_n, \Theta_n\) in \(B_n\) satisfy \(\text{deg}(l_n) = s + 1, \text{deg}(\Theta_n) = s [1]\).

Let us emphasize that Eq. (13) is obtained from the compatibility for the Lax pair
\[
\begin{cases} 
Y_n = A_n Y_{n-1} \\
AY'_n = B_n Y_n - Y_n C, \quad n \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Furthermore, we have [14, Corollary 1]
\[
\text{tr} B_n = 0, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \text{det} B_n = \text{det} B_0 + A \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\Theta_{k-1}}{\gamma_k}, \quad n \geq 1,
\]
with \(\text{det} B_0 = D(A + B) - (C/2)^2\).
Eq. (13) yields two non-trivial equations, respectively, from positions (1, 1) and (1, 2):

\[ A = (x - \beta_n) (l_n - l_{n-1}) + \Theta_n - \gamma_n \frac{\Theta_{n-2}}{\gamma_{n-1}}, \]

\[ l_n + (x - \beta_n) \frac{\Theta_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} = l_{n-2} + (x - \beta_{n-1}) \frac{\Theta_{n-2}}{\gamma_{n-1}}. \]

Note that, after some basic computations, (17) implies (16) and (18) implies (15).

3. Recurrences for Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials of class \( s = 1 \)

The Stieltjes functions \( S \) associated with the Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials of class \( s = 1 \) satisfy \( A S' = BS^2 + CS + D \) with \( \deg(D) = 1 \) and

\[ \max\{\deg(A), \deg(B)\} \leq 3, \quad \deg(C) = 2, \]

or

\[ \max\{\deg(A), \deg(B)\} = 3, \quad \deg(C) < 2. \]

One of the key ingredients in the sequel is the differential system (11). This is the matrix form of the so-called structure relations

\[ A \left( P^{(1)}_n \right) = DP_{n+1} + (l_n + C/2) P^{(1)}_n + \Theta_n P^{(1)}_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 0, \]

\[ A P'_{n+1} = (l_n - C/2) P_{n+1} - BP^{(1)}_n + \Theta_n P_n, \quad n \geq 0, \]

(see [1, Eqs. (3.9), (3.10)]) with the polynomials \( l_n, \Theta_n \) satisfying \( \deg(l_n) = 2, \deg(\Theta_n) = 1. \)

Remark 3.1. In the semi-classical case, that is, \( B \equiv 0 \), Eq. (20) becomes \( A P'_{n+1} = (l_n - C/2) P_{n+1} + \Theta_n P_n \), which can be comparable to [29, Eq. (1.5)].

Lemma 3.2. Let \( S \) be a Stieltjes function satisfying \( A S' = BS^2 + CS + D \). Let \( \{P_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) be the SMOP associated with \( S \), satisfying the recurrence relation (4),

\[ P_{n+1}(x) = (x - \beta_n)P_n(x) - \gamma_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Set

\[ A(x) = a_3 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0, \quad B(x) = b_3 x^3 + b_2 x^2 + b_1 x + b_0, \]

\[ C(x) = c_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0, \quad D(x) = d_1 x + d_0, \]

\[ l_n(x) = \ell_{n,2} x^2 + \ell_{n,1} x + \ell_{n,0}, \quad \Theta_n(x) = \theta_{n,1} x + \theta_{n,0}. \]

With the functions defined above, we have

\[ d_1 = -a_3 - b_3 - c_2, \]

\[ d_0 = -(2a_3 + 2b_3 + c_2)\beta_0 - a_2 - b_2 - c_1, \]

\[ \ell_{n,2} = (n + 1)a_3 + b_3 + c_2/2, \]

\[ \ell_{n,1} = a_3(\eta_n + \beta_0) + (n + 1)a_2 + b_3\beta_0 + b_2 + c_1/2, \]

