

1 **Title:** The importance of lizards and small mammals as reservoirs for *Borrelia*
2 *lusitaniae* in Portugal

3

4 **Authors:** Norte, A.C.^{1,2}, Alves da Silva, A.¹, Alves, J.¹, da Silva, L.P.^{1,3}, Nuncio, M.S.²,
5 Escudero, R.⁴, Anda, P.⁴, Ramos, J.A.¹, and Lopes de Carvalho, I.^{2,5}

6

7 **Affiliations:**

8 1- IMAR/CMA – Marine and Environmental Research Centre & MARE - Marine and
9 Environmental Sciences Centre, Department of Life Sciences, Largo Marquês de
10 Pombal, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of Coimbra, 3004-517
11 Coimbra, Portugal

12 2- Centre for Vector and Infectious Diseases Research, National Institute of Health
13 Doutor Ricardo Jorge, Águas de Moura, Portugal

14 3- Centre for Functional Ecology, Department of Life Sciences, University of Coimbra,
15 Portugal

16 4- Laboratorio de Espiroquetas y Patógenos Especiales, Servicio de Bacteriología,
17 Centro Nacional de Microbiología, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.

18 5-Emergence Response and Biopreparedness Unit, National Institute of Health Doutor
19 Ricardo Jorge, Lisbon, Portugal

20

21 **Corresponding author:**

22 Norte, A.C.

23 Address: Institute of Marine Research IMAR/CMA, Department of Life Sciences,
24 Largo Marquês de Pombal, University of Coimbra, 3004-517 Coimbra, Portugal

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12218

25 e-mail: acgnorte@ci.uc.pt

26 Telephone: 00351 239 855771

27 Fax: 00351 239 855789

28

29 **Running title:** *B. lusitaniae* in lizards and small mammals in Portugal

30

31

32 **Summary**

33 *Borrelia lusitaniae* is a pathogen frequent in the Mediterranean area. Apart from lizards,
34 evidence for birds and small mammals as competent reservoirs for this genospecies has
35 been occasional. We collected questing ticks, skin biopsies and *Ixodes* sp. ticks feeding
36 on lizards, birds and small mammals in a *B. burgdorferi* s.l. enzootic area to assess their
37 importance in the maintenance of *B. lusitaniae*. *B. lusitaniae* was the most prevalent
38 genospecies in questing ticks and was commonly found in larvae feeding on
39 *Psammodromus algirus*. One biopsy infected with *B. lusitaniae* was collected from the
40 tail of one *Podarcis hispanica*, which suggests systemic infection. *I. ricinus* larvae
41 feeding on *Apodemus sylvaticus* were infected with *B. lusitaniae* but with a lower
42 prevalence. Our results reinforce the importance of lizards as reservoirs for *B.*
43 *lusitaniae*, suggesting that *P. algirus*, in particular, acts as main reservoir for *B.*
44 *lusitaniae* in Portugal.

45

46 **Introduction**

47

48 *Borrelia lusitaniae* is a genospecies frequent in the Mediterranean area with
49 focal distribution in central and northern Europe. In Portugal, this is the most frequent
50 genospecies infecting questing ticks (Baptista, 2006; Lopes de Carvalho et al., 2008a).
51 This is also the case in Tapada de Mafra, a mixed deciduous forest, where *Ixodes*
52 *ricinus* ticks are abundant and are frequently infected with *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l.,
53 with a higher prevalence of *B. lusitaniae* when compared to other genospecies (Norte et
54 al., 2013a). *B. lusitaniae* reservoir hosts have not been studied in detail, apart from
55 reptiles, which are confirmed reservoirs through xenodiagnosis (Dsouli et al., 2006).
56 Some observations have suggested that both small mammals (Lopes de Carvalho et al.,
57 2010) and birds (Poupon et al., 2006) can also act as reservoirs for this genospecies but
58 further support is needed. In one previous survey at Tapada de Mafra (see Norte et al.
59 2012), where *B. lusitaniae* was the most prevalent genospecies in questing ticks, we
60 found no evidence of reservoir competency of avian hosts for *B. lusitaniae* (Norte et al.,
61 2013b). This is a pathogenic genospecies (da Franca et al., 2005; Lopes de Carvalho et
62 al., 2008b), and it is important to better understand which factors contribute to its
63 maintenance in nature, to eventually minimize infection and disease risk. In this study,
64 we collected samples (feeding ticks and tissues) from mammals, birds and lizards, and
65 questing ticks, to assess the potential of each of these vertebrate groups as reservoir for
66 *B. lusitaniae*, and elucidate which species contributes the most to the maintenance of *B.*
67 *lusitaniae* in Tapada de Mafra enzootic focus..

