



Article Short Peripheral Venous Catheters Contamination and the Dangers of Bloodstream Infection in Portugal: An Analytic Study

Nádia Osório ^{1,2,*}^(D), Vânia Oliveira ^{1,3}, Maria Inês Costa ¹, Paulo Santos-Costa ³^(D), Beatriz Serambeque ³, Fernando Gama ⁴, David Adriano ⁴, João Graveto ³^(D), Pedro Parreira ³ and Anabela Salgueiro-Oliveira ³^(D)

- ¹ Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra, Coimbra Health School, 3046-854 Coimbra, Portugal
- ² Molecular Physical-Chemistry, University of Coimbra, 3004-535 Coimbra, Portugal
- ³ Health Sciences Research Unit: Nursing (UICISA: E), Nursing School of Coimbra, 3004-011 Coimbra, Portugal; paulocosta@esenfc.pt (P.S.-C.)
- ⁴ Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, 3004-561 Coimbra, Portugal
- * Correspondence: nadia.osorio@estescoimbra.pt

Abstract: Peripheral venous catheters (PVCs) are the most used vascular access devices in the world. However, failure rates remain considerably high, with complications such as PVC-related infections posing significant threats to patients' well-being. In Portugal, studies evaluating the contamination of these vascular medical devices and characterizing the associated microorganisms are scarce and lack insight into potential virulence factors. To address this gap, we analyzed 110 PVC tips collected in a large tertiary hospital in Portugal. Experiments followed Maki et al.'s semi-quantitative method for microbiological diagnosis. Staphylococcus spp. were subsequently studied for the antimicrobial susceptibility profile by disc diffusion method and based on the cefoxitin phenotype, were further classified into strains resistant to methicillin. Screening for the mecA gene was also done by a polymerase chain reaction and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)-vancomycin as determined by E-test, proteolytic and hemolytic activity on skimmed milk 1% plate and blood agar, respectively. The biofilm formation was evaluated on microplate reading through iodonitrotetrazolium chloride 95% (INT). Overall, 30% of PVCs were contaminated, and the most prevalent genus was Staphylococcus spp., 48.8%. This genus presented resistance to penicillin (91%), erythromycin (82%), ciprofloxacin (64%), and cefoxitin (59%). Thus, 59% of strains were considered resistant to methicillin; however, we detected the mecA gene in 82% of the isolates tested. Regarding the virulence factors, 36.4% presented α -hemolysis and 22.7% β -hemolysis, 63.6% presented a positive result for the production of proteases, and 63.6% presented a biofilm formation capacity. Nearly 36.4% were simultaneously resistant to methicillin and showed expression of proteases and/or hemolysins, biofilm formation, and the MIC to vancomycin were greater than $2 \mu g/mL$. Conclusion: PVCs were mainly contaminated with Staphylococcus spp., with high pathogenicity and resistance to antibiotics. The production of virulence factors strengthens the attachment and the permanence to the catheter's lumen. Quality improvement initiatives are needed to mitigate such results and enhance the quality and safety of the care provided in this field.

Keywords: peripheral intravenous catheter; Staphylococcus spp.; virulence factors; antibiotic resistance

1. Introduction

In healthcare, the majority of the patients admitted to a hospital require a vascular access device to comply with the intended therapeutic plan [1–4]. There are several types of vascular access devices in use, such as short and long peripheral venous catheters (PVC), midline catheters, peripherally inserted central catheters (PICCS), and central venous catheters (CVCs). Each year, it is estimated that more than two billion PVCs are used worldwide, constituting the most common type of vascular access device in clinical settings [3–9].



Citation: Osório, N.; Oliveira, V.; Costa, M.I.; Santos-Costa, P.; Serambeque, B.; Gama, F.; Adriano, D.; Graveto, J.; Parreira, P.; Salgueiro-Oliveira, A. Short Peripheral Venous Catheters Contamination and the Dangers of Bloodstream Infection in Portugal: An Analytic Study. *Microorganisms* **2023**, *11*, 709. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/microorganisms11030709

Academic Editor: Miquel Pujol

Received: 20 January 2023 Revised: 3 March 2023 Accepted: 5 March 2023 Published: 9 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). In Portugal, the latest national evidence suggests that 51.9% (Madeira islands) to 72.7% (Azores Islands) of all hospitalized patients will require at least one PVC to complete their therapeutic plan [10]. This data suggests that PVCs are the most commonly found invasive device in Portuguese hospitals, above urinary catheters (17.6–28.5%) and CVCs (5–10%) [10].

A PVC is a small, flexible tube inserted in a peripheral vein, mainly the metacarpal, cephalic, or basilica vein, and secured to the skin with an adhesive dressing [4,5,11]. These devices are typically made of polyurethane, and their size can range from 26 to 14 Gauge (G) [4,5]. This medical device is ideally suited for short-term use (e.g., 72–96 h), mainly indicated in the delivery of intravenous fluids and drugs within osmolarity and pH levels considered appropriate for peripheral veins [4,11,12].

Although rather simplistic in design and technique, peripheral intravenous catheterization is an invasive procedure that can lead to local (e.g., phlebitis, infiltration, hematoma, nerve puncture) and systemic complications (e.g., catheter-associated bloodstream infection [CRBSI], tip fracture and migration) [3,4,6,8,9,13–18]. These complications lead to premature catheter failure and an increase in morbimortality rates, workload for healthcare professionals, admission periods, and total care costs for the healthcare system [2,4,6,14,15,18].