\[ \ell_{n,0} = a_2(\eta_n + \beta_0) + (n + 1)a_1 + b_2 + c_1, \]
where
\begin{align}
\ell_{n,0} &= \lambda_{n,0} - \theta_{n,1}, \\
\lambda_{n,0} &= -2a_3(\nu_n + \beta_0\eta_n - \gamma_1) + (n + 1)a_1 + b_3(\gamma_1 + \beta_0^2) + b_2\beta_0 + b_1 + c_0/2 \\
& \quad + (\eta_n + \beta_0)(a_3(\eta_n + \beta_0) + a_2), \\
\theta_{n,1} &= -\gamma_{n+1}(2n + 3)a_3 + 2b_3 + c_2, \\
\theta_{n,0} &= -\gamma_{n+1} \left\{ 2a_3(\eta_n + (n + 2)\beta_{n+1} + \beta_0) + a_2(2n + 3) + 2b_3(\beta_0 + \beta_{n+1}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2b_2 + c_2\beta_{n+1} + c_1 \right\} ,
\end{align}

with
\begin{align}
\eta_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k, \quad \nu_n = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \beta_i \beta_j - \sum_{k=2}^n \gamma_k, \quad n \geq 1 .
\end{align}

Also, we have
\begin{align}
a_1 + b_1 + c_0 + (2a_2 + 2b_2 + c_1)\beta_0 + (3a_3 + 3b_3 + c_2)\beta_0^2 + (3a_3 + 2b_3 + c_2)\gamma_1 &= 0 .
\end{align}

**Proof.** In account of (4) and (5),
\begin{align}
P_n^{(1)}(x) &= x^n - \eta_n x^{n-1} + \nu_n x^{n-2} + \cdots , \\
P_{n+1}(x) &= x^{n+1} - (\eta_n + \beta_0)x^n + (\nu_n + \beta_0\eta_n - \gamma_1)x^{n-1} + \cdots .
\end{align}

Eqs. (21) and (22) follow by equating the coefficients of \( x^{n+2} \) and \( x^{n+1} \), respectively, in (19). Eqs. (23) \& (25) follow by equating the coefficients of \( x^{n+3} \), \( x^{n+2} \), and \( x^{n+1} \), respectively, in (20). Eqs. (27) \& (28) follow by equating the coefficients of \( x^2 \) and \( x \), respectively, in (15), \( l_n(x) + l_{n-1}(x) + (x - \beta_n)\frac{\Theta_{n+1}(x)}{\gamma_n} = 0 \). Eq. (30) follows by equating the coefficients of \( x^n \) in (19).

3.1 **Discrete Painlevé equations for Laguerre-Hahn Stieltjes functions**

We will use two key ingredients to deduce recurrences for the Laguerre-Hahn polynomials: the formula for the trace and the determinant of the matrix \( B_n \), Eqs. (15) and (16), defining the Lax pair (14).

From (15), equivalently, \( l_n(x) + l_{n-1}(x) + (x - \beta_n)\frac{\Theta_{n+1}(x)}{\gamma_n} = 0 \), \( n \geq 0 \), we get
\begin{align}
l_n(\beta_n) + l_{n-1}(\beta_n) &= 0 , \\
l_n(0) + l_{n-1}(0) &= 0, \quad \beta_n \neq 0 .
\end{align}

From (16) we get, for every \( \alpha \) such that \( A(\alpha) = 0 \),
\begin{align}
det B_{n-1}(\alpha) &= det B_0(\alpha) ,
\end{align}
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hence, taking into account \( \det \mathcal{B}_n = -t_n^2 + \Theta_n \frac{\Theta_{n-1}(\alpha)}{\gamma_n} \), we have

\[
\Theta_n^{-1}(\alpha) \frac{\Theta_{n-1}(\alpha)}{\gamma_n} = \det \mathcal{B}_0(\alpha) + t_{n-1}^2(\alpha).
\]

Therefore, for \( \alpha \) such that \( A(\alpha) = 0 \),

\[
\Theta_n^{-1}(\alpha) \frac{\Theta_{n-1}(\alpha)}{\gamma_n} = \frac{\det(\mathcal{B}_0(\alpha) + (t_{n-1}(\alpha))^2)}{\gamma_n}.
\]

Eqs. (31) – (33) will be used in the proof of the next Theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( S \) be a Stieltjes function satisfying \( AS' = BS'' + CS + D \) with

\[
A(x) = a_1 x, \quad B(x) = b_2 x^3 + b_2 x^2 + b_1 x + b_0,
\]

\[
C(x) = c_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0, \quad D(x) = d_1 x + d_0,
\]

where \( d_1 \) and \( d_0 \) are given by (21) and (22), respectively. Let \( \{P_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) be the SMOP associated with \( S \) satisfying the recurrence relation (4),

\[
P_{n+1}(x) = (x - \beta_n) P_n(x) - \gamma_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

with \( \beta_n \neq 0 \), \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

Set \( \eta = 2b_3 + c_2, \mu = b_3(\gamma_1 + \beta_0^2) + b_2 \beta_0 + b_1 + c_0/2, \lambda = 2b_3 \beta_0 + 2b_2 + c_1, \xi_0 = c_2^2/4 - d_0 b_0 \).