68 .

69

70 **Results and discussion**

71

72 *B. burgdorferi* s.l. infection in questing ticks

73

74 A total of 749 *Ixodes* spp. were collected from the vegetation, including 748 *I. ricinus*
75 and one *I. frontalis* nymph (Table 1). From these, 373 were tested for *B. burgdorferi* s.l.
76 infection using a nested PCR targeting the 5S-23S rDNA intergenic spacer region
77 (Rijpkema et al., 1995; Supplemental Material 1). *B. burgdorferi* s.l. prevalence in
78 questing *I. ricinus* was 0% in larvae (0/100), 5.3% (14/262) in nymphs and 16.7% (1/6)
79 in adults (Table 1). As expected, *B. burgdorferi* s.l. prevalence increased from larval to
80 adult stage of *I. ricinus* ticks, which is in support of the low transovarial transmission of
81 this agent in this tick species (Bellet-Edimo et al., 2005), and increasing number of
82 hosts on which the ticks fed along their life stages. Therefore, detection of infection in *I.*
83 *ricinus* larvae feeding on a host strongly suggests acquisition from the host (except in
84 cases of co-feeding; Randolph et al., 1996). The following genospecies were detected in
85 questing ticks: *B. lusitaniae*, *B. valaisiana*, *B. garinii* and *B. afzelii* (Table 1). The most
86 prevalent genospecies was *B. lusitaniae*, infecting 3.0% (8/262) of the *I. ricinus* nymphs
87 (Table 1), which is in agreement with previous findings for the same area (Baptista,
88 2006; Norte et al., 2013b). The finding of one *I. frontalis* nymph questing in the
89 vegetation is worth highlighting because collections of this tick species by flagging are
90 rare (Pérez-Eid, 2007; Bona and Stanko, 2013).

91

92 *B. burgdorferi* s.l. infection in ticks and tissues from vertebrates

93

94 Lizards

95

96 Fifty lizards, including 2 recaptures, belonging to 4 species were sampled (Table
97 2). From these, 426 *I. ricinus* (379 larvae and 47 nymphs) were collected (Table 2). 346
98 *I. ricinus* were tested for *B. burgdorferi* s.l. infection and the prevalence was 13.3%
99 (46/346; Table 2), with all the infections belonging to *B. lusitaniae*. Infected ticks were
100 feeding on *Psammodromus algirus*, *Podarcis hispanica* and *Lacerta shreiberi*.
101 However, from these lizard species, only *P. algirus* carried *B. lusitaniae* infected larvae
102 and some of those individuals were infested only by larvae. This suggests reservoir
103 competence of this lizard species for *B. lusitaniae*. *P. algirus* had already been
104 confirmed as reservoir for *B. lusitaniae* in Tunisia by xenodiagnosis (Dsouli et al.,
105 2006), and additional evidence of the role of lizard species as reservoirs for *B. lusitaniae*
106 has been adding up (Richter and Matuschka, 2006; Foldvari et al., 2009; De Sousa et
107 al., 2012).