The insertion of vascular access devices is a potential pathway for the entry of microorganisms into the bloodstream, which can lead to CRBSIs [11,13,19]. However, some recent studies showed that the PVC-related bloodstream infections (PVCR-BSIs) rates (0.1%, 0.5 per 1000 catheter-days) are lower than the other intravascular devices, such as CVCs (4.4%, 2.7 per 1000 catheter-days) and PICCs (2.4%, 2.1 per 1000 catheter-days) [7,20,21]. Despite this, the rates of PVCR-BSIs may rise in the future due to the wide use of PVCs [1,21,22]. These are still responsible for 5% (670 per 100.000 patients) of nosocomial bacteremia, being implicated in the etiology of hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) [21–23].

For the occurrence of CRBSIs, three pathways are described for the entry of microorganisms through the medical device from a non-sterile external environment into the normally sterile bloodstream [23–25]. The first is called extraluminal, where the migration of microorganisms occurs mainly from the patient's skin into the catheter tract. This process may occur during the insertion of the catheter or while the catheter is in situ. However, it is the most common route of infection for short-term catheters. The second route is called intraluminal, involving direct contamination of catheter hubs and connectors by contact with the hands of health professionals who handle it, contaminated fluids or devices. The third contamination route is when the catheter is contaminated by microorganisms circulating in the bloodstream when there is already a preexisting infectious condition responsible for the contamination of the device [22,23].

These medical devices provide a surface area to which microorganisms can attach [23]. The most common microorganisms involved are *Staphylococcus* species, namely coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), predominantly *S. epidermidis* and *S. aureus* [21–23,26–29]. Although these are commensal human skin bacteria and are considered non-pathogenic, they have been recognized as relevant opportunistic pathogens [22,27,29]. Other microorganisms have been identified as the Gram-negative bacilli, *Enterococcus* spp., and fungi, such as *Candida* species [21,22,26,27]. Some of these microorganisms are associated with hospital-acquired infections through cross-contamination between health professionals/medical devices and the patients, mainly due to the incorrect disinfection technique of the catheter insertion site, poor hand hygiene practices, and inefficient device maintenance [19,24,27,30].

The *Staphylococcus* genus is the most common cause of indwelling device-associated infections and nosocomial and community-acquired infections. Given the increasing use of PVCs and the global threat represented by the increase in antibiotic resistance and the virulence of these microorganisms, the treatment of these infections becomes difficult, prolonged, and ineffective [31–33].

Despite its ubiquity across Portuguese healthcare settings, contrary to other international settings, there is little known evidence of PVC contamination, with the country lacking representation in well-known multicentric studies conducted recently in this field [34–36]. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the microbial contamination of PVCs used in a large tertiary hospital in Portugal, identifying the most prevalent microorganisms and evaluating the risk associated with these contaminations as seen by evaluating their virulence factors and antibiotic resistance.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Characterization

The present study was performed in a cardiology ward of a large tertiary hospital in the central region of Portugal. It had the approval of the Ethics Hospital Committee (reference number 0226/CES) and authorization number 14037/2017 from the Portuguese Data Protection Authority. Written informed consent was obtained during study recruitment. A total of 110 PVC tips (2 cm) were collected and were in sterile flasks at 4 °C. Samples were then transported for laboratory analysis at the Coimbra Health School—Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra.

2.2. Microbiological Analysis

2.2.1. Isolation and Identification

The PVCs tip samples were inoculated on a Columbia agar base (supplemented with 5% of sheep blood) using the technique of Maki et al. [37]. The cultures were incubated at 37 °C in the normal atmosphere for 18–24 h. After enumeration and macroscopic evaluation, we performed the isolations of the macroscopically different microorganisms in Tryptic Soy Agar.

Pure colonies obtained were characterized by the Gram staining and biochemical tests as catalase and/or oxidase to a primary identification. Subsequently, we used biochemical identification galleries as API Staph (REF 20500) bioMérieux[®], API 20 Strepto (REF 20600) bioMérieux[®], API 20 NE (REF 20050) bioMérieux[®], API 20 E (REF 20100) bioMérieux[®], following the manufacturer's instructions. The identification of the species was obtained using the Apiweb software as well as the score of identification.

2.2.2. Detection of Extracellular Enzymes

The extracellular protease production was determined by the plate assay in Luria Bertani (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) agar medium supplemented with 1% of skimmed milk (w/v). A bacterial suspension of 0.5 McFarland was prepared and subsequently inoculated (5 µL), then all plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h in a normal atmosphere. The presence of extracellular proteases was revealed by the formation of clear halos around the colonies which were measured. The halos were classified as negative (-) in the absence of a halo, as weak positive (+/-) in the presence of a halo less than 11 mm, as positive (+) in the presence of a halo less than 15 mm [38].

The hemolytic activity was determined by the plate assay using a Columbia Agar with 5% sheep blood (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). A bacterial suspension of 0.5 McFarland was prepared, and subsequently, 5 μ L was inoculated and plates incubated at 37 °C for 24 h in a normal atmosphere. The production of hemolysins was identified by the presence of clear (β -hemolysis) or diffuse (α -hemolysis) halos around the colonies. The absence of a halo shows that there was no production of hemolysins [39].