The following statements hold:

(a) Let \( \eta < 0 \). The sequences \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) expressed in terms of the coefficients in the three term recurrence relation by

\[
x_n = \frac{\sqrt{-\eta}}{\eta \beta_n + \lambda}, \quad y_n = -\left(\eta \gamma_n + n a_1 + \mu\right)
\]

satisfy the following discrete system of Painlevé equations \( \text{dP}_1 \):

\[
x_{n-1} x_n = \frac{y_n + n a_1 + \mu}{y_n^2 - \xi_0}, \quad y_n + y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_n} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{-\eta}} - \frac{1}{x_n} \right),
\]

with the initial conditions \( x_0 = \frac{\sqrt{-\eta}}{\eta \beta_0 + \lambda}, \quad y_0 = -\left(\eta + \mu\right) \).

(b) Let \( \eta > 0 \). The sequences \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) expressed in terms of the coefficients in the three term recurrence relation by

\[
x_n = -\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{\eta \beta_n + \lambda}, \quad y_n = \eta \gamma_n + n a_1 + \mu
\]

satisfy the following discrete system of Painlevé equations \( \text{dP}_1 \):

\[
x_{n-1} x_n = \frac{y_n - n a_1 - \mu}{y_n^2 - \xi_0}, \quad y_n + y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_n} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\eta}} - \frac{1}{x_n} \right),
\]

with the initial conditions \( x_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{\eta \beta_0 + \lambda}, \quad y_0 = \eta + \mu \).
**Proof.** Let us show how to deduce equations in case (a). Case (b) is similar.

Eq. (31) gives us, under the notation of Lemma 3.2,

\[ (\ell_{n,2}\beta_n^2 + \ell_{n,1}\beta_n + \ell_{n,0}) + (\ell_{n-1,2}\beta_n^2 + \ell_{n-1,1}\beta_n + \ell_{n-1,0}) = 0. \]

Taking into account the formulae for \( \ell_{n,2}, \ell_{n,1} \) given in (23) and (24), the above equation yields

\[ \eta\beta_n^2 + \lambda\beta_n = -\ell_{n-1,0} - \ell_{n,0}, \quad \eta = 2b_1 + c_2, \quad \lambda = 2b_3\beta_0 + 2b_2 + c_1, \]

thus,

\[ \beta_n(\eta\beta_n + \lambda) = -l_{n-1}(0) - l_n(0). \quad (39) \]

Therefore, we obtain, for \( \beta_n \neq 0, \)

\[ (\eta\beta_n + \lambda)(\eta\beta_{n-1} + \lambda) = \frac{(l_{n-1}(0) + l_n(0))(l_{n-2}(0) + l_{n-1}(0))}{\beta_n \beta_{n-1}}. \]

In account of (32), the equation above can be written as

\[ (\eta\beta_n + \lambda)(\eta\beta_{n-1} + \lambda) = \frac{\Theta_{n-1}(0)\Theta_{n-2}(0)}{\gamma_n \gamma_{n-1}}. \quad (40) \]

In account of (33) with \( \alpha = 0 \) (as \( A(x) = a_1 x \)), Eq. (40) can be written as

\[ (\eta\beta_n + \lambda)(\eta\beta_{n-1} + \lambda) = \frac{(l_{n-1}(0))^2 - \xi_0}{\gamma_n}. \quad (41) \]

with \( \xi_0 = - \det B_0(0). \)

Note that, as \( l_n(0) = \eta\gamma_{n+1} + (n+1)a_1 + \mu, \quad \mu = b_3(\gamma_1 + \beta_0^2) + b_2\beta_0 + b_1 + c_0/2, \) then (39) yields

\[ \beta_n(\eta\beta_n + \lambda) = -(\eta\gamma_n + na_1 + \mu) - (\eta\gamma_{n+1} + (n+1)a_1 + \mu). \quad (42) \]

By defining \( x_n, y_n \) as in (35),

\[ x_n = \frac{\sqrt{-\eta}}{\eta\beta_n + \lambda}, \quad y_n = -(\eta\gamma_n + na_1 + \mu), \]

we obtain that Eqs. (41) and (42) are, respectively, the first and second equations given in (36). Indeed, let us write Eq. (41) in its equivalent form

\[ \frac{\sqrt{-\eta}}{(\eta\beta_n + \lambda)(\eta\beta_{n-1} + \lambda)} = \frac{-\eta\gamma_n}{(l_{n-1}(0))^2 - \xi_0}, \]

that is, we have \( x_{n+1}x_n = \frac{y_n + na_1 + \mu}{y_n^2 - \xi_0}. \) Also, let us write the left-hand side of (42) as

\[ \frac{\eta\beta_n + \lambda}{\sqrt{-\eta}} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{-\eta}} - \frac{\eta\beta_n + \lambda}{\sqrt{-\eta}} \right). \]
Then, (42) reads as \( \frac{1}{x_n} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{-\eta}} - \frac{1}{x_n} \right) = y_n + y_{n+1} \).

\[ \square \]

**Remark 3.4.** Recall that \( B \equiv 0 \) in Theorem 3.3 gives Painlevé equations for particular families of semi-classical orthogonal polynomials of class \( s = 1 \).

The case \( A(x) \equiv 0 \), that is, \( a_1 = 0 \) in (34), concerns a second degree equation \( BS^2 + CS + D = 0 \). In such a case, \( S \) is related to the so-called family of second degree forms [30].

**Remark 3.5.** Equations (36) and (38) can be obtained as a limiting case of the asymmetric Painlevé equation \( dP_{1V} \) [31, Eq. (1.2)] given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_n u_n u_{n-1} &= a(v_n + z_n - b), \\
v_n + v_{n+1} &= \frac{c}{u_n} + \frac{z_{n+1/2} + d}{u_n - 1}
\end{align*}
\]

with \( z_n = \alpha_1 n + \beta_1 \). Indeed, by taking \( b = 0 \), \( u_n = x_n/\varepsilon \), \( v_n = \varepsilon y_n \), \( z_n = \varepsilon(\mu + na_1) \), \( a = 1/\varepsilon \), \( \gamma = \varepsilon/\sqrt{\varepsilon \mu} \), \( c = 1/\varepsilon + \lambda/\sqrt{-\varepsilon \mu} \), \( d = -1/\varepsilon \) and letting \( \varepsilon \) tend to zero we obtain (36). For (38) the calculations are similar.

### 3.1.1 The case \( A(x) = a_0 \neq 0 \).

Let us emphasize that the technique used in Theorem 3.3 to deduce the difference equations for the coefficients \( \beta_n \), \( \gamma_n \), cannot be applied to the case \( A(x) = a_0 \neq 0 \). Indeed, (31) yields (39), with \( h_n(0) = \eta \gamma_{n+1} + \mu \), and such an equation implies (40). However, in the present case, (40) does not give new identities and (41) does not hold, as \( A(0) \neq 0 \).

The difference equations concerning the case \( A(x) = a_0 \neq 0 \) are given in the theorem that follows.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( S \) be a Stieltjes function satisfying \( AS' = BS^2 + CS + D \) with

\[ A(x) = a_0 \neq 0, \quad B(x) = b_3 x^3 + b_2 x^2 + b_1 x + b_0, \]

\[ C(x) = c_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0, \quad D(x) = d_1 x + d_0, \]

where \( d_1 \) and \( d_0 \) are given by (21) and (22), respectively. Let \( \{P_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) be the SMOP associated with \( S \), satisfying the recurrence relation (4),

\[ P_{n+1}(x) = (x - \beta_n)P_n(x) - \gamma_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \]

with \( \beta_n \neq 0 \), \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

Set \( \eta = 2b_3 + c_2, \mu = b_3(\gamma_1 + \beta_1^2) + b_2 \beta_0 + b_1 + c_0/2, \lambda = 2b_3 \beta_0 + 2b_2 + c_1 \). The recurrence relation coefficients \( \beta_n, \gamma_n \) are related through the following discrete system:

\[
\beta_n(\eta \beta_n + \lambda) = -(\eta \gamma_n + \mu) - (\eta \gamma_{n+1} + \mu), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{44}
\]

\[
\eta(\beta_n + \beta_{n+1}) + \lambda = -na_0 + \gamma_1(\gamma(\beta_0 + \beta_1) + \lambda) \quad \gamma_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{45}
\]

Moreover, the sequences

\[ x_n = \eta \beta_n + \lambda/2, \quad z_n = \eta^2 (-na_0 + \gamma_1(\eta(\beta_0 + \beta_1) + \lambda)) \]  

satisfy the alternative discrete Painlevé equation \( dP_I \)

\[ \frac{z_{n+1}}{x_n + x_{n+1}} + \frac{z_n}{x_n + x_{n+1}} = -x_n^2 + \gamma, \quad \gamma = (\lambda/2)^2 - 2\mu \eta \]  

(47)
with the initial conditions \( x_0 = \eta \beta_0 + \lambda/2, \ x_1 = \eta \beta_1 + \lambda/2. \)

**Proof.** Set \( A(x) = a_0, \) where \( a_0 \) is a non-zero constant. Eq. (31) yields (39), with \( l_n(0) = \eta \gamma_{n+1} + \mu, \) thus, we have (44).

Let us now look at Eq. (17), \( A = (x - \beta_n)(l_n - l_{n-1}) + \Theta_n - \gamma_n \theta_{n+2}^{\alpha}, \) evaluated at \( x = \beta_n, \)

\[
a_0 = \Theta_n(\beta_n) - \gamma_n \frac{\theta_{n-2}(\beta_n)}{\gamma_{n-1}}.
\]

Using the formulae in Lemma 3.2 we get, after simplifications,

\[
a_0 = -\gamma_{n+1}(\eta(\beta_n + \beta_{n+1}) + \lambda) + \gamma_n(\eta(\beta_{n-1} + \beta_n) + \lambda), \quad n \geq 1, \tag{48}
\]

where \( \eta = 2b_3 + c_2, \ \lambda = 2b_3\beta_0 + 2b_2 + c_1. \) By writing (48) as

\[
T_{n+1} = T_n - a_0, \quad T_n = \gamma_n(\beta_{n-1} + \beta_n) + \lambda,
\]

iteration yields \( T_{n+1} = -na_0 + T_1, \) thus we obtain

\[
\gamma_{n+1}(\eta(\beta_n + \beta_{n+1}) + \lambda) = -na_0 + \gamma_1(\eta(\beta_0 + \beta_1) + \lambda),
\]

hence, we obtain (45).

Using the equivalent form of (45),

\[
\gamma_{n+1} = -\frac{n\eta a_0 + \gamma_1(\eta(\beta_0 + \beta_1) + \lambda)}{\eta \beta_n + \eta \beta_{n+1} + \lambda},
\]

into (44), we obtain the second order difference equation

\[
\eta \frac{-(n-1)a_0 + \gamma_1(\eta(\beta_0 + \beta_1) + \lambda)}{\eta \beta_{n-1} + \eta \beta_n + \lambda} + \eta \frac{-na_0 + \gamma_1(\eta(\beta_0 + \beta_1) + \lambda)}{\eta \beta_n + \eta \beta_{n+1} + \lambda} = -\eta \beta_n^2 - \lambda \beta_n - 2\mu.
\]

By multiplying the above equation by \( \eta \) we get

\[
\eta^2 \frac{-(n-1)a_0 + \gamma_1(\eta(\beta_0 + \beta_1) + \lambda)}{\eta \beta_{n-1} + \eta \beta_n + \lambda} + \eta^2 \frac{-na_0 + \gamma_1(\eta(\beta_0 + \beta_1) + \lambda)}{\eta \beta_n + \eta \beta_{n+1} + \lambda} = -\left(\eta^2 \beta_n^2 + \lambda \eta \beta_n + (\lambda/2)^2\right) + (\lambda/2)^2 - 2\mu \eta.
\]

Thus, by defining \( x_n, z_n \) as in (46), the above equation reads as (47).

**Remark 3.7.** Moreover, using (44) with \( n = 0 \) and (30), we get the following condition on \( \beta_0: \)

\[
4b_0^2 + c_2(b_1 + c_2 + 2b_2\beta_0) + b_3(c_2(4 + \beta_0^2) - 2c_1\beta_0 - 2c_0) = 0.
\]

### 3.2 Further results and generalizations

Another way to prove Theorem 3.3 and to generalize to the case \( A(x) = x - \alpha, \) where \( \alpha \) is an arbitrary parameter (recall that \( \alpha = 0 \) in Theorem 3.3) is by symbolic computations,
which can be performed in any computer algebra system, for instance, Mathematica\textsuperscript{1}.

Indeed, assuming the form

\[ x_n = \frac{k_1}{k_2\beta_n + k_3}, \quad y_n = k_4\gamma_n + k_5(n) \]

and

\[ x_{n-1}x_n = \frac{y_n - k_6(n)}{y_n^2 - \xi_0}, \quad y_n + y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_n} \left( k_7 - \frac{1}{x_n} \right), \]

where only \( k_5 \) and \( k_6 \) are the functions of \( n \) and others are constants, and substituting into (13) and (15), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let \( S \) be a Stieltjes function satisfying \( AS' = BS^2 + CS + D \) with

\[
A(x) = x - \alpha, \quad B(x) = b_0x^3 + b_2x^2 + b_1x + b_0,
\]

\[
C(x) = c_2x^2 + c_1x + c_0, \quad D(x) = d_1x + d_0,
\]

where \( d_1 \) and \( d_0 \) are given by (21) and (22), respectively. Let \( \{P_n\}_{n\geq0} \) be the SMOP associated with \( S \), satisfying the recurrence relation (4),

\[ P_{n+1}(x) = (x - \beta_n)P_n(x) - \gamma_nP_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

The corresponding equations (13) and (15) are solved by

\[ x_n = \frac{k_1(2b_3 + c_2)}{k_2(2b_2 + 2\alpha b_3 + c_1 + \alpha c_2 + 2b_3\beta_0) + \beta_n(2b_3 + c_2)}, \quad (49) \]

\[ y_n = \frac{k_3^2(2n + 2b_1 + c_0 + 2b_2\beta_0 + 2b_3(\beta_n^2 + \gamma_1) + 2(2b_3 + c_2)\gamma_n + g_1)}{2(2b_3 + c_2)k_1^2}, \quad (50) \]

satisfying

\[ x_{n-1}x_n = \frac{2(k_3^2(2n + 2b_1 + c_0 + 2b_2\beta_0 + 2b_3(\beta_n^2 + \gamma_1) + g_2) - 2(2b_3 + c_2)k_3^2y_n)}{(2b_3 + c_2)k_1^2(c_0^2 + 4b_0(b_2 + c_1 + (2b_3 + c_2)\beta_0) - 4y_n^2)}, \quad (51) \]

and

\[ y_n + y_{n+1} = \frac{k_2x_n(2b_2 + c_1 + 2b_3\beta_0 + 2\alpha(2b_3 + c_2)) - (2b_3 + c_2)k_1}{(2b_3 + c_2)k_1x_n^2}. \quad (52) \]

Here, \( g_1 = \alpha^2(2b_3 + c_2) + \alpha(2b_2 + c_1 + 2b_3\beta_0), \quad g_2 = \alpha^2(2b_3 + c_2) + \alpha(2b_2 + c_1 + 2b_3\beta_0), \)

and \( k_1, k_2 \) are constants. The initial conditions are given by

\[ x_0 = \frac{(2b_3 + c_2)k_1}{k_2(2b_2 + c_1 + 4b_3\beta_0 + c_2\beta_0 + \alpha(2b_3 + c_2))} \]

and \( y_0 \), which is obtained from the formulae above with \( n = 0 \).

\textsuperscript{1}www.wolfram.com
Note that by taking $k_2 = \eta k_1 / \sqrt{-\eta}$, where $\eta = 2b_3 + c_2$ and $\alpha = 0$, we obtain case (a) in Theorem 3.3, and similarly for case (b).

Remark 3.9. The technique used in Theorems 3.3 and 3.8 cannot be extended to the case $\deg(A) \geq 2$. Indeed, if $\deg(A) \geq 2$, then in the right-hand side of (39) there appear additional sequences $\eta_n$ and $\nu_n$ (cf. (29)), as the formulae for $\ell_n,0$ involve the coefficients $a_3, a_2$ of $A$ (cf. (25)). Such a fact that does not allow a reduction to the system of types (35), (37) or (49)–(50).

4. Examples

There are several families of orthogonal polynomials related to the Stieltjes function satisfying $AS' = BS^2 + CS + D$ such that the previous Theorems apply. Some of them are as follows.

4.1 Example 1.

Let us start by considering the SMOP $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with respect to a modified Laguerre weight

$$w(x) = |x|^\alpha e^{\alpha x^2 + \alpha_1 x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\alpha > -1$, $\alpha_2 < 0$. The Stieltjes function of $w$, here denoted by $\tilde{S}$, satisfies

$$\tilde{A}\tilde{S} = \tilde{C}S + \tilde{D}$$

with

$$\tilde{A}(x) = x, \quad \tilde{C}(x) = 2\alpha_2 x^2 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha, \quad \tilde{D}(x) = -2\alpha_2 x - 2\alpha_2 \beta_0 - \alpha_1.$$

Let us now consider the sequence of associated polynomials of the first kind $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n \geq 0}$, and let $S^{(1)}$ denote its Stieltjes function. In account of (7), $S^{(1)}$ is Laguerre-Hahn of class $s = 1$, as it satisfies

$$x \left( S^{(1)} \right)' = B \left( S^{(1)} \right)^2 + CS^{(1)} + D$$

with

$$B = \gamma_1 \tilde{D}, \quad C = -\tilde{C} - 2(x - \beta_0)\tilde{D}, \quad D = \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \left( x + (x - \beta_0)\tilde{C} + (x - \beta_0)^2 \tilde{D} \right),$$

that is,

$$B(x) = b_1 x + b_0, \quad b_1 = -2\gamma_1 \alpha_2, \quad b_0 = -\gamma_1 (2\alpha_2 \beta_0 + \alpha_1),$$

$$C(x) = \alpha_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0, \quad c_2 = 2\alpha_2, \quad c_1 = \alpha_1, \quad c_0 = -\alpha - 2\alpha_1 \beta_0 - 4\alpha_2 \beta_0^2,$$

$$D(x) = d_1 x + d_0, \quad d_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma_1} (1 + \alpha + \alpha_1 \beta_0 + 2\alpha_2 \beta_0^2), \quad d_0 = \frac{-\beta_0}{\gamma_1} (\alpha + \alpha_1 \beta_0 + 2\alpha_2 \beta_0^2).$$
The sequences
\[ x_n = \frac{\sqrt{-2\alpha_2}}{2\alpha_2 \beta_n + c_1}, \quad y_n = -(2\alpha_2 \gamma_n + n + \mu), \quad \mu = -2\alpha_2 \gamma_1 - \alpha/2 - \alpha_1 \beta_0 - 2\alpha_2 \beta_0^2 \]
satisfy (36),
\[ x_{n-1} x_n = \frac{y_n + n + \mu}{y_n^2 - \xi_0}, \quad y_n + y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_n} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{\sqrt{-2\alpha_2}} - \frac{1}{x_n} \right) \]
with \( \xi_0 = \frac{1}{4} C^2(0) - B(0) D(0) \).

**Remark 4.1.** Orthogonal polynomials with respect to the semi-classical weight (53) with \( \alpha_2 = -1 \) and \( \alpha_1 = t \), being \( t \) some time parameter, were studied in [31], showing that the sequences
\[ x_n = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\beta_n + t}, \quad y_n = 2\gamma_n - n - \alpha/2 \]
(cf. (35)) satisfy
\[ x_{n-1} x_n = \frac{y_n + n + \alpha/2}{y_n^2 - 4\alpha^2}, \quad y_n + y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_n} \left( \frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{x_n} \right) \]
(cf. (36)). Also, in [22], it is shown that the coefficients in the three term recurrence relation, as functions of the parameter \( t \), can be expressed in terms of Wronskians of parabolic cylinder functions that arise in the description of special function solutions of the fourth Painlevé equation.

4.2 **Example 2.**

Let us start by considering the SMOP \( \{P_n\}_{n\geq 0} \) with respect to a modified Laguerre weight (see [32])
\[ w(x) = (x - \alpha)^{\nu} e^{bx^2 + ax}, \quad (56) \]
where \( b < 0 \), \( a < 0 \). As \( w \) satisfies the Pearson equation \((x - \alpha)w' = (2bx^2 + (a - 2ab)x + \nu - \alpha a)w \), the Stieltjes function of \( w \), here denoted by \( \hat{S} \), satisfies
\[ \hat{A} \hat{S} = \hat{C} S + \hat{D} \]
with
\[ \hat{A}(x) = x - \alpha, \quad \hat{C}(x) = 2bx^2 + (a - 2ab)x + \nu - \alpha a, \quad \hat{D}(x) = -2bx - 2b\beta_0 - a + 2ab. \]

The sequences
\[ x_n = \frac{2k_1 b}{a + 2b\beta_n}, \quad y_n = \frac{2n + \nu + 4b\gamma_n}{4b_{1n}^2} \]
satisfy (51) and (52) with $k_2 = 1$,

$$x_{n-1}x_n = \frac{2n + \nu - 4bk_n^2y_n}{bk_n^2((\nu - \alpha^2)^2 - 4y_n^2)}, \quad y_n + y_{n+1} = \frac{a + 2\alpha x_n - 2bk_1}{2bk_1x_n^2}.$$

Let us now consider the sequence of associated polynomials of the first kind $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n \geq 0}$, and let $S^{(1)}$ denote its Stieltjes function. In account of (7), $S^{(1)}$ is Laguerre-Hahn of class $s = 1$, as it satisfies

$$(x - \alpha)\left(S^{(1)}\right)' = B \left(S^{(1)}\right)^2 + CS^{(1)} + D$$

with

$$B = \gamma_1D, \quad C = -C - 2(x - \beta_0)D, \quad D = \frac{1}{\gamma_1}(x - \alpha + (x - \beta_0)C + (x - \beta_0)^2D),$$

that is,

$$B(x) = b_1x + b_0, \quad b_1 = -2b\gamma_1, \quad b_0 = \gamma_1(2\alpha b - 2\beta_0 b - a),$$

$$C(x) = c_2x^2 + c_1x + c_0, \quad c_2 = 2b, \quad c_1 = a - 2b, \quad c_0 = -\nu + \alpha a + 2\beta_0(2\alpha b - 2\beta_0 b - a),$$

$$D(x) = d_1x + d_0, \quad d_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma_1}(1 + \nu - \alpha a - \beta_0(-a + 2\alpha b - 2\beta_0 b),$$

$$d_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma_1}(-\alpha - \beta_0(\nu - \alpha a) + \beta_0^2(-2b\beta_0 - a + 2b).$$

The sequences

$$x_n = \frac{2b}{a + 2b\beta_n}, \quad y_n = \frac{2n + \nu - 4\gamma_1b + 2(\alpha - \beta_0)(a + 2b\beta_0) + 4b\gamma_n}{4b}$$

satisfy (51) and (52) with $k_1 = k_2 = 1$,

$$x_{n-1}x_n = \frac{4by_n + 4\gamma_1b - 2n + \nu - 2(\alpha - \beta_0)(a + 2b\beta_0) - a(2\alpha + \nu) + 4b\gamma_n}{b(\nu + 2\beta_0(a + 2b\beta_0) - a(a + 2b\beta_0))^2 - 4\gamma_1(a + 2b(\beta_0 - \alpha))^2 - 4y_n^2},$$

$$y_n + y_{n+1} = \frac{(a + 2ab)x_n - 2b}{2bx_n^2}.$$

**Remark 4.2.** Note that in [32] only a third order nonlinear difference equation for the semi-classical Laguerre polynomials related to (56) is derived, and hence the results of this subsection are, to the authors’ best knowledge, new in the literature.

### 4.3 Remarks

Let us remark that when (53) reduces to $w(x) = x^\alpha e^{-x}$, $\alpha > 2$, $x > 0$, an integral representation for the linear functional corresponding to $S^{(1)}$ is known. In such a case, $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is orthogonal with respect to the linear functional defined by [33]

$$\langle u, p(x) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{p(x)x^\alpha e^{-x} dx}{\left|\psi(\alpha, 1 - \alpha, xe^{-x})\right|^2}, \quad \alpha > 2,$$
where
\[
\psi(a, b, x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(b)_n} x^n,
\]
\[(a)_n = a(a + 1) \cdots (a + n - 1), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (a)_0 = 1.
\]

In general, the integral representation of the Laguerre-Hahn linear functionals is an open problem. Another open problem (see [16]) is to determine all the Stieltjes functions, say \( S^1 \), satisfying a Riccati equation such as (55), which are obtained by a rational spectral transformation of \( S \) satisfying (54), that is, \( S^1 = \frac{aS + b}{cS + d} \), where \( a, b, c, d \) are polynomials.
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