Petrogenesis of Tin-bearing Granites from Ervedosa, Northern Portugal: The Importance of Magmatic Processes

M. E. P. Gomes¹,* and A. M. R. Neiva²

¹Department of Geology, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, Portugal
²Department of Earth Sciences, University of Coimbra, Portugal

Received: 25. 8. 2001 · Accepted: 17. 1. 2001

Abstract

Three Hercynian highly peraluminous tin-bearing granites define a sequence ranging from muscovite-biotite granite to muscovite granite. Tin-bearing quartz veins are genetically related to this sequence. Variation diagrams of most major and trace elements of granites, biotite and muscovite show fractionation trends. Least squares analysis of major elements and modelling of trace elements indicate that the muscovite-biotite granite M2 and the muscovite granite M3 were derived from the slightly porphyritic muscovite-biotite granite magma M1 by fractional crystallization of plagioclase, K-feldspar, biotite and quartz. The granite magma M1 was originated by partial fusion of peraluminous metasedimentary crustal materials. The magmatic fractionation was responsible for the increase in Sn contents of granites and their micas. Biotite has higher Sn content than coexisting muscovite. However, muscovite retains a higher percentage of the total granite Sn content, up to 99 % of the muscovite granite. The very rare magmatic cassiterite present in muscovite granite M3 confirms the tin enrichment of magma. In the sequence, the melt temperature decreases from 765 to 735 °C, P_H₂O decreases from 4 to 3 kb, and the F content in melt increases. Feldspars reequilibrated at 567–329 °C.
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Introduction

The Sn deposits related to granitic rocks may be the result of magmatic and hydrothermal processes (e.g. Lehmann 1982, 1990; Neiva 1984). Experimental studies of crystallization of volatile-enriched magmas, the volcanic analogues of rare-metal granites and the petrology of granitic rocks associated to some Sn deposits have revealed the importance of magmatic fractionation processes (Lehmann 1990; Pichavant 1997).

The tin-bearing quartz veins from Ervedosa, Bragança region (northern Portugal), were exploited for tin. The ore deposit was identified in 1908. Between 1909 and 1927, the mine exploitation was irregular. From 1928 until 1969, the continuous exploitation of the Ervedosa mine, also known as the Tuela mine, was carried out by Tuela Tin Mines Ltd., producing about 6 000 tons of tin and a similar weight of As₂O₃. The exploitation started underground and continued at two large open pits. Close to the end, alluvial placers were also exploited. The exploitation ended due to the low cassiterite concentrations, from 0.8 to 2 kg/ton (Casminex report 1982). They are spatially associated with a muscovite granite and genetically related to the tin-bearing granites sequence (Gomes 1996).

This paper presents the geology, mineralogy, petrology and geochemistry of three tin-bearing granites and their minerals from Ervedosa in order to understand their petrogenesis and the origin of high concentrations of tin in granites and their micas. The whole-rock geochemical data and chemical compositions of minerals were used to test specific involved magmatic processes and to show the importance of magmatic fractionation in the Sn enrichment in late-stage granites. The main conclusions on a Hercynian peraluminous granite suite in northern Portugal are important for understanding the behaviour of tin during granite magma differentiation.

Geological setting

The Ervedosa area (Fig. 1) lies in the Galiza and Trás-os-Montes Zone within the imbricated margins of the Iberian Terrane (Ribeiro et. al. 1990). It belongs to the lower Silurian so-called “Peritransmontain Subdomain” of the parautochthonous domain defined by Ribeiro (1974). At Ervedosa this subdomain consists mainly of mica schists and quartzites (Lécolle et al. 1981), which are deformed by three tectonic deformation phases D1, D2 and D3 (Ribeiro 1974). They have a low degree of regional metamorphism with a maximum temperature 450 °C and pressure 6–7 kb (Lécolle et al. 1981).

Three peraluminous Hercynian granites M1, M2 and M3 define a whole-rock Rb-Sr isochron which yields an age of 327 ± 9 Ma (Gomes 1996), and intruded Silurian metasedimentary rocks, which include carbon-rich metasediments, in the core of an antiform D2–D3 and a medium- to coarse-grained porphyritic biotite-muscovite granite (357 ± 9 Ma; Gomes 1996) showing sharp contacts. The medium- to coarse-grained porphyritic muscovite-biotite granite (319 ± 7 Ma; Gomes 1996) surrounds the oldest biotite-muscovite granite and the granites M1 and M2, with contacts that are also sharp. The oldest biotite-muscovite granite and the youngest muscovite-biotite granite do not show any relationship with the granites M1, M2 and M3 that are presented in this paper. The granites M1, M2 and M3 contain rare small “hipermicaceous” enclaves up to 4 × 5 cm and hornfels enclaves up to 10 × 5 cm in dimension.
The medium-grained slightly porphyritic muscovite-biotite granite (M1) has C-S structures, generally N20°W to C planes and N55°W to S planes. It contains rare microgranular enclaves up to 20 × 10 cm in dimension showing the same deformation. Locally, M1 passes gradually to the medium- to fine-grained muscovite-biotite granite (M2) which has a clear foliation N50–55°W.