2.2.3. Biofilm Formation Assay

From a 0.5 McFarland bacterial suspension, 10 μ L was inoculated into a 96 wells plate with 100 μ L of Luria Bertani Broth (5 replicates for each strain were done), and the incubation was performed at 37 °C overnight with a normal atmosphere. The planktonic cells were transferred, and 25 μ L of 0.2 g·L⁻¹ iodonitrotetrazolium chloride 95% (INT) solution was added. Cells from biofilms were washed from multiwells with 200 μ L of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS 1×) to remove all non-adherent cells, and this process was repeated 2 more times. A 100 μ L of fresh media was added to the correspondent wells

after rinsing, followed by the addition of 25 μ L of 0.2 g·L⁻¹ INT solution. Both plates were immediately covered with aluminum foil and incubated in the dark at 37 °C. The reading was performed at λ : 492 nm after 30 min of incubation using the microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The biofilm formation results were normalized using the ratio of adherent cells at OD492nm/planktonic cells at OD492nm. The isolates which have values below 0.75 were classified as moderate biofilm-formers, values between 0.75 and 1.0 were classified as high biofilm-formers, and values above 1.0 were classified as very high biofilm-formers [40].

2.2.4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test by the Disk Diffusion Method

The evaluation of the antimicrobial susceptibility profile of the isolates obtained was performed by the disk diffusion method (modified Kirby-Bauer's test). A suspension of 0.5 McFarland in sterile NaCl 0.9% from the fresh and pure culture was obtained and then inoculated in Muller Hinton agar. The selection of antimicrobial disks for *Staphylococcus* spp. considered its clinical application: cefoxitin (30 μ g), ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), chloramphenicol (30 μ g), erythromycin (15 μ g), gentamicin (10 μ g), penicillin (10 units), tetracycline (30 μ g), and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75 μ g). The antibiogram was incubated in a normal atmosphere at 37 °C for 18–24 h. The reading was based on the inhibition halos (mm) measurement, and phenotypically the strain was classified as sensitive, intermediate, and resistant. The interpretation of the results was performed, taking into account the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2018) [41].

The detection of methicillin resistance was also performed, based on the reading of the inhibition halo to cefoxitin, taking into account CLSI standards [41].

2.2.5. Determination of Susceptibility to Vancomycin by E-Test Method

The susceptibility to vancomycin was performed by the E-test method with the determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). A suspension of 0.5 McFarland in sterile NaCl 0.9% from the fresh and pure culture was obtained and then inoculated in Muller Hinton agar. The antibiogram was incubated in a normal atmosphere at 37 °C for 18–24 h. The results were interpreted according to CLSI, 2018 [41].

2.2.6. DNA Extraction

A bacterial cell suspension, with one pure colony, in LB medium was incubated overnight at 37 °C. After the inoculum was centrifuged for 10 min at 13,000× *g*, then the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 200 μ L of Tris-EDTA. The DNA extraction was made using the GeneJET Genomic DNAPurification Kit #K0721 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA solution obtained was stored at -20 °C.

2.2.7. Detection of mecA Gene Using PCR Technique

The *mec*A gene amplification was performed by PCR using specific primers: *mec*A-R (5'-CAATTCCACATTGTTTCGGTC-3') and *mec*A-F (5'-GAAATGACTGAACGTCCGATA-3') (Metabion International AG, Planegg, Germany). The primers used were designed using the nucleotide sequence databases from NCBI. Amplification was done using 12.5 μ L DreamTaq PCR Master Mix (2X) (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, EUA), 10 pmol of the forward and the reverse primers, 9.5 μ L of the nuclease-free water, and 1 μ L of the bacterial DNA. The PCR reactions were performed using a MyCycler Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The amplification conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step (95 °C for 5 min), followed by 30 amplification cycles consisting of denaturation (94 °C for 45 seg), annealing (53 °C for 45 seg), and extension (72 °C for 1 min) and a final extension step (72 °C for 10 min). The DNA of positive and negative strains of the *mec*A gene was used as positive and negative controls previously characterized and provided by a hospital. The reaction products were separated by electrophoresis on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel. All gels were run in 1×TAE buffer at 80 V for 80 min, stained in 0.5 μ gmL⁻¹ of

ethidium bromide solution, and the images were acquired with the Gel Doc XR+ System (Bio Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Prevalence of the PVCs Microbiological Contamination and Identification of the Isolates

Patients had an average age of 79 (\pm 11) years. Concerning the 110 PVC tips, 30% were contaminated, from which 45 macroscopically different isolates were obtained. The most prevalent genus was *Staphylococcus* spp., with 48.8%. Belonging to this genus, isolated bacterial species were *S. aureus* (4.4%), *S. epidermidis* (26.7%), *S. haemolyticus* (11.1%), *S. lentus* (2.2%), *S. warneri* (2.2%), and *S. xylosus* (2.2%). Other clinically relevant microorganisms were found as *Enterococcus* spp. (4.4.%), *Escherichia coli* (2.2%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (2.2%), and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (2.2%). The remaining 40% corresponded to other microorganisms whose identification success rate was low. In 8.2% of the infected PVCs, more than one isolate was observed (Table 1).

Table 1. Prevalence of isolated microorganisms in the studied PVCs.

Isolated Microorganisms		Isolates	Prevalence (%)
Staphylococcus spp.	S. aureus	12,17	4.4
	S. epidermidis	4-7,11,13-15,18,20-22	26.7
	S. haemolyticus	2,8–10,19	11.1
	S. lentus	3	2.2
	S. warneri	16	2.2
	S. xylosus	1	2.2
Enterococcus spp.			4.4
Escherichia coli			2.2
Klebsiella pneumonia			2.2
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia			2.2
Others (molds, yeasts, and not other bacteria)			40

3.2. Virulence Factors in Staphylococcus Isolates—Proteolytic and Hemolytic Activity and Biofilm Formation

Concerning protease production, most of the isolates displayed a positive phenotype. However, 40.9% of the isolates presented higher levels of proteolytic activity. In the hemolytic activity, different phenotypes were observed; some strains were negative (40.9%), 36.4% presented α -hemolysis, and 22.7% presented β -hemolysis. All strains demonstrated the ability to produce biofilm, with ratios ranging from capacity 0.45–1.7. However, it was found that the majority (63.8%) had high to very high capacity. It was also observed that of this majority, 50% of the isolates correspond to *S. epidermidis* (Table 2).

3.3. Antibiotic Resistance in Staphylococcus Isolates: Susceptibility Profile and Presence of mecA Gene

Staphylococcus isolates were mainly resistant to penicillin (91%), erythromycin (82%), ciprofloxacin (64%), and cefoxitin (59%). The antimicrobial agents for which they presented greater sensitivity were ciprofloxacin (95%), tetracycline (86%), gentamicin (73%), and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (59%) (Figure 1). According to CLSI (2018), we found 59% of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus* isolates (65). However, when we evaluated the presence of the genetic determinant *mecA* among methicillin-resistant isolates, putatively encoding PBP2a, we found 82% of positive strains.

Virulence Factor			Isolates (%)	Prevalence Rates (%)
	Protease Product	ion		
Negative (–)			1–3,8,11,12,17,22	36.4
Weak positive $(+/-)$			6,9,13–15	22.7
Positive (+)			4,5,7,10,18,19,21	31.8
Strongly positive (++)			16,20	9.1
	Hemolytic Activ	ity		
			1,3,7,13–16,20,21	40.9
α-hemolysis			4–6,18,22	36.4
β-hemolysis			2,8–12,17,19	22.7
Biofilm Form	ation (adherent/	planktonic cells)	
<0.75			3,8–10,12,15,17,19	36.4
≥0.75–1			2,7,13,16,20-22	31.8
≥1		1,4–6,11,14,18	31.8	
ERY P FOX				
CIP				
CN STX TE				
0	20	40	60 80) 100

Table 2. Virulence factors in *Staphylococcus* isolates: proteolytic, hemolytic activity, and biofilm formation.

■ Susceptible ■ Intermediate ■ Resistance

Figure 1. Antibiotic susceptibility profile of *Staphylococcus* isolates; TE-tetracycline; STX— Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole; CN—Gentamicin; CIP—Ciprofloxacin; C—Chloramphenicol; FOX— Cefoxitin; P—Penicillin; ERY—Erythromycin.

Relatively to the vancomycin susceptibility profile, we found sensitivity in all isolates, according to the CLSI classification, and had been into account the identification as *S. aureus* or CoNS (65). However, when we observed the MIC levels of sensitivity, most of the isolates presented values higher than 2 μ g/mL.

In addition, it is noted that about 45.5% of methicillin-resistant staphylococci (MRS) and 9.1% of methicillin-susceptible staphylococci (MSS) have MIC for vancomycin greater than 2 μ g/mL.

4. Discussion

Overall, 30% of the analyzed PVCs were contaminated, an alarming result given that in Portugal, this vascular access device is known to be used in an array of clinical settings [42–44], with different patient cohorts from neonates to older adults [45–48], and for a myriad of therapeutic purposes such as intravenous drug and fluid therapy, chemotherapy, contrast administration, and blood sampling [49–53].

Regarding the identified microorganisms, it was verified that the most prevalent genus was *Staphylococcus* in 48.8%, predominantly CoNS (44.4%), of which *S. epidermidis* was the most common (26.7%). Additionally, in these studies, the genus *Staphylococcus* is responsible for 58% of the infections caused by PVCs, of which about 25% are coagulase-negative [21,26]. Other identified microorganisms belonging to this genus were also found in the present investigation: *S. aureus*, *S. haemolyticus*, *S. lentus*, *S. warneri*, and *S. xylosus*.

The occasional presence of *Enterococcus* spp., *E. coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* was also observed. The low incidence can be explained by the fact that they are not part of the normal microbiota of the skin. However, the presence of these microorganisms in a hospital environment can allow their presence in transient microbiota [22]. However, we highlight the relevance of these microorganisms found in the context of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) as opportunistic pathogens [31]. There are reports of studies on the presence of these species associated with PVC infections, also with low prevalence [21,22,26].

The presence of more than one isolate occurred in 8.2% of contaminated PVCs, this result being similar to that found by Guembe et al., who found only 2.9% of PVCR-BSIs polymicrobial episodes [26].

The higher prevalence of *S. epidermidis* in these medical devices can be due to its commensal feature of the human skin that can be associated with procedures during the insertion and manipulation of PVCs that can lead to infection. In addition, these microorganisms produce virulence factors involved in processes such as attachment to the catheter with increased expression of teichoic acids, adhesins, and autolysins and to the human matrix with increased expression of microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecule and tissue invasion with the production of extracellular enzymes such as proteases and hemolysins [31,33,54,55]. This fact justifies the results obtained in this study for the proteolytic and hemolytic activity of the isolates, in which protease production was observed in 40.9% and α -hemolysis production in 36.4%.

After adaptation to the catheter, the production of biofilm by these microorganisms is quite common, as verified in 63.8% of the studied isolates that revealed a high capacity of biofilm production. In addition, one species that demonstrated this capacity was *S. epidermidis* in 50% of the isolates. This microorganism is described as the major nosocomial pathogen associated with implanted medical device infections due to its ability to form the extracellular polysaccharide matrix, which allows its protection and strengthens attachment to the catheter [29,32]. The investigation by Hashem et al. shows that 55% of *S. epidermidis* associated with CRBSI are biofilm producers, agreeing with the one observed in our study [56].

Due to the protective nature of the biofilm, associated bacteria are intrinsically resistant to many antibiotics, which can increase up to 1000 times. The main reasons for this may be the difficulty of biofilm penetration by antibiotics, the low growth rate of bacteria, and the presence of antibiotic degradation mechanisms [57,58]. In addition, biofilm promotes horizontal gene transfer between bacteria, causing the spread of drug resistance determinants and other virulence factors [58,59]. This association has already been demonstrated by some studies, such as Belbase et al. and Ghasemian et al., who found higher rates of resistance to multiple drugs and resistance to methicillin in biofilm-producing-strains compared to non-biofilm producing strains [58,60]. The present study corroborated this information, with 59% of isolates being methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* and 82% of strains positive for the presence of the *mecA* gene. Mutations in other proteins involved with PBP2a expression, for example, BLIP-II, were able to weakly bind and inhibit PBP2a [61]. The PBP2a has strict substrate requirements; consequently, any factors that influence the formation of the substrate have the potential to perturb or modulate methicillin resistance. Methicillin resistance is affected by the inactivation of genes that affect the autolytic enzyme activities of the cell, such as the llm gene. Activities of the global regulator proteins such as Sar, Agr, and SigmaB are also known to affect methicillin resistance [62]. The presence of this genetic determinant in the majority of the isolates suggests the propensity for the dissemination of

resistance genes between bacteria living in biofilm, as evidenced by other studies [29,58,60]. Kitao et al. also indicate that an increase of *S. epidermidis* resistant to methicillin with the presence of the *mecA* gene and capable of biofilm formation has occurred in many cases of CRBSIs [29].

The studied *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates have shown an antimicrobial multi-resistance profile: penicillin (91%), erythromycin (82%), ciprofloxacin (64%), and cefoxitin (59%). This type of profile leads to difficulties in treatment, resulting in prolonged treatment, extended duration of hospital admission, development and persistence of chronic infectious diseases in local and/or distant organs, or even mortality [29].

When methicillin resistance was detected in *Staphylococcus* spp., the glycopeptide antibiotics, such as vancomycin, were selected as the gold standard for the treatment of serious infections caused by these microorganisms. However, a slow but steady increase in vancomycin MIC has been observed in recent years [31]. In this study, all the isolates showed a sensitivity profile to vancomycin. Nevertheless, it is noted that 63.6% presented values higher than 2 μ g/mL, which is close to an intermediate phenotype. Thus, this result agrees with the study conducted by Cherifi et al., who also did not observe any resistance to this antibiotic glycopeptide [63]. In clinical settings, vancomycin is used as the treatment of choice and the last resort for infections caused by *Staphylococcus* spp. However, its excessive intravenous use has allowed the adaptation of these microorganisms, causing strains with greater sensitivity to vancomycin [31].

Overall, 36.4% of the strains showed methicillin resistance, MIC-vancomycin greater than 2 μ g/mL, the ability to produce at least one extracellular enzyme, and biofilm production. Such results are worrying due to the clinical repercussions that such strains can pose to patients, significantly burdening healthcare staff and institutions.

5. Conclusions

We identified microorganisms that colonized PVCs retrieved from a Portuguese hospital ward, mapping their antimicrobial susceptibility profile and their virulence factors. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study of such nature to be conducted and publicly disseminated in the country.

The main microorganisms were found to belong to the genus *Staphylococcus*. CoNS were the most prevalent, with the presence of *S. epidermidis* in 27.7%. These microorganisms produced virulence factors such as proteases, hemolysins, and biofilm that facilitate their adhesion and permanence in the catheter, often leading to CRBSIs. Associated with these virulence factors, they develop resistance to antimicrobials, making it difficult to choose the trait and reducing the available therapeutic options. By evaluating the production of virulence factors and the susceptibility profile to antimicrobials, we were able to show that the *Staphylococcus* genus demonstrates a great capacity for adaptation and colonization of medical devices, such as PVCs, leading to a greater risk of infection and treatment difficulties.

Our findings substantiate the need to implement quality improvement projects in Portuguese hospitals, focusing on structural and procedural variables that impact the effectiveness and safety of the care provided to patients who require a PVC. Likewise, Portuguese health authorities must implement a specific nationwide surveillance program on PVCR-BSIs, disclosing the annual results found, similar to what is now done for other invasive medical devices (e.g., CVC, urinary catheters).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.O., A.S.-O., F.G., J.G., P.P. and N.O.; methodology, V.O., A.S.-O., F.G., J.G., P.P. and N.O.; formal analysis, V.O., M.I.C. and N.O.; resources, V.O., M.I.C. and N.O.; writing—original draft preparation, V.O., M.I.C. and N.O.; writing—review and editing, V.O., B.S., P.S.-C., F.G., D.A., J.G., P.P. and A.S.-O.; investigation, V.O., B.S., P.S.-C., F.G., D.A., J.G., P.P. and A.S.-O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research article is part of the project "Transfer of technological innovations to nursing practice: a contribution to the prevention of infections" number 024371, funded by the European Regional Development Fund—FEDER through the Operational Program Competitiveness and Internationalization of PORTUGAL 2020.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: This study was carried out within the scope of a master's degree dissertation in microbiology at the Department of Biology at the University of Aveiro. The empirical component of this work was carried out at the Coimbra' Health School at the Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra. The authors would like to acknowledge the support provided by Coimbra's Health School—Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra and by the Health Sciences Research Unit: Nursing (UICISA: E) of the Nursing School of Coimbra. Authors P.S.-C. and B.S. would like to acknowledge the support given by the Foundation for Science and Technology, IP (FCT), funded by the POPH/FSE programs (scholarships SFRH/BD/136487/2018 and 2020.07672.BD).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Guerrero, M.A. National evaluation of safety peripheral intravenous catheters in a clinician-led project. *Br. J. Nurs.* 2019, *28*, S29–S32. [CrossRef]
- Mihala, G.M.; Ray-Barruel, G.P.; Chopra, V.M.; Webster, J.B.; Wallis, M.P.; Marsh, N.M.; McGrail, M.P.; Rickard, C.M.P. Phlebitis Signs and Symptoms with Peripheral Intravenous Catheters. J. Infus. Nurs. 2018, 41, 260–263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Carr, P.J.; Rippey, J.C.R.; Cooke, M.L.; Higgins, N.S.; Trevenen, M.; Foale, A.; Rickard, C.M. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2018; pp. 1216–1221.
- 4. Weiss, D.; Yaakobovitch, H.; Tal, S.; Nyska, A.; Rotman, O.M. Novel short peripheral catheter design for prevention of thrombophlebitis. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* **2019**, *17*, 39–51. [CrossRef]
- 5. Tuffaha, H.W.; Marsh, N.; Byrnes, J.; Gavin, N.; Webster, J.; Cooke, M.; Rickard, C.M. Cost of vascular access devices in public hospitals in Queensland. *Aust. Health Rev.* **2018**, *43*, 511–515. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rickard, C.M.; Marsh, N.; Webster, J.; Runnegar, N.; Larsen, E.; McGrail, M.R.; Fullerton, F.; Bettington, E.; Whitty, J.; Choudhury, A.; et al. Dressings and securements for the prevention of peripheral intravenous catheter failure in adults (SAVE): A pragmatic, randomised controlled, superiority trial. *Lancet* 2018, 392, 419–430. [CrossRef]
- 7. Laan, B.J.; Nieuwkerk, P.T.; Geerlings, S.E. Patients knowledge and experience with urinary and peripheral intravenous catheters. *World J. Urol.* **2019**, *38*, 57–62. [CrossRef]
- 8. Marsh, N.; Webster, J.; Larsen, E.; Genzel, J.; Cooke, M.; Mihala, G.; Cadigan, S.; Rickard, C.M. Expert versus generalist inserters for peripheral intravenous catheter insertion: A pilot randomised controlled trial. *Trials* **2018**, *19*, 564. [CrossRef]
- Marsh, N.; Larsen, E.; Genzel, J.; Mihala, G.; Ullman, A.J.; Kleidon, T.; Cadigan, S.; Rickard, C.M. A novel integrated dressing to secure peripheral intravenous catheters in an adult acute hospital: A pilot randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 2018, 19, 596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Ministério da Saúde Português. Prevention and Control of Infections and Resistance to Antimicrobials Program: Report of the Point Prevalence Survey in Acute Hospitals in Portugal 2017; Ministério da Saúde Português: Lisbon, Portugal, 2022.
- 11. Marsh, N.; Webster, J.; Mihala, G.; Rickard, C.M. Devices and dressings to secure peripheral venous catheters: A Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* **2017**, *67*, 12–19. [CrossRef]
- 12. Webster, J.; Osborne, S.; Rickard, C. Clinically-Indicated Replacement versus Routine Replacement of Peripheral Venous Catheters (Review). *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2015, *1*, CD007798.
- 13. Loudermilk, R.A.; Steffen, L.E.; McGarvey, J.S. Strategically Applying New Criteria for Use Improves Management of Peripheral Intravenous Catheters. *J. Health Qual.* **2018**, *40*, 274–282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Marsh, N.; Webster, J.; Rickard, C.M.; Mihala, G. Devices and Dressings to Secure Peripheral Venous Catheters to Prevent Complications (Protocol). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015, *6*, CD011070. [CrossRef]
- 15. Atay, S.; Sen, S.; Cukurlu, D. Phlebitis-related peripheral venous catheterization and the associated risk factors. *Niger. J. Clin. Pract.* **2018**, *21*, 827–831. [PubMed]
- Alexandrou, E.; Ray-Barruel, G.; Carr, P.J.; Frost, S.A.; Inwood, S.; Higgins, N.; Lin, F.; Alberto, L.; Mermel, L.; Rickard, C.; et al. Use of Short Peripheral Intravenous Catheters: Characteristics, Management, and Outcomes Worldwide. *J. Hosp. Med.* 2018, 13, E1–E7. [CrossRef]
- 17. Simin, D.; Milutinović, D.; Turkulov, V.; Brkić, S. Incidence, severity and risk factors of peripheral intravenous cannula-induced complications: An observational prospective study. *J. Clin. Nurs.* **2019**, *28*, 1585–1599. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Engström, Å.; Forsberg, A. Peripheral intravenous catheter difficulty—A clinical survey of registered nurse and critical care nurse performance. J. Clin. Nurs. 2018, 28, 686–694. [CrossRef]

- 19. Rai, A.; Khera, A.; Jain, M.; Krishnakumar, M.; Sreevastava, D. Bacterial colonization of peripheral intravenous cannulas in a tertiary care hospital: A cross sectional observational study. *Med. J. Armed Forces India* **2019**, *75*, 65–69. [CrossRef]
- Sorghum, C.; Project, I.; Report, A. Emergency Inserted Peripheral Intravenous Catheters: A Quality Improvement Project. Br. J. Nurs. 2018, 27, s27–s230.
- Sato, A.; Nakamura, I.; Fujita, H.; Tsukimori, A.; Kobayashi, T.; Fukushima, S.; Fujii, T.; Matsumoto, T. Peripheral venous catheter-related bloodstream infection is associated with severe complications and potential death: A retrospective observational study. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2017, 17, 434–439. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, L.; Cao, S.; Marsh, N.; Ray-Barruel, G.; Flynn, J.; Larsen, E.; Rickard, C. Infection risks associated with peripheral vascular catheters. J. Infect. Prev. 2016, 17, 207–213. [CrossRef]
- 23. Zhang, L.; Gowardman, J.; Morrison, M.; Runnegar, N.; Rickard, C.M. Microbial biofilms associated with intravascular catheterrelated bloodstream infections in adult intensive care patients. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *35*, 201–205. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, L.; Morrison, M.; Nimmo, G.R.; Sriprakash, K.S.; Mondot, S.; Gowardman, J.R.; George, N.; Marsh, N.; Rickard, C.M. Molecular investigation of bacterial communities on the inner and outer surfaces of peripheral venous catheters. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2013, 32, 1083–1090. [CrossRef]
- 25. Zhang, L.; Keogh, S.; Rickard, C.M. Reducing the risk of infection associated with vascular access devices through nanotechnology: A perspective. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2013**, *8*, 4453–4466. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Guembe, M.; Pérez-Granda, M.; Capdevila, J.; Barberán, J.; Pinilla, B.; Martín-Rabadán, P.; Bouza, E.; Millán, J.; de Oteyza, C.P.; Muiño, A.; et al. Nationwide study on peripheral-venous-catheter-associated-bloodstream infections in internal medicine departments. J. Hosp. Infect. 2017, 97, 260–266. [CrossRef]
- 27. Choudhury, A.; Marsh, N.; Banu, S.; Paterson, D.L.; Rickard, C.M.; McMillan, D.J. Molecular Comparison of Bacterial Communities on Peripheral Intravenous Catheters and Matched Skin Swabs. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0146354. [CrossRef]
- Austin, E.D.; Sullivan, S.B.; Whittier, S.; Lowy, F.D.; Uhlemann, A.-C. Peripheral Intravenous Catheter Placement Is an Underrecognized Source of Staphylococcus aureus Bloodstream Infection. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 2016, 3, ofw072. [CrossRef]
- 29. Kitao, T.; Ishimaru, M.; Nishihara, S. Detection of biofilm-producing and methicillin resistance genes in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolated from healthy humans and in blood culture tests. *J. Infect. Chemother.* **2010**, *16*, 170–173. [CrossRef]
- 30. Rossini, F.D.P.; De Andrade, D.; Santos, L.C.D.S.; Ferreira, A.M.; Tieppo, C.; Watanabe, E. Microbiological testing of devices used in maintaining peripheral venous catheters 1. *Rev. Lat.-Am. Enferm.* **2017**, *25*, e2887–e2894. [CrossRef]
- 31. Diaz, R. Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus: MecC Gene and Vancomycin Susceptibility; Universidade de Aveiro: Aveiro, Portugal, 2018.
- Van Kerckhoven, M.; Hotterbeekx, A.; Lanckacker, E.; Moons, P.; Lammens, C.; Kerstens, M.; Ieven, M.; Delputte, P.; Jorens, P.G.; Malhotra-Kumar, S.; et al. Characterizing the in vitro biofilm phenotype of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolates from central venous catheters. J. Microbiol. Methods 2016, 127, 95–101. [CrossRef]
- Kirmusaoglu, S. Staphylococcal Biofilms: Pathogenicity, Mechanism and Regulation of Biofilm Formation by Quorum-Sensing System and Antibiotic Resistance Mechanisms of Biofilm-Embedded Microorganisms. In *Microbial Biofilms: Importance and Applications;* IntechOpen: London, UK, 2016; pp. 189–209. [CrossRef]
- 34. Rosenthal, V.D.; Bat-Erdene, I.; Gupta, D.; Belkebir, S.; Rajhans, P.; Zand, F.; Myatra, S.N.; Afeef, M.; Tanzi, V.L.; Muralidharan, S.; et al. Six-year multicenter study on short-term peripheral venous catheters-related bloodstream infection rates in 727 intensive care units of 268 hospitals in 141 cities of 42 countries of Africa, the Americas, Eastern Mediterranean, Europe, South East Asia, and Western Pacific Regions: International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. *Infect. Control. Hosp. Epidemiol.* 2020, 41, 553–563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Rosenthal, V.D.; Bat-Erdene, I.; Gupta, D.; Belkebir, S.; Rajhans, P.; Zand, F.; Myatra, S.N.; Afeef, M.; Tanzi, V.L.; Muralidharan, S.; et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary of 45 countries for 2012–2017: Device-associated module. *Am. J. Infect. Control.* **2020**, *48*, 423–432. [CrossRef]
- Rosenthal, V.D.; Al-Abdely, H.M.; El-Kholy, A.A.; AlKhawaja, S.A.A.; Leblebicioglu, H.; Mehta, Y.; Rai, V.; Hung, N.V.; Kanj, S.S.; Salama, M.F.; et al. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium report, data summary of 50 countries for 2010–2015: Device-associated module. *Am. J. Infect. Control.* 2016, 44, 1495–1504. [CrossRef]
- Maki, D.G.; Weise, C.E.; Sarafin, H.W. A Semiquantitative Culture Method for Identifying Intravenous-Catheter-Related Infection. N. Engl. J. Med. 1977, 296, 1305–1309. [CrossRef]
- 38. Sharma, A.K.; Sharma, V.; Saxena, J.; Yadav, B.; Alam, A.; Prakash, A. Isolation and Screening of Extracellular Protease Enzyme from Bacterial and Fungal Isolates of Soil. *Int. J. Sci. Res. Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *3*, 334–340. [CrossRef]
- 39. Morandi, S.; Brasca, M.; Andrighetto, C.; Lombardi, A.; Lodi, R. Phenotypic and Genotypic Characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* Strains from Italian Dairy Products. *Int. J. Microbiol.* **2009**, 2009, 501362. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Dalecki, A.G.; Crawford, C.L.; Wolschendorf, F. Targeting Biofilm Associated Staphylococcus aureus Using Resazurin Based Drug-susceptibility Assay. *J. Vis. Exp.* **2016**, *111*, e53925. [CrossRef]
- 41. CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing This, 28th ed.; CLSI: Wayne, PA, USA, 2018; ISBN 156238838X.
- 42. Costa, P.; Sousa, L.; Marques, I.; Oliveira, A.; Parreira, P.; Vieira, M.; Graveto, J. Studies carried out in Portugal in the area of peripheral venous catheterization: Scoping review protocol. *Rev. Enf. Ref.* **2020**, *5*, e20004. [CrossRef]

- Santos-Costa, P.; Paiva-Santos, F.; Sousa, L.B.; Bernardes, R.A.; Ventura, F.; Fearnley, W.D.; Salgueiro-Oliveira, A.; Parreira, P.; Vieira, M.; Graveto, J. Nurses' Practices in the Peripheral Intravenous Catheterization of Adult Oncology Patients: A Mix-Method Study. J. Pers. Med. 2022, 12, 151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Oliveira, A.; Costa, P.; Graveto, J.; Costa, F.; Osório, N.; Cosme, A.; Parreira, P. Práticas dos enfermeiros na cateterização intravenosa: Um estudo descritivo. *Rev. Enf. Ref.* **2019**, *4*, 111–120. [CrossRef]
- 45. Batalha, L.; Correia, M. Prevention of venipuncture pain in children: A comparative study of topical anesthetics. *Rev. Enferm. Ref.* **2018**, *4*, 93–102. [CrossRef]
- 46. Batalha, L.M.C.; Costa, L.P.S.; de Almeida, D.M.G.; Lourenço, P.A.A.; Gonçalves, A.M.F.M.; Teixeira, A.C.G. Fixação de cateteres venosos periféricos em crianças: Estudo comparativo. *Esc. Anna Nery* **2010**, *14*, 511–518. [CrossRef]
- 47. Santos-Costa, P.; Sousa, L.; Torre-Montero, J.; Salgueiro-Oliveira, A.; Parreira, P.; Vieira, M.; Graveto, J. Translation, cultural adaptation, and validation of the Venous International Assessment Scale to European Portuguese. *Rev. Enferm. Ref.* **2021**, *5*, e20135. [CrossRef]
- Santos-Costa, P.; Sousa, L.B.; Van Loon, F.H.; Salgueiro-Oliveira, A.; Parreira, P.; Vieira, M.; Graveto, J. Translation and Validation of the Modified A-DIVA Scale to European Portuguese: Difficult Intravenous Access Scale for Adult Patients. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 17, 7552. [CrossRef]
- Santos-Costa, P.; Sousa, L.B.; Serambeque, B.; Bernardes, R.; Parreira, P.; Salgueiro-Oliveira, A.; Vieira, M.; Graveto, J. Pe-ripheral Venipuncture in Elderly Patients: Is Near-Infrared Light Technology an Option to Avoid Vein Depletion? In *Gerontechnology*; García-Alonso, J., Fonseca, C., Eds.; Communications in Computer and Information Science; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; Volume 1185, pp. 99–108. ISBN 978-3-030-41493-1.
- Santos-Costa, P.; Paiva-Santos, F.; Sousa, L.B.; Bernardes, R.A.; Ventura, F.; Salgueiro-Oliveira, A.; Parreira, P.; Vieira, M.; Graveto, J. Evidence-Informed Development of a Bundle for Peripheral Intravenous Catheterization in Portugal: A Delphi Consensus Study. Nurs. Rep. 2022, 12, 498–509. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Parreira, P.; Serambeque, B.; Costa, P.S.; Mónico, L.S.; Oliveira, V.; Sousa, L.B.; Gama, F.; Bernardes, R.A.; Adriano, D.; Marques, I.A.; et al. Impact of an Innovative Securement Dressing and Tourniquet in Peripheral Intravenous Catheter-Related Complications and Contamination: An Interventional Study. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2019, 16, 3301. [CrossRef]
- 52. Furtado, L.C.D.R. Maintenance of Peripheral Venous Access and Its Impact on the Development of Phlebitis: A Survey of 186 Catheters in a General Surgery Department in Portugal. *J. Infus. Nurs.* **2011**, *34*, 382–390. [CrossRef]
- 53. Braga, L.M.; Parreira, P.M.; Oliveira, A.D.S.S.; Mónico, L.D.S.M.; Arreguy-Sena, C.; Henriques, M.A. Phlebitis and infiltration: Vascular trauma associated with the peripheral venous catheter. *Rev. Lat.-Am. Enferm.* