Physical and mechanical properties of Ti$_{1-x}$Si$_x$N films
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Abstract

Ti$_{1-x}$Si$_x$N coatings with 0 < x < 0.30 and thicknesses ranging from 1.2 to 3.3 µm were deposited on to polished high-speed steel substrates by r.f. reactive magnetron sputtering. The atomic composition of the samples was measured by Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS), and the texture was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Great improvements in hardness and adhesion behaviour were obtained when compared to TiN. Hardness results and adhesion behaviour as a function of the Si content will be shown and discussed. The Ti$_{0.85}$Si$_{0.15}$N sample presented the best results with a hardness value of about 36 GPa and a critical load for total failure around 70 N. © 1998 Elsevier Science S.A.
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1. Introduction

Hard wear-resistant coatings are increasingly being used in tooling applications to improve the properties and functionality of several engineering materials. However, despite their undoubted success, the physical and specially mechanical properties of these thin hard coatings are often poorly characterised and hardly understood. When considering the performance and durability of a coating/substrate system, the hardness and adhesion of the coating to the substrate should always be two of the first requirements that one should consider. Microhardness testing and scratch adhesion testing are two of the most commonly used techniques for the characterisation of mechanical properties. Although these tests provide different types of information, resistance to deformation and coating adhesion respectively, these two techniques are closely related. In both tests, an indenter is brought into contact with the sample, causing irreversible deformation of the coating/substrate system. In this study, the results describing the behaviour of Ti$_{1-x}$Si$_x$N films submitted to scratch and hardness tests are presented, and the effects of the variation of the Si content on the properties of the coating are discussed in some detail.

2. Experimental

Polished high-speed steel (AISI M2) and silica glass substrates were coated with (Ti, Si)N by reactive r.f. magnetron sputtering from high purity Ti and Si targets. Depositions were carried out in an Ar/N$_2$ atmosphere in an Alcatel SCM650 system. All substrates were sputter-etched for 15 min in a pure Ar atmosphere with an r.f. power of 200 W. The target-to-substrate distance was kept at 60 mm in all runs, and the substrate holder rotated over the targets at a constant frequency of 4π/15 rad s$^{-1}$, including the TiN sample. The base pressure in the deposition chamber was about 10$^{-4}$ Pa and rose to about 4 × 10$^{-1}$ Pa during depositions. The substrates were heated to 300 and 400 °C, and a negative d.c. bias of −50 V was applied. The experiments were carried out with the titanium and silicon targets coupled to r.f. sources (13.56 MHz), with powers in the range of 1.9-3.2 × 10$^4$ W m$^{-2}$ for Ti and 1.8-2.3 × 10$^4$ W m$^{-2}$ for Si. The scratch tests were performed in a computer-controlled Sebastian five-A (Quad group) scratch tester fitted with an acoustic detector. The tests were undertaken under fixed conditions: a 200-µm diamond tip, a constant scratching speed 1 × 10$^{-4}$ m s$^{-1}$ and a loading rate of 1.2 N s$^{-1}$. Five tests were performed on each sample. The hardness tests were performed in a computer-controlled Fischerscope H100 ultramicrohardness tester, equipped with a Vickers dia-
mond indenter. The system resolution is better than 1 μN, and the range of the nominal test load is between 4 mN and 1 N. At the beginning of the test, the indenter approaches the sample at a rate of 1 μm s⁻¹. The indentation depths were obtained with a capacitance displacement gage accuracy of 2 nm. On average, six tests were performed on each sample. The atomic composition of the as-deposited samples was measured by RBS. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) examination was conducted on fractured cross-sections in order to determine the thickness of the films. X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments were performed in a Philips PW 1710 apparatus using CuKα radiation.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterisation of the as-deposited samples

Table 1 presents the thickness (SEM) and atomic composition (RBS) of the samples. Regarding the texture of the as-deposited samples, Fig. 1 shows the X-ray diffraction patterns obtained from the as-deposited Ti₁₋ₓSiₓN films. The diffraction lines show a strong (220) texture for an intermediate Si content, a (200) texture for the highest Si content and a strong (111) texture for the lowest Si content. These structures are indexed with the cubic structure, typical of TiN.

3.2. Adhesion tests

The scratch adhesion test is actually one of the most generally accepted methods of assessing adhesion behaviour of a particular material, namely films. The minimum load at which a failure occurs is called the first critical load L₁. The load at which more than 50% of the coating is removed from the substrate is called the second critical load L₂. Whereas in the detection of L₁, optical observation is the most reliable method, the detection of L₂ can be achieved by three different methods, (1) optical observation, (2) analysis of the acoustic emission, and (3) analysis of the frictional force curve, where total failure is obtained at the inflection point of the increasing frictional force curve [1]. This point corresponds to a maximum in the derivative d(frictional force)/d(load), and can be obtained by first simulating the frictional force curve and then calculating the derivative of the resulting function. In the detection of L₂, the three methods were used together in order to minimise the error. These failures are commonly divided into five categories [2]: (1) spalling, (2) buckling, (3) chipping, (4) conformal cracking and (5) tensile cracking.

3.2.1. Failure modes for Ti₁₋ₓSiₓN films

Fig. 2 shows typical scratch results for the different samples in study. All micrographs were taken from the points of the scratching track where the coating failed.

In the films with the lowest Si content (x = 0.05), and for intermediate loads, cracks start to develop and propagate from the edge of the track to the whole surface of the coating. For the regions with total failure, traces of buckling failure and lateral cracking are observed. This behaviour is illustrated in Fig. 2a. For films with an intermediate Si content (x = 0.12 and x = 0.15), buckling failure is the main failure mechanism, which increases with the applied load and is also followed by increasing lateral cracking. Only very small traces of spalling are observed, but some chipping failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating</th>
<th>Ti (at.%)</th>
<th>Si (at.%)</th>
<th>N (at.%)</th>
<th>Thickness (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiN</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti₀.₇₀Si₀.₃₀N</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti₀.₈₀Si₀.₂₀N</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti₀.₈₅Si₀.₁₵N</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti₀.₈₅Si₀.₁₅N</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti₀.₇₀Si₀.₃₀N</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All samples, except Ti₀.₇₀Si₀.₃₀N, were produced with a 350-nm-thick Ti adhesion layer.

*Substrate temperature 400 °C.
has been identified. The buckling failure occurs as a result of the compressive stress field preceding the moving stylus and is characterised as a result of partial delamination (cohesive failure) of the coating at a certain distance ahead of the stylus. Once the stylus passes through, this partial lifting of the coating will be overridden and embedded into the bottom of the scratch track. These failures are illustrated in Fig. 2b. Finally, the films with the highest Si content ($\lambda = 0.30$) present mainly conformal cracking for low loads. However, as the load increases, a large amount of lateral cracking starts to appear that becomes very frequent at the highest loads. Spallation within the lateral cracks in both sides of the scratch is also visible. These failures are illustrated in Fig. 2c. Conformal cracking occurs within the coating itself (cohesive failure) which is a characteristic of brittle coatings [3]. Spallation, which is the result of total delamination (adhesive failure), occurs only at the edges of the track, which might explain the appearance of the lateral cracking.

3.2.2. Critical adhesion loads

Fig. 3 shows the behaviour of both $L_{C1}$ and $L_{C2}$, as a function of Si content. Results were obtained by averaging the data for five different scratches in each sample. The first observation that becomes clear from Fig. 3 is that the Ti$_{1-x}$Si$_x$N system presents higher load values for total failure when compared with that of TiN, with the exception of the Ti$_{0.70}$Si$_{0.30}$N. In any case, this sample was produced without an adhesion layer, which is commonly accepted as being responsible for an increase in critical loads. For this system, higher critical loads were obtained for the Ti$_{0.85}$Si$_{0.15}$N, which presented an increase in both $L_{C1}$ (from 17 to 25) and $L_{C2}$ (from 70 to 76) values when the deposition temperature was raised from 300 to 400 °C. This seems to indicate that besides the adhesion layer, the deposition temperature is also a factor that may influence adhesion behaviour.

Regarding the Si content, the results are not yet conclusive to deduce the percentage that gives the best
results, but the large difference in critical loads between Ti0.85Si0.15N and Ti0.70Si0.30N (even if we keep in mind that this second one has no adhesion layer), might indicate that 7.5 at.% of Si is the composition that gives the best adhesion results. Another interesting factor is the possible correlation between the critical loads and the failure mechanism that prevails. In this respect, the Ti0.85Si0.15N and Ti0.70Si0.30N films, which revealed similar failure mechanisms, seem to indicate higher critical loads, whereas those with the lowest and highest Si contents, revealed lower critical loads.

A closer look at the X-ray diffraction patterns in Fig. 1 shows that the two coatings that reveal the best adhesion results show a strong (220) texture, whereas the others reveal a (200) texture for the highest Si content and a strong (111) texture for the lowest Si content. Regarding these observations, besides failure mechanisms, the microstructure is also an important factor contributing to the changes in critical loads.

3.3. Hardness testing and Young modulus

Conventional hardness values are obtained by measuring the diagonals (d) of the remaining indentation after unloading. Among the different types of tests, the Vickers hardness testers, which use a four-sided pyramidal indenter, are widely used. One of the main problems associated with Vickers (and others) microhardness measurements is the apparent change in the hardness number with the change in indentation size. Possible explanations for this well-known phenomenon, the so-called indentation size effect (ISE), have been discussed extensively in the literature [4,5]. Recent developments in hardness testing by using ultra-low load hardness testers have enabled continuous monitoring of the load (L) as a function of the depth of penetration (h) experienced by the indenter during the indentation process.

If a sequence of hardness measurements is made over a wide range of loads, it is clear that the results will be related to the hardness and thickness of the film as well as the substrate hardness. The criterion \( h < 0.1t \) (t is the coating thickness) is well known and accepted as the condition that guarantees that the substrate influence is avoided, although some authors’ claims that indentation depths of up to 25% of the film thickness, are accepted as revealing the hardness of the film, not yet influenced by the substrate are still worth of trust [6]. This requirement restricts the hardness tests with respect to depth and, in the case of very thin films that are gaining increasing interest, leads to some practical difficulties since the continuous decrease in depth could lead to values close to the roughness of the surface and affect the results considerably [7]. In our experiments, we use a method that enables the contribution of the substrate for the total measured hardness to be removed [8]. With this method, and for the maximum depth (\( h_m \)) at which the substrate is not yet influencing the value of the hardness [inflection point in the curve log(LOAD) versus log(h)] and hence no plastic deformation of the substrate is observed, the hardness value of a film is obtained from:

\[
H_f = H_s + \left( h/m \right) \left( H_s - H_f \right).
\]

In addition to the corrections regarding the substrate influence, some geometrical calibration has to be taken into account due to the imperfections of the diamond indenter—the so-called indenter offset, which is specific for each piece of equipment and is usually responsible for higher values of hardness obtained, especially when the indentation depths are too low. For the equipment used in this work, the expression \( h_{corr} = 0.052 + 1.095/h \) is used to correct the indentation depth [9].

Young’s modulus can be calculated from the slope of the initial portion of the unloading curve [10]:

\[
S^{-1} = \frac{dh}{dP} = \left( 1/2 \cdot h_{corr} \right) \cdot (\pi/24.5) \cdot (1/E_f),
\]

where \( 1/E_f = (1 - v_f^2)/E_f + (1 - v_f^2)/E_i \), with \( E_f \) and \( v_f \) being the young modulus and Poisson ratio for the film \( (v_f = 0.25) \) and \( E_i \) and \( v_i \), the same quantities for the diamond indenter \( (E_i = 1141 \text{ GPa} \text{ and } v_i = 0.07) \).

The variations in hardness and Young modulus as a function of the Si content are shown in Fig. 4. From this figure, two points deserve particular attention: first, an increase of approximately 70% in hardness is obtained by the introduction of Si in the TiN matrix. In any case, no significative differences were noted on the different Ti1-xSi_xN samples; second, a slight increase in hardness was observed when the substrate temperature was increased from 300 to 400 °C.

3.4. Oxidation behaviour

All Ti1-xSi_xN samples were oxidised in a furnace and flushed with air for temperatures ranging from 500 to
850 °C. The atomic composition of the as-deposited samples and the depth profiles after annealing were measured via RBS.

The oxidation behaviour of these samples shows some significant differences with the Si content. For the lowest Si content, Ti₀.₉₅Si₀.₀₅N, the heat treatment at 700 and 800 °C over a period of 1 h, revealed a total oxidation of the sample, which might be understandable since the composition is very close to that of stoichiometric TiN, which is known to undergo severe oxidation in this region of temperatures. For higher Si contents, the oxidation resistance becomes much higher, reaching its maximum for the Ti₀.₇₀Si₀.₃₀N sample. Indeed, at 600 °C, this sample already has an oxidation resistance that is about 100 times higher than that of TiN. Regarding the oxidation process itself, at the lowest temperatures, 500–600 °C, the Ti₁₋ₓS𝑖ₓN system develops a homogeneous oxide layer composed of Ti, Si and O, with traces of N [11,12]. For higher temperatures (700–850 °C) and for 0.12 ≤ x ≤ 0.30, the oxide layer remains homogeneous, but the N content disappears. In any case, the oxidation resistance of these samples and in this region of temperatures is very similar to that of (Ti,Al)N [11,12], which is known for its good performance in oxidising environments.

4. Conclusions

The results reported in this paper show a significative improvement in oxidation behaviour as well as in hardness values by the introduction of Si in the TiN matrix. Regarding the hardness measurements, the TiN hardness increased from about 22 GPa to a value of about 36 GPa with the introduction of Si. Moreover, the deposition process also resulted in highly adhesive coatings to the substrate (HSS). In this respect, total failures for Ti₀.₈₅Si₀.₁₅N during scratch tests were obtained for values as high as 70 N, which represents an increase of more than 60% regarding those obtained for TiN. However, it should be noted that no significant changes were verified for the values corresponding to the appearance of the first failures (L<sub>C1</sub>). The Ti₀.₈₅Si₀.₁₅N, which showed the best adhesion behaviour, revealed buckling as the main failure mechanism and a strong (220) texture. However, the Ti₀.₇₀Si₀.₃₀N, which revealed the lowest critical loads, presents conformal cracking as the main failure mechanism and a (200) texture. For the sample with the lowest Si content, critical loads very close to those of TiN and a strong (111) texture were obtained. These findings indicate that the microstructure influences not only the oxidation resistance but also the adhesion behaviour. Another point that deserves a mention is the increase of about 10% for both L<sub>C1</sub> critical load and hardness values obtained in the Ti₀.₈₅Si₀.₁₅N sample when the substrate temperature was raised from 300 °C to 400 °C.
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