The fine- to medium-grained muscovite granite (M3) intruded the metasedimentary rocks in the northeast part of the area, forming an E-W elongated body that shows a sharp contact. It has a foliation N55–60°W and was affected by a dextral N30°W shear zone. Muscovite granite also crops out between the contact of the biotite-muscovite granite
with the country rocks and occurs in small elongated outcrops cutting this granite; the contacts are sharp. M3 produced a mica schist of contact metamorphism with a thickness of about 1 m, containing quartz, muscovite, chlorite, biotite, albite and opaque minerals.

The granites M1 and M2 are cut by aplite and pegmatites veins, 2 m thick, oriented NE-SW to ENE-WSW and showing S-C deformation similar to that of the respective granite they cut.

N-S and NNE-SSW faults later than D3 were penetrated by quartz veins and cut Silurian mica-schists and the Hercynian granites. In some of them, particularly in the Ervedosa mine, Sn was exploited. The stanniferous quartz veins are spatially linked to the granite M3 and they fill faults related to the movements along the ductile shear zone (Gomes 1996).

**Petrography**

All the granites contain quartz, K-feldspar, plagioclase, muscovite, biotite, rare chlorite, monazite, apatite, zircon, ilmenite, rutile and uraninite. The granites have a subhedral granular texture. The main petrographic characteristics of the tin-bearing granites are given in Table 1. Following the classification of Le Bas and Streckeisen (1991), M1 belongs to the monzogranite family, while M2 and M3 are alkali granites.

Quartz is anhedral with undulatory extinction. It contains inclusions of the other minerals. Quartz eyes (Candela 1997) surrounded by muscovite were found in M3 (Fig. 2a), whose quartz grains are very fractured and broken close to the shear zone.

K-feldspar and plagioclase are generally subhedral to anhedral. All granites have phenocrysts of K-feldspar and M1 also has phenocrysts of plagioclase, polysynthetically twinned. Phenocrysts have rounded and smooth margins. They contain inclusions of biotite, muscovite, quartz and apatite. In M1, later K-feldspar and albite were found in shear bands (Fig. 2b) and later K-feldspar also occurs along biotite and chlorite cleavages.

Biotite and muscovite are subhedral and intergrown (Fig. 2c). They contain inclusions of quartz, sillimanite, zircon, apatite, monazite, ilmenite and rutile. Muscovite textures are similar to those of primary muscovite (P) of Miller et al. (1981) and Monier et al. (1984). In M1, some biotite and muscovite are anhedral; they frequently contain inclu-

**Table 1.** Petrographic characteristics of tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite %</td>
<td>3.5–7.4</td>
<td>9.2–10.9</td>
<td>11.6–15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotite %</td>
<td>2.4–4.7</td>
<td>0.9–1.3</td>
<td>0.0–0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenocrysts</td>
<td>Rare of K-feldspar and oligoclase</td>
<td>K-feldspar</td>
<td>Very rare of microline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotite pleochroism</td>
<td>$\beta = \gamma = \text{reddish brown}$, $\alpha = \text{pale yellow}$</td>
<td>$\beta = \gamma = \text{reddish brown}$, $\alpha = \text{pale yellow}$</td>
<td>$\beta = \gamma = \text{reddish brown}$, $\alpha = \text{pale yellow}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory minerals</td>
<td>tourmaline, andalusite, sillimanite, ilmenite, zircon, monazite, apatite</td>
<td>tourmaline, rare sillimanite, apatite</td>
<td>zircon, ilmenite, monazite, apatite, sulphides, uraninite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. a), b), c) and d) Photomicrographs from Ervedosa tin-bearing granites: a) Quartz eyes (qz) surrounded by muscovite (ms) in muscovite granite M3 (crossed polarized light); b) Shear band with biotite (bi), muscovite, K-feldspar (Kf), albite (ab), and apatite (ap) in muscovite-biotite granite M1 (plane polarized light); c) Biotite and muscovite intergrown in muscovite-biotite granite M2 (plane polarized light); d) Muscovite with inclusions of sillimanite (si) and margins corroded by feldspars and quartz in granite M1 (crossed polarized light). e) and f) Backscattered-electron images of accessory minerals from M1: e) complexly zoned and broken zircon (Zr) corroded by monazite (mo); f) Fractured apatite with inclusions of zircon, monazite and ilmenite (il).
sions of sillimanite, show margins corroded by feldspars and quartz (Fig. 2d) and the flakes are bent. Furthermore, in this granite, there are also later biotite and muscovite with undulatory extinction, in small flakes along the shear bands (Fig. 2b).

Andalusite and sillimanite are interpreted as primary minerals because they have a prismatic habit and are included in micas. They were not found in mutual contact.

Zircon is euhedral, with borders locally corroded by monazite (Fig. 2e). It occurs within feldspars, micas and apatite. Apatite is the most common accessory mineral and occurs mainly as inclusions in micas and rarely in feldspars. Apatite is euhedral or rounded and frequently contains inclusions of zircon, monazite, ilmenite and rutile (Fig. 2f). Other accessory minerals were found (Table 1). Very rare tiny subhedral cassiterite crystals were distinguished, by electron-microprobe, in heavy concentrates of the muscovite granite (M3).

Analytical methods

The micas were separated with a magnetic separator and heavy liquids. The purity estimated by petrographic examination of the powders is ~ 99.8%. The main contaminants are monazite and apatite.

The major and trace elements of granites and trace elements of micas were determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) at Manchester University, U.K, using the method of Brown et al. (1973), with precisions better than ± 1% for the major elements and Rb and of ± 4% for the other trace elements. Rare earth elements (REE), Ta, U, Th and Hf of granites were determined by neutron activation with a precision of about ± 5% at the Imperial College Reactor Center, Ascot, U.K.

The major elements of minerals were determined using an electron-microprobe (a Modified Cambridge Geoscan with Link Systems energy-dispersive system) at Manchester University.

Total Fe₂O₃ of biotites and Li of granites and micas were determined by atomic absorption, while F was determined by selective ion electron analysis; the precision was of about ± 2% for all. FeO of granites and micas was determined by titration with a standardized potassium permanganate solution; H₂O⁺ was determined using a Penfield tube: both had a precision of about ± 1%.

The isotopic studies were carried out at the Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, U.K. Sr was separated by a conventional ion exchange technique (Pankhurst and O’Nions 1973) and isotopically analyzed on single Ta filaments on a VG-Micromass 54E spectrometer. The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios were corrected for mass-fractionation by normalizing to ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr = 0.837521. The standard used was NBS987, which gave a mean ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of 0.710260 ± 0.000025 over the period of work. 2σ errors on ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios are about 0.007 %. Rb/Sr ratios were determined on pressed powder pellets by XRF (Pankhurst and O’Nions 1973). The precision of Rb/Sr ratios is about ± 2%. Rb and Sr contents, computed with absorption coefficients obtained from Compton scatter peak intensities, are precise to better than ± 5%. Regression lines were calculated using the least-squares method of York as implemented in the Isoplot program (Ludwig 1990). Errors are quoted at a 95 % confidence level. The Sm-Nd determinations were carried with a VG 54E mass spectrometer. The uncertainties in ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴⁴Nd, determined by isotope dilution, were 0.1%.
Table 2. Average chemical analyses in wt. %, trace elements and rare earths in ppm of tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>72.40</td>
<td>73.78</td>
<td>74.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>14.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O +</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>25.70</td>
<td>21.11</td>
<td>10.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>55.02</td>
<td>44.60</td>
<td>26.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>24.28</td>
<td>21.40</td>
<td>15.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table headings as in Table 1. s.d. – standard deviation, * – below the limit of sensitivity; n – number of analysed samples. Analyst: M.E.P.Gomes.
Whole-rock geochemistry

The average major element, trace element and rare earth contents of tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa are given in Table 2. They are peraluminous leucogranites with a molecular A/(CNK) ratio \( \frac{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}{\text{CaO} + \text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O}} \) ranging from 1.1 to 1.4 and a normative corundum content of 1.7–4.8.

Selected elemental variation diagrams plotted against SiO\(_2\) show regular trends given by curves from the muscovite-biotite granite M1 to the muscovite granite M3 (Fig. 3), indicating fractional crystallization.

The chondrite-normalized REE patterns of the three granites are subparallel (Fig. 4). REE and the enrichment in light REE (LREE) with respect to heavy REE (HREE) decrease, while the negative Eu anomaly increases slightly from M1 to M3. The decrease
in LREE from M1 to M3 can be explained by fractionation of monazite (e.g., Bea 1996), while the decrease in medium REE (MREE) and HREE can be due to apatite fractionation and the decrease in HREE can also be attributed to zircon fractionation (e.g., Mittlefehlldt and Miller 1983) because xenotime was not found.

**Isotopic data**

Isotopic Rb-Sr analytical data are presented in Table 3 (Gomes 1996). The muscovite-biotite granite M1 is the oldest according to the field relationships and has a whole-rock Rb-Sr isochron age of 328 ± 9 Ma (Fig. 5a). The three granites define a whole-rock Rb-Sr isochron, which yields an age of 327 ± 9 Ma (Fig. 5b). Compared with that of granitic
Fig. 4. Chondrite normalized REE abundances of tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa. Symbols as in Fig. 3. — M1, —— M2, —— M3.

Fig. 5. Whole-rock Rb-Sr isochrons of a) muscovite-biotite granite M1 and b) the three tin-bearing granites M1, M2 and M3 from Ervedosa. Symbols as in Fig. 3.
rocks from the Iberian Massif (Pinto et al. 1987), this age corresponds to that of syntectonic granites. The medium- to coarse-grained porphyritic muscovite-biotite granite (319 ± 7 Ma; Gomes 1996) surrounds the oldest biotite-muscovite granite (357 ± 9 Ma; Gomes 1996) and the granites M1 and M2, with contacts that are sharp. The high initial 87Sr/86Sr ratio of 0.7151 ± 0.0015 (Fig. 5a) for granite M1 (Table 3) and its εNd(T) = –4.9 and TDM = 1.41 Ga (Gomes 1996) suggest that it is derived from partial melting of metasedimentary materials.

Mineral Chemistry

- **Feldspars**: Compositions of K-feldspar and plagioclase are given in Table 4. Matrix microcline has higher orthoclase content than that of the respective phenocryst microcline. There is decrease in anorthite content from phenocrysts to matrix plagioclases.

| Table 3. Rb-Sr analytical data for the tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rock-type | Rb (ppm) | Sr (ppm) | 87Sr/86Sr | % error on 87Sr/86Sr | 87Rb/86Sr | (87Sr/86Sr)327Ma |
| Granite M1 | | | | | | |
| a | 236.5 | 74.7 | 0.75885 | 0.0064 | 9.5104 | 0.7146 |
| b | 251.6 | 90.6 | 0.75410 | 0.0027 | 8.2516 | 0.7157 |
| c | 321.9 | 67.5 | 0.78290 | 0.0026 | 14.4197 | 0.7158 |
| d | 347.3 | 51.6 | 0.81251 | 0.0062 | 20.8081 | 0.7157 |
| e | 336.0 | 68.2 | 0.78370 | 0.0026 | 14.8069 | 0.7148 |
| f | 295.9 | 73.4 | 0.77155 | 0.0065 | 12.1147 | 0.7152 |
| Granite M2 | | | | | | |
| a | 351.10 | 57.7 | 0.80350 | 0.0037 | 18.6267 | 0.7168 |
| Granite M3 | | | | | | |
| a | 483.45 | 35.1 | 0.92066 | 0.0043 | 44.7026 | 0.7126 |

M1, M2 and M3 as in Table 1.

| Table 4. Compositions of feldspars of tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa. |
|---|---|---|
| | M1 | M2 |
| Or content of K-feldspar | Phenocrysts | Or88 | Or90 |
| Matrix | Or89-95 | Or91-95 | Or94-98 |
| An content of plagioclase | Phenocrysts | An46 | - |
| Matrix | An4-14 | An1-5 | An0-2 |

Column headings as in Table 1.
Table 5. Average chemical analyses in wt. % and trace elements in ppm of primary micas of tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Muscovite</th>
<th></th>
<th>Biotite</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M1 s.d.</td>
<td>M2 s.d.</td>
<td>M3 s.d.</td>
<td>M1 s.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>45.87 0.76</td>
<td>46.41 0.77</td>
<td>46.40 0.68</td>
<td>35.34 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.63 0.19</td>
<td>0.68 0.41</td>
<td>0.34 0.16</td>
<td>2.69 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>35.32 0.98</td>
<td>34.82 0.89</td>
<td>33.77 1.34</td>
<td>19.05 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.50 0.26</td>
<td>0.62 0.16</td>
<td>0.92 0.64</td>
<td>2.36 1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.84 0.23</td>
<td>1.22 0.54</td>
<td>2.58 0.55</td>
<td>21.09 1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.02 0.03</td>
<td>0.01 0.03</td>
<td>0.04 0.06</td>
<td>0.19 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.71 0.18</td>
<td>0.74 0.16</td>
<td>0.62 0.32</td>
<td>6.38 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.03 0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.63 0.16</td>
<td>0.83 0.22</td>
<td>0.47 0.17</td>
<td>0.45 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>10.34 0.44</td>
<td>10.20 0.37</td>
<td>10.35 0.56</td>
<td>9.32 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.14 0.03</td>
<td>0.29 0.10</td>
<td>0.45 0.01</td>
<td>0.33 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.03 0.01</td>
<td>0.03 0.01</td>
<td>0.03 0.01</td>
<td>0.05 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O=O</td>
<td>95.06</td>
<td>95.85</td>
<td>95.97</td>
<td>97.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O=Cl</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>94.99</td>
<td>95.72</td>
<td>95.77</td>
<td>97.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>31 9</td>
<td>15 3</td>
<td>9 3</td>
<td>101 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>67 11</td>
<td>41 15</td>
<td>20 9</td>
<td>175 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>76 13</td>
<td>111 19</td>
<td>176 53</td>
<td>207 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>73 18</td>
<td>144 63</td>
<td>215 66</td>
<td>851 290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>113 31</td>
<td>152 59</td>
<td>423 77</td>
<td>137 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>266 160</td>
<td>557 329</td>
<td>857 293</td>
<td>1378 494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>11 4</td>
<td>20 9</td>
<td>55 17</td>
<td>67 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>35 10</td>
<td>21 6</td>
<td>23 8</td>
<td>89 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>17 10</td>
<td>13 6</td>
<td>8 1</td>
<td>10 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>48 3</td>
<td>56 7</td>
<td>111 62</td>
<td>85 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>24 2</td>
<td>19 2</td>
<td>20 4</td>
<td>20 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>181 43</td>
<td>80 51</td>
<td>66 22</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>799 115</td>
<td>1243 279</td>
<td>2269 409</td>
<td>1379 432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>16 7</td>
<td>31 20</td>
<td>85 26</td>
<td>127 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>35 66</td>
<td>18 9</td>
<td>34 15</td>
<td>24 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>26 10</td>
<td>15 8</td>
<td>29 15</td>
<td>59 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>7 2</td>
<td>8 7</td>
<td>9 3</td>
<td>12 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>22 5</td>
<td>24 3</td>
<td>30 5</td>
<td>31 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>15 5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Column headings as in Table 1. s.d. – standard deviation; * – below the limit of detection; n – number of samples with analysed micas. Analyst: M.E.P.Gomes.
of M1. There is a tendency for a slight increase in orthoclase content of matrix microcline, while there is decrease in anorthite content of matrix plagioclase from the muscovite-biotite granite M1 to the muscovite granite M3.

- **Micas:** The average major and trace elements concentrations of the analyzed primary muscovite and biotite of each granite type are given in Table 5. A trend was found from primary muscovite of granite M1 to that of granite M3 (Fig. 6).

**Fig. 6.** Variation diagrams of trace elements of primary muscovites of tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa. Symbols as in Fig. 3.
All biotites are Fe$^{2+}$-biotite (Foster 1960) and Al-K biotite (Nachit et al. 1985), corresponding to plutonic biotites of igneous origin (Gokhale 1968) and of peraluminous S-type suites (Abdel-Rahman 1994). Plotted in the Al$_2$O$_3$-total FeO-MgO triangle they fall in the field of coexistence with muscovite (Nockolds 1947); this agrees with the petrographic observations. A trend is defined from biotite of granite M1 to that of biotite of granite M3 (Fig. 7). Due to the low amount of biotite in granite M3, the contents of trace elements of this mica could not be determined.
**Partition of elements between coexisting micas:** Partition ratios were calculated by dividing the concentration of any element in biotite by its content in the coexisting primary muscovite. The concentrations of trace elements in biotite are generally higher than in coexisting muscovite (Table 6), but Sr does not show any preference, while Sc partitions preferentially into muscovite. The partition ratios calculated for Ti, Mg, F and Rb between coexisting biotite and muscovite are similar to those of Icenhower and London (1995).

The partition ratio for Ti (3.4–5.2) between coexisting biotite and muscovite of granites from Ervedosa are close to those of equilibrium 3.3–4.5 for Ti of coexisting micas from pelitic schists (Guidotti et al. 1977).

The distribution coefficient $K_D$ for Fe and Mg between coexisting micas from Ervedosa was calculated by the equation $K_D = \frac{X_{MB} \times (1 - X_{BM})}{X_{BM} \times (1 - X_{MB})}$, where $X_{MB} = Mg/(Mg + Fe^{2+})$ in muscovite and $X_{BM} = Mg/(Mg + Fe^{2+})$ in biotite, with the concentrations expressed as cationic proportions. $K_D$ ranges between 2.9 and 3.3, showing departure from equilibrium where $K_D$ is 1–1.5 (Butler 1967).

The best correlations found between coexisting biotite and muscovite contents from Ervedosa are for K/Rb, Nb, Li, Li/Mg, Sn, Rb (Fig. 8) suggesting that equilibrium was attained for these elements, which agrees with findings of others authors, namely for Li (Godinho and Silva 1974), Li/Mg (Neiva 1977), Nb (Neves 1997) and K/Rb (Albuquerque 1975).

**Accessory minerals:** Accessory minerals are common in granites. Chemical analyses of zircon, monazite and apatite are given in Table 7. In general, zircon from the muscovite granite M3 is richer in Fe + Th + Hf + U and poorer in Zr + Si than zircon of muscovite-biotite granite M1 (Fig. 9a). In more differentiated rocks, zircon displays complimentary increases in Hf and Y and higher U and Th concentration (Wark and Miller 1993).

The monazite, from the Ervedosa granites, plots between the brabantite and xenotime components; it defines a trend close and parallel to the brabantite substitution (Figs. 9b, c). The predominance of brabantite substitution is characteristic of highly differentiated granites (Föster 1998). The monazite from the muscovite granite M3 is the poorest in LREE and the richest in Th+U (Table 7).

### Table 6. Average partitioning (D) of elements between coexisting biotite and muscovite of tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Li</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.d.</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Sc</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Rb</th>
<th>Cs</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>La</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>Nd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.d.</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D – concentration of element in biotite/concentration of element in muscovite; s.d. – standard deviation calculated for 16 pairs.
The apatite from muscovite granite M3 tends to be the richest in REE+Si and the poorest in Ca+P (Table 7, Fig. 9d). Cl and F have been determined on apatite, but the values are below the limit of detection.

Ilmenite occurs in all granites and its Mn content ranges between 0.122 and 0.596 pfu, increasing progressively from the ilmenite of the muscovite-biotite granite M1 to that of the muscovite granite M3. A negative correlation was found between Ti and Fe^{2+} + Mn of ilmenite.

Fig. 8. Correlations for Li, Li/Mg, Nb, Sn, Rb and K/Rb between coexisting biotite and muscovite of granites from Ervedosa. Symbols as in Fig. 3.

The apatite from muscovite granite M3 tends to be the richest in REE+Si and the poorest in Ca+P (Table 7, Fig. 9d). Cl and F have been determined on apatite, but the values are below the limit of detection.

Ilmenite occurs in all granites and its Mn content ranges between 0.122 and 0.596 pfu, increasing progressively from the ilmenite of the muscovite-biotite granite M1 to that of the muscovite granite M3. A negative correlation was found between Ti and Fe^{2+} + Mn of ilmenite.
Cassiterite crystals are very rare, only found in granite M3 and of very small sizes. Therefore, it was impossible to analyze them. The cassiterite is interpreted as magmatic and derived from magmatic aqueous fluids with low salinities (Schwartz et al. 1995; Linnen 1998).

**Conditions of crystallization**

Melt temperatures were calculated by two different methods: a) the Zr content and the solubility model of Watson and Harrison (1983); b) REE and the solubility of monazite (Montel 1993). Temperatures range from 770 to 730 °C by the first method and from 836 to 744 °C by the second method, always showing a progressive decrease from M1 to M3 (Fig. 10). The two thermometers give similar results with a difference ranging between 14 and 66 °C, which may be attributed to analytical and experimental errors.

Based on the estimated temperatures for the melts by the Zr thermometer, biotite compositions and the work of Burkhard (1991), estimated $f_{O_2}$ is of $10^{-16}$ bars and $P_{H_2O}$ of 3–4 kb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>30.41</td>
<td>24.45</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>61.68</td>
<td>53.61</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HfO₂</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂O₃</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce₂O₃</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>28.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd₂O₃</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>10.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm₂O₃</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd₂O₃</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy₂O₃</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>28.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThO₂</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UO₂</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₂O₃</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 95.77 86.65 93.55 94.07 94.08 99.82 99.86 100.11

- not analyzed; * – below the limit of detection; a – low totals reflect presence of elements not analyzed.
Fig. 9. Plots of accessory minerals from Ervedosa tin-bearing granites: a) Plot of atomic contents (Fe+Th+Hf+U) versus (Zr+Si) of zircon; b) Plot of atomic contents (Th+U+Si) versus (REE+P+Y) of monazite, calculated on the basis of 16 O atoms. The solid arrow represents the huttonite substitution and the dashed arrow the brabantitic substitution. In the lower corner, the area of Fig. 9c; c) Plot of monazite analyses.; d) Plot of atomic contents (Ca+P) versus (REE+Si) of apatite. Symbols as in Fig. 3.
During the differentiation of muscovite-biotite granite magma M1, there was a progressive increase in wt. % F in melt (0.15 for M1, 0.39 for M2 and 0.88 for M3), which were calculated using the data on biotite (Table 5) and the experimental results of Icenhower and London (1997).

Temperatures estimated from the two-feldspar geothermometer of Elkins and Grove (1990) for the respective pressure range between 567 and 329 °C, which correspond to reequilibrium of feldspars under the subsolidus conditions.

**Petrogenesis**

The variation diagrams of tin-bearing granites (Fig. 3), muscovite (Fig. 6) and biotite (Fig. 7), the decrease in Ca of plagioclase (Table 4) and the increase in Th and U contents of zircon and monazite from the muscovite-biotite granite M1 to the muscovite granite M3 (Table 7 and Fig. 9) and the subparallel REE patterns of granites (Fig. 4) suggest a crystal fractionation model.

Major elements were used to test fractional crystallization (Table 8). The average composition of the five samples with the lowest SiO₂ contents of granite M1 was selected as the parent magma. The most silicic samples (five of M1 and four of each granite type M2 and M3 that did not show any metasomatic effects) were taken as the residual liquids. Compositions of pure anorthite, albite and quartz were used, as well as compositions determined by electron microprobe of K-feldspar, micas and ilmenite. The sum of the squares of the residuals (R²) was always 0.31. The anorthite content of plagioclase of the cumulate was always similar to that of the highest anorthite content of plagioclase of the granite M1.

**Fig. 10.** Comparison between the temperature obtained by Zr thermometry (Watson & Harrison 1983) and REE thermometry (Montel 1993). Symbols as in Fig. 3.
The percentage of plagioclase and biotite decrease in cumulate versus the decrease in weight fraction of melt remaining during fractional crystallization (Table 8 and Figs. 11 a, b).

The trace elements Sr, Ba and Rb were modelled using the equation \( C_L = C_0 F D^{-1} \) for perfect fractional crystallization (Rayleigh fractionation) and the equation \( C_L = C_0 / (D + F (1 - X)) \) for equilibrium crystallization. \( C_L \) is the concentration of the element in the melt; \( C_0 \) is the concentration of the element in the system; \( F \) is the fractionation of melt in the system; \( D = K_i X_i \) is the bulk distribution coefficient of the element \( i \). The modal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8. Results of fractional crystallization model of tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Determined parent magma (M1)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO(_t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_2)O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣR(_2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M1, M2 and M3 as in Table 1. \( F = \) Weight fraction of melt remaining during fractional crystallization; \( ΣR^2 = \) Sum of the squares of the residuals.

**Modal composition of cumulate**

| Quartz | — | — | 21.7 ± 13.0 |
| K-feldspar | — | 48.1 ± 11.3 | 49.3 ± 10.8 |
| Plagioclase | 61.9 ± 49.2 | 27.8 ± 16.4 | 15.3 ± 18.1 |
| Biotite | 38.1 ± 11.1 | 21.8 ± 6.0 | 13.7 ± 2.0 |
| Ilmenite | — | 2.3 ± 2.2 | — |

**Compositions of residual melts**

| Sr ppm | 90 | 60 | 70 | 41 | 45 | 25 | 26 |
| Rb ppm | 279 | 282 | 309 | 282 | 358 | 307 | 490 |
| Ba ppm | 336 | 250 | 252 | 120 | 105 | 60 | 18 |
composition of cumulate and weight fraction of melt remaining (F) during fractional crystallization were used; these were based on calculations involving major elements. The contents of Sr, Ba and Rb in the average of the five least silicic samples of M1 were used. The distribution coefficients (K) used are given in Table 9. The results based on the equilibrium crystallization equation are closer to the analytical data than those based on the Rayleigh fractionation. Rb increases and Sr and Ba decrease, versus decrease in weight fraction of melt remaining during fractional crystallization (Table 8 and Figs. 11c, d). Particularly for Sr and Ba, the calculated data is close to the analytical data (Figs. 11e, f).

The granites M1, M2 and M3 are syntectonic and deformed. The samples selected of the three granites to get isotopic Rb-Sr data were the least deformed. The Rb-Sr data can be

| Mineral/melt partition coefficients (K) used for granitic melt. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Sr              | 14.7 a)         | 12 c)           |
| Ba              | 1.65 a)         | 22.74 c)        |
| Rb              | 0.09 b)         | 0.94 c)         |

reset by weak deformation; if the emplacement of granite magmas was syntectonic, the whole-rock ages can be reliable in weakly deformed granites (Page and Bell 1986). So the age of 327 ± 9 Ma is interpreted as an emplacement age, contemporaneous with shear zone movements. The initial ratio \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) calculated for all samples of granites M1, M2 and M3, used to define the whole-rock Rb-Sr isochron for the age of 327 Ma yielded (Fig. 5b), have an average value of 0.7152, which is similar to that (0.7155 ± 0.0018) given by the Rb-Sr isochron; this confirms that these granites are co-genetic and assimilation of country rock did not occur simultaneously with in situ fractional crystallization.

As the granite M1 is peraluminous with A/CNK values 1.10–1.25, it was derived from a peraluminous source. Furthermore, it was originated by partial fusion of metasedimentary materials because this granite has a LREE-enriched chondrite normalized pattern and a negative Eu anomaly (Nabelek and Glascock 1995). Its initial \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratio of 0.7151 ± 0.0015 (Fig. 5a) and \(\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}\) of −4.9 confirm that it was derived from a crustal metasedimentary protolith. Castro et al. (1999) did experimental tests on Hercynian granites petrogenesis and concluded that the relationships displayed by Iberian leucogranites indicate that they have been generated from a pure crustal protolith but the source material could have been greywackes or gneisses.

**Sn content of granites and its origin**

The average Sn content of granites from Ervedosa ranges from 29 to 72 ppm (Table 2). Sn content increases from muscovite-biotite granite M1 to muscovite granite M3, reaching 99 ppm in some samples of M3 (Fig. 3). So they are Sn-bearing granites (Neiva 1984; Lehmann 1990).

The occurrence of cassiterite as a primary, magmatic mineral, in muscovite granite M3 indicates that the late-stage granite magma was strongly enriched in tin (Haapala 1997). Magmatic cassiterite can crystallize in highly evolved systems because tin is partitioned in favour of granitic melts in deeper granitic intrusions with low chlorine contents (Linnen 1998). The occurrence of carbonaceous pelitic metasediments favours the reduced nature to play a key role in the remobilization of tin (Linnen 1998). Decrease in temperature, salinity, \(H^+\) activity as well as an increase in oxygen fugacity reduce the solubility of tin (Schwartz et al. 1995).

The textures of granites from Ervedosa are consistent with a dominantly magmatic origin. The muscovite granite M3 has magmatic albite and muscovite and is a late differentiate of the anatectic granite melt M1. The granite M3 is cut by stanniferous quartz veins.

Sn contents of granites, muscovite and biotite increase with the degree of fractionation (Figs. 3, 6, 7), which is confirmed in the linear log Sn – log TiO\(_2\) and log Sn – log Rb/Sr correlations (Fig. 12). The fractionation suite is traced back to 5 ppm Sn in the least-evolved portion. Lehmann (1982), in similar conditions, concludes that the source material was not enriched in tin beyond average crustal levels.

Biotite holds an average of 19% (in M1) and 16% (in M2), while primary muscovite retains a mean of 21% (in M1), 57% (in M2) and 75% (in M3) of the total granite Sn. So the percentage of total granite Sn retained in biotite decreases, while that retained in muscovite increases with the increase in the degree of differentiation.

In every granite studied, muscovite retains a higher percentage of total granite Sn (up to 99% in M3) than biotite does because the modal % of muscovite is higher than that of
biotite (Table 1), although Sn content of muscovite is lower than that of coexisting biotite (Table 5). Very rare magmatic cassiterite was found in muscovite granite M3. However, in the tin-bearing quartz veins, which cut the country rock and muscovite granite M3, cassiterite is the main concentrator of Sn.

**Conclusions**

a) The granites from Ervedosa have many characteristics of S-type granite (Chappell and White 1974 and 1992) and C_ST granite (Barbarin 1990).

b) The highly peraluminous tin-bearing granites from Ervedosa (muscovite-biotite granites M1 and M2 and muscovite granite M3) show trends of fractionation for major and trace elements of rocks and define a whole-rock Rb-Sr isochron of 327 ± 9Ma. There is decrease in ΣREE and increase in the negative Eu anomaly from the oldest granite M1 to the youngest granite M3.

c) Biotite and muscovite show trends of fractionation for major and trace elements. Generally trace elements are more concentrated in biotite than in the coexisting muscovite.

d) Equilibrium may have occurred for Li, Nb, Sn, Rb, Li/Mg and K/Rb between coexisting micas, probably after crystallization.

e) The muscovite-biotite granite M2 and muscovite granite M3 are the product of in situ fractional crystallization of the muscovite-biotite granite M1 controlled by separation of plagioclase, K-feldspar, biotite and quartz.
f) The initial \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratio 0.7151 ± 0.0015 and \(\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}\) = –4.9 suggest that the muscovite-biotite granite M1 results from partial melting of metasedimentary materials.

g) In granites, biotite and muscovite, the Sn contents increase with magmatic differentiation. Primary muscovite retains a higher percentage of the total granite Sn than biotite. This effect increases with magmatic fractionation.

h) The muscovite granite M3 has very rare magmatic cassiterite, indicating that the granite magma was enriched in tin.

i) The melt temperatures range from 765 °C for granite M1 to 735 °C for granite M3 and \(P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\) ranges from 4 to 3 kb. F content in melt increases during magmatic differentiation. Reequilibration of feldspars occurred at 576–329 °C.
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