108 From the 47 tail biopsies collected (42 in duplicate: one for inoculation into
109 Barbour-Stoenner-Kelly complete (BSK II) medium, and the other for direct DNA
110 extraction – see Supplemental Material 1), in one biopsy from *P. hispanica*, *B.*
111 *lusitaniae* DNA was detected, suggesting systemic infection. *B. burgdorferi* s.l.
112 detection in biopsies was rather low (in lizards and other vertebrates groups, see
113 below). In the case of lizards, this may be attributed to the fact that the tissue from the
114 lizards' tail is not the best for *Borrelia* detection, when compared to e.g. the collar
115 tissue. Majláthová et al. (2006) collected both tail samples and collar skin biopsies from
116 *Lacerta viridis* but only detected *B. burgdorferi* s.l. in the collar biopsies (18.6%).
117 Foldvari et al. (2009) collected both collar scales and toe clips from lizards, and the
118 percentage of infected tissues was higher for collar scales (8.2%) than for toe clips
119 (2.0%). However, detection from collar scales may reflect local infection, because most
120 of the feeding ticks are attached in this area, rather than a systemic infection. *B.*

121 *burgdorferi* s.l. has been detected in lizard tail tissues with success in other studies
122 (Amore et al., 2007; De Sousa et al. 2012). Another potential difficulty in obtaining
123 isolates from field derived inoculations in BSK-II medium arises from the susceptibility
124 of these cultures to contamination. Cultures contaminated soon after inoculation and
125 this may have inhibited *Borrelia* growth and/ or the PCR on the DNA extracted from
126 these cultures.

127 The high *I. ricinus* larval tick infestation intensity in *P. algirus* (Table 2), than in
128 other sampled vertebrate species (see bellow), suggests the great potential of this
129 species to infect a large number of ticks in this area, acting as the source of *B. lusitaniae*
130 infection to questing nymphs in the area. The other captured lizards in our study (*P.*
131 *hispanica*, *L. shreiberi* and *Timon lepidus*, formerly *Lacerta lepida*) do not seem to have
132 such an important role as reservoirs for *B. lusitaniae* as *P. algirus*, because either they
133 were not infested by ticks or the infection prevalence in their infesting larvae was zero.
134 Although the number of *Lacerta* sp. individuals captured was low, precluding a reliable
135 evaluation of their reservoir status, the number of *P. hispanica* sampled individuals was
136 relatively high, and the lack of infection in its large number of infesting larval *I. ricinus*
137 contrasts with the finding of one *B. lusitaniae* positive biopsy collected from this lizard
138 species. The reservoir competence for a *Borrelia* genospecies may vary between related
139 species occurring sympatrically, and which are both important tick hosts (e.g *P. algirus*
140 and *P. hispanica*). Although the reservoir competence of lizards for *B. burgdorferi* s.l.
141 may be species' specific, the range of lizard species for which evidence as reservoirs for
142 *B. lusitaniae* has been compiled is quite large and includes: *L. viridis*, *Podarcis muralis*,
143 *Podarcis taurica* and *Lacerta agilis* in central Europe (Richter and Matuschka, 2006;
144 Majláthová et al., 2006; Foldvari et al., 2009), *P. muralis* in Tuscany (Amore et al.,

145 2007), and *Teira dugesii* in Madeira's island, Portugal (De Sousa et al., 2012). More
146 studies are needed to confirm the reservoir potential of *P. hispanica* for *B. lusitaniae*.

147

148 Mammals

149

150 Seventy-eight small mammals belonging to 5 species were captured, including
151 30 recaptures. The most common species captured was the wood mouse *Apodemus*
152 *sylvaticus*. Forty-one small mammals were infested by ticks, and 239 *Ixodes* spp. ticks
153 were collected from them, including 234 *I. ricinus* in larval stage (Table 3). 226 *Ixodes*
154 spp. ticks were screened for *Borrelia* infection, whose prevalence was 0.9% (2/226). All
155 infections corresponded to *B. lusitaniae* and they were detected in *I. ricinus* larvae
156 feeding on an *A. sylvaticus*. Those infected ticks were derived from the same animal,
157 infested only by larvae. This finding supports previous results that *A. sylvaticus* acts as
158 competent reservoir for *B. lusitaniae* (Lopes de Carvalho et al. 2010). The percentage of
159 *B. lusitaniae* infected larvae feeding on *A. sylvaticus* was much lower than those feeding
160 on *P. algirus*, suggesting a less prominent role of this small mammal as amplification
161 agent of this genospecies in the study area. However, the number of small mammal
162 recaptures was quite high (30/78; 38.5%). Because they were not individually marked,
163 *Borrelia* prevalence in ticks derived from small mammals could have been
164 underestimated if spirochete-free individuals were captured significantly more often
165 than others.

166 Forty-six ear biopsies were collected (38 in duplicate) from 36 *A. sylvaticus*, 7
167 *Mus* spp., 2 *Microtus lusitanicus* and 1 *Crossidura russula*. This tissue has been used
168 previously with success in direct detections and to obtain *B. burgdorferi* s.l. isolated
169 cultures (Sinsky and Piesman, 1989; Hanincová et al., 2003a), but none of the collected

170 biopsies in this study were found infected (either through culture on BSK II medium or
171 direct DNA extraction). One out of the two cultures from the heart of *A. sylvaticus* was
172 positive, but the genospecies was impossible to determine. None of the two bladder
173 derived cultures from the same individuals were positive.

174 Additionally 1 (larva) and 11 (9 nymphs and 2 adult females) *I. ricinus* were
175 collected from a rabbit and a wild boar, respectively, but were negative for *B.*
176 *burgdorferi* s.l. Two biopsies were also taken from the ear of the rabbit but were
177 negative for *B. burgdorferi* s.l., both through culture and direct DNA extraction.

178 We did not detect *B. afzelii* either in ticks feeding on any of the sampled hosts or
179 their tissues. Although the prevalence of this genospecies was relatively low in questing
180 nymphs, it was unexpected that ticks collected feeding on potential *B. afzelii* reservoirs
181 hosts, such as small mammal species (Hanincová et al., 2003a; Cadenas et al., 2007)
182 and wild boars, were negative. In North Eastern Europe, *I. ricinus* larvae feeding on
183 *Lacerta* sp. were infected with *B. afzelii* (Majláthová et al., 2006; Foldvari et al., 2009),
184 but our sampled lizard species did not harbour *B. afzelii* positive ticks. Possibly other
185 mammal species which were not sampled, such as hedgehogs (Skuballa et al., 2012),
186 foxes or some Artiodactyl species (Cadenas et al. 2007) act as *B. afzelii* reservoirs in
187 this area.

188

189 Birds

190

191 One-hundred and eight birds were captured, including 5 recaptures, belonging to
192 22 species, and 31 were infested with ticks: 243 *Ixodes* spp. ticks were collected,
193 including 236 *I. ricinus* (204 larvae and 32 nymphs; Supplemental Material 2). We
194 screened 220 *Ixodes* sp. for *B. burgdorferi* s.l. infection. Only *Turdus merula* (3 out of 5

195 birds infested) harboured infected ticks, and the genospecies detected were *B. garinii*,
196 the most prevalent genospecies (16/97; 16.5%), followed by *B. valaisiana* (10/97;
197 10.3%) and *B. turdi* (3/97; 3.1%; Table 4). A mixed infection by these three
198 genospecies was detected in one *I. ricinus* larva through a Reverse Line Blot (Gil 2005)
199 using specific probes described previously (Ripkema 1995, Gil 2005, Gern 2010; see
200 Supplemental Material 1). The relatively high prevalence of *B. garinii* and *B. valaisiana*
201 in ticks derived from *T. merula* is consistent with previous findings from the same area
202 and, with other studies which found that birds from the genus *Turdus* are competent
203 reservoirs for these genospecies (Taragel'ová et al., 2008; Norte et al., 2013a; Norte et
204 al., 2013b). *B. turdi*, detected in a lower prevalence, is a genospecies associated with
205 bird-reservoirs (Norte et al., 2013a,b).

206 Twenty-four biopsies were collected in duplicate from 24 birds which
207 corresponded to 77% (24/31) of the birds which carried ticks, belonging to 10 species
208 (Supplemental Material 2), but all were negative for *B. burgdorferi* s.l.

209

210 *Genetic variability of B. lusitaniae strains in circulation at Tapada de Mafra*

211

212 In this study, we detected 3 genetic variants of the analysed partial 5S-23S intergenic
213 spacer region (from the positions 254 to 453). The sequences were aligned using
214 Multalin software (Corpet, 1988) and compared with other published sequences. Two
215 variants were reported before in questing ticks from the same area (Norte et al., 2013b)
216 but a new one was found in *I. ricinus* larvae and nymphs feeding on *P. algirus* and in *I.*
217 *ricinus* larvae feeding on *A. sylvaticus* (PoTiBlus4). This variant differed between 3 and
218 9 base pairs from the other genetic variants reported from the same area (PoTiBlus1,
219 PoTiBlus2, PoTiBlus3, PoTiBmf364 and PoTiBl37; Norte et al. 2013b).

220

221 The results of this study are in partial agreement with those of Amore et al.,
222 (2007) in Tuscany, where *B. lusitaniae* was the most prevalent *Borrelia* genospecies in
223 questing ticks and the hosts harbouring *B. lusitaniae* infected ticks were lizards (in their
224 case *Podarcis* sp.). Amore et al. (2007) also found that 19-25% of the blood and biopsy
225 samples from *Podarcis* sp. were positive for this genospecies, but, neither mice nor
226 passerine birds were infected or harboured *B. lusitaniae* infected ticks. This shows that
227 host specificity for *Borrelia* genospecies may vary with *Borrelia* subtypes, different tick
228 vector species/races, and host composition in different geographic areas differing in
229 habitat structure and biocenosis (Gern, 2008). Therefore, specific and localised studies
230 are needed in each enzootic area to properly understand the ecology of this zoonosis.

231

232 **Nucleotide sequence accession numbers**

233 *B. lusitaniae* rrf (5S)-rrl (23S) intergenic spacer region nucleotide sequences obtained in
234 this study, and in Norte et al. (2013b) study from the same area, have been deposited in
235 GenBank with the accession numbers: KJ857486 (PoTiBlus2) and KJ857487
236 (PoTiBlus4).

237

238 **Acknowledgments**

239 We thank Tapada Nacional de Mafra for logistic support, Miguel Araújo and Antje
240 Werner for help with fieldwork, Isabel Abrantes for laboratory facilities, Miguel
241 Carretero for help with the identification of lizard species, and to the anonymous
242 reviewers for their helpful comments. This study was financially supported by the
243 Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (SFRH/BPD/62898/2009) and
244 INSA/DDI project. We state that we have no conflict of interest to declare.

245

246 **References**

247

248 Amore, G., Tomassone, L., Grego, E., Ragagli, C., Bertolotti, L., Nebbia, P. et al.
249 (2007) *Borrelia lusitaniae* in immature *Ixodes ricinus* (Acari: Ixodidae) feeding on
250 common wall lizards in Tuscany, central Italy. *J Med Entomol* **44**: 303-307.

251 Baptista, S.S.G. (2006) Lyme borreliosis in Portugal. Study on vector(s), agent(s) and
252 risk factors. PhD thesis, Universidade Nova de Lisboa.

253 Bellet-Edimo, R., Betschart, B., and Gern, L. (2005) Frequency and efficiency of
254 transovarial and subsequent transtadial transmission of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato
255 in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks. *Bul Soc Neuch Sci Naturs* **128**: 117-125.

256 Bona, M., and Stanko, M. (2013) First records of the tick *Ixodes frontalis* (Panzer,
257 1795) (Acari, Ixodidae) in Slovakia. *Ticks and Tick-borne Dis* **4**: 478-481.

258 Cadenas, F.M., Rais, O., Humair, P.F., Douet, V., Moret, J., and Gern, L. (2007)
259 Identification of host bloodmeal source and *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato in field-
260 collected *Ixodes ricinus* ticks in Chaumont (Switzerland). *J Med Entomol* **44**: 1109-
261 1117.

262 Corpet, F. (1988) Multiple sequence alignment with hierarchical clustering"
263 *Nucl Acids Res*, 16: 10881-10890.

264 da Franca, I., Santos, L., Mesquita, T., Collares-Pereira, M., Baptista, S., Vieira, L. et al.
265 (2005) Lyme borreliosis in Portugal caused by *Borrelia lusitaniae*? Clinical report on
266 the first patient with a positive skin isolate. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* **117**: 429-432.

267 De Sousa, R., Lopes de Carvalho, I., Santos, A.S., Bernardes, C., Milhano, N., Jesus, J.
268 et al. (2012) The Role of *Teira dugesii* lizard as potential host for *Ixodes ricinus* tick-
269 borne pathogens. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **78**: 3767-3769

270 Dsouli, N., Younsi-Kabachii, H., Postic, D., Nouira, S., Gern, L., and Bouattour, A.
271 (2006) Reservoir role of the lizard, *Psammodromus algirus*, in the transmission cycle of
272 *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato (Spirochaetacea) in Tunisia. *J Med Entomol* **43**: 737-
273 742.

274 Földvári, G., Rigó, K., Majláthová, V., Majláth, I., Farkas, R., and Pet'ko, B. (2009)
275 Detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato in lizards and their ticks from Hungary.
276 *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* **9**: 331-336.

277 Gern, L. (2008) *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato, the agent of Lyme borreliosis: Life in
278 the wilds. *Parasite - J Soc Franc Parasitol* **15**: 244-247.

279 Gern L, Douet V, López Z, Rais O, Cadenas FM. (2010) Diversity of *Borrelia*
280 genospecies in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks in a Lyme borreliosis endemic area in Switzerland
281 identified by using new probes for reverse line blotting. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis* **1**: 23-29.

282 Gil, H., M. Barral, R. Escudero, A. L. Garcia-Perez, and P. Anda. (2005) Identification
283 of a new *Borrelia* species among small mammals in areas of northern Spain where
284 Lyme disease is endemic. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**:1336–1345

285 Hanincová, K., Schäfer, S.M., Etti, S., Sewell, H.S., Taragel'ová, V., Ziak, D. et al.
286 (2003a) Association of *Borrelia afzelii* with rodents in Europe. *Parasitol* **126**: 11-20.

287 Johnson, B.J., Happ, C.M., Mayer, L.W., and Piesman, J. (1992) Detection of *Borrelia*
288 *burgdorferi* in ticks by species-specific amplification gene. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **47**:
289 730-741.

290 Lopes de Carvalho, I., Milhano, N., Santos, A.S., Almeida, V., Barros, S.C., de Sousa,
291 R., and Nuncio, M.S. (2008a) Detection of *Borrelia lusitaniae*, *Rickettsia* sp. IRS3,
292 *Rickettsia monacensis*, and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in *Ixodes ricinus* collected in
293 Madeira Island, Portugal. *Vector-Borne Zoon Dis* **8**: 1-5.

294 Lopes de Carvalho, I., Fonseca, J.E., Marques, J.G., Ullmann, A., Hojgaard, A.,
295 Zeidner, N., and Nuncio, M.S. (2008b) Vasculitis-like syndrome associated with
296 *Borrelia lusitaniae* infection. *Clin Rheumatol* **27**: 1587-1591.

297 Lopes de Carvalho, I., Zeidner, N., Ullmann, A., Hojgaard, A., Amaro, F., Zé-Zé, L. et
298 al. (2010) Molecular characterization of a new isolate of *Borrelia lusitaniae* derived
299 from *Apodemus sylvaticus* in Portugal. *Vector-Borne Zoon Dis* **10**: 531-534.

300 Majláthová, V., Majláth, I., Derdáková, M., Víchová, B., and Peřko, B. (2006) *Borrelia*
301 *lusitaniae* and green lizards (*Lacerta viridis*), Karst Region, Slovakia. *Emerg Infect Dis*
302 **12**: 1895-1901.

303 Milhano, N., Carvalho, I.L.d., Alves, A.S., Arrube, S., Soares, J., Rodriguez, P. et al.
304 (2010) Coinfections of *Rickettsia slovaca* and *Rickettsia helvetica* with *Borrelia*
305 *lusitaniae* in ticks collected in a Safari Park, Portugal. *Ticks and Tick-borne Dis* **1**: 172-
306 177.

307 Norte, A., de Carvalho, I., Ramos, J., Gonçalves, M., Gern, L., and Nuncio, M. (2012)
308 Diversity and seasonal patterns of ticks parasitizing wild birds in Western Portugal. *Exp*
309 *Appl Acarol* **58**: 327-339.

310 Norte, A.C., Ramos, J.A., Gern, L., Nuncio, M.S., and Lopes de Carvalho, I. (2013a)
311 Birds as reservoirs for *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l. in Western Europe: circulation of *B.*
312 *turdi* and other genospecies in bird-tick cycles in Portugal. *Environ Microbiol* **15**: 386-
313 397.

314 Norte, A.C., Lopes de Carvalho, I., Nuncio, M.S., Ramos, J.A., and Gern, L. (2013b)
315 Blackbirds *Turdus merula* as competent reservoirs for *Borrelia turdi* and *Borrelia*
316 *valaisiana* in Portugal: evidence from a xenodiagnostic experiment. *Environ Microbiol*
317 *Rep* **5**: 604-607.

318 Poupon, M.A., Lommano, E., Humair, P.F., Douet, W., Rais, O., Schaad, M. et al.
319 (2006) Prevalence of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato in ticks collected from migratory
320 birds in Switzerland. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 976-979.

321 Randolph, S.E., Gern, L., and Nuttall, P.A. (1996) Co-feeding ticks: Epidemiological
322 significance for tick-borne pathogen transmission. *Parasitol Today* **12**: 472-479.

323 Richter, D., and Matuschka, F.R. (2006) Perpetuation of the Lyme disease spirochete
324 *Borrelia lusitaniae* by lizards. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 4627-4632.

325 Rijpkema, A.G.T., Molkenboer, M.J.C.H., Schouls, L.M., Jongejan, F., and
326 Schellekens, J.F.P. (1995) Simultaneous detection and genotyping of three genomic
327 groups of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato in Dutch *Ixodes ricinus* Ticks by
328 characterization of the amplified intergenic spacer region between 5S and 23S rRNA
329 genes. *J Clin Microbiol* **33**: 3091-3095.

330 Skuballa, J., Petney, T., Pfaffle, M., Oehme, R., Hartelt, K., Fingerle, V. et al. (2012)
331 Occurrence of different *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato genospecies including *B. afzelii*,
332 *B. bavariensis*, and *B. spielmanii* in hedgehogs (*Erinaceus* spp.) in Europe. *Ticks Tick*
333 *Borne Dis* **3**: 8-13.

334 Sinsky, R.J., and Piesman, J. (1989) Ear punch biopsy method for detection and
335 isolation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from rodents. *J Clin Microbiol* **27**: 1723-1727.

336 Taragel'ová, V., Koci, J., Hanincová, K., Kurtenbach, K., Derdaková, M., Ogden, N.H.
337 et al. (2008) Blackbirds and song thrushes constitute a key reservoir of *Borrelia garinii*,
338 the causative agent of Borreliosis in Central Europe. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 1289-
339 1293.

340
341
342

343 **Table 1.** *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l. infection prevalence in *Ixodes* sp. ticks collected
 344 questing in the vegetation at Tapada de Mafra from February to June 2013.

Species	stage	sex	no. collected	no. tested	no. <i>Bbsl</i> positive ticks (%)	No. of ticks infected with <i>Bbsl</i> genospecies (%)			
						LU	VA	GA	AF
<i>Ixodes ricinus</i>	L		371	100	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	N		367	262	14 (5.3)	8 (3.0)	3 (1.1)	2 (0.8)	1 (0.4)
	A	M	6	6	1 (16.7)	0 (0)	1 (16.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)
		F	4	4	0/4 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
<i>Ixodes frontalis</i>	N		1	1	0/1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

345 L- larva; N- nymph; A- adult; M – male; F - female

346 *Bbsl* – *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l.

347 LU = *B. lusitaniae*; VA = *B. valaisiana*; GA = *B. garinii*; AF = *B. afzelii*.

348 *B. burgdorferi* s.l infection was assessed through a nested PCR targeting the 5S-23S

349 rDNA intergenic spacer region (Rijpkema et al., 1995) and sequence analysis, followed

350 by a Reverse Line Blot (Gil 2005) on the positive samples, using specific probes

351 described previously (Ripkema 1995, Gil 2005, Gern 2010) to detect mixed infections.

352 For further details on methods see Supplemental Material 1.

353 **Table 2.** *Ixodes ricinus* ticks, skin biopsies and *B. lusitaniae* prevalence in ticks from lizards captured at Tapada de Mafra between March and
 354 June 2013.

Species	No. of individuals	No. of recaptures	No. of skin biopsies collected ^a	No. of infested	<i>Ixodes ricinus</i> collected from lizards			
					Larvae		Nymphs	
					No.	<i>B. lusitaniae</i> prevalence %	No.	<i>B. lusitaniae</i> prevalence %
					collected	(No. positives/ No. tested)	collected	(No. positives/ No. tested)
<i>Lacerta shreiberi</i>	1	1	1	1	1	0 (0/1)	16	43.8 (7/16)
<i>Podarcis hispanica</i>	27	1	27	25	100	0 (0/99)	12	16.7 (2/12)
<i>Psammodromus algirus</i>	18	0	17	17	278	16.6 (33/199)	19	21.0 (4/19)
<i>Timon lepidus</i>	2	0	2	0	0	-	0	-

355 ^a Biopsies were collected in duplicate except in 4 cases (2 collected only for direct DNA extraction, 2 only for culture in BSK II medium); Refer

356 to text for results on *Borrelia* prevalence in biopsies.

357 *B. burgdorferi* s.l prevalence was assessed through a nested PCR targeting the 5S-23S rDNA intergenic spacer region (Rijpkema et al., 1995)

358 and sequence analysis, followed by a Reverse Line Blot (Gil 2005) on the positive samples, using specific probes described previously (Ripkema

359 1995, Gil 2005, Gern 2010) to detect mixed infections. For further details on methods see Supplemental Material 1.

360

361 **Table 3.** Ixodidae ticks and skin biopsies collected from mammals at Tapada de Mafra sampled between March and June 2013.

Species	No. of individuals	No. of recaptures	No. of skin biopsies ^a	No. of infested	Ticks collected from mammals ^b				
					Larvae	<i>I. ricinus</i>		<i>I. acuminatus</i>	
					Larvae	Nymphs	Adult females	Larvae	Nymphs
<i>Apodemus sylvaticus</i>	38	26	36	36	226	0	0	3	2
<i>Crossidura russula</i>	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0
<i>Microtus lusitanicus</i>	2	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
<i>Mus domesticus</i>	5	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Mus spretus</i>	2	0	2	2	3	0	0	0	0
<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus</i>	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
<i>Sus scrofa</i>	1	0	0	1	0	9	2	0	0

362 ^a Biopsies were collected in duplicate except in 4 cases (3 collected only for direct DNA extraction, 1 only for culture in BSK II medium); Refer

363 to text for results on *Borrelia* prevalence in biopsies.

364 ^b Refer to text for results on *Borrelia* prevalence in ticks.

365 **Table 4.** *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l. infection prevalence in *Ixodes* sp. ticks collected
 366 feeding on five *Turdus merula* captured from March to June 2013 at Tapada de Mafra.

Tick family/ species	Stage	No. infected/ no. tested (%)	No. of ticks infected with <i>Bbsl</i> genospecies (%)			
			GA	VA	TU	GA, VA, TU
Ixodidae	N	1/1 (100)	0 (0)	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)
<i>Ixodes ricinus</i>	L	22/67 (32.8)	13 (19.4)	8 (11.9)	0 (0)	1 (1.5)
	N	2/27 (7.4)	1 (3.7)	0 (0)	1 (3.7)	0 (0)
<i>Ixodes frontalis</i>	L	1/1 (100)	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	N	1/1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (3.7)	0 (0)

367 Ticks classified as Ixodidae lacked body structures needed for identification.

368 L- larva; N- nymph.

369 *Bbsl* – *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l.

370 GA = *B. garinii*; VA = *B. valaisiana*; TU = *B. turdi*.

371 *B. burgdorferi* s.l prevalence was assessed through a nested PCR targeting the 5S-23S

372 rDNA intergenic spacer region (Rijpkema et al., 1995) and sequence analysis, followed

373 by a Reverse Line Blot (Gil 2005) on the positive samples, using specific probes

374 described previously (Ripkema 1995, Gil 2005, Gern 2010) to detect mixed infections.

375 For further details on methods see Supplemental Material 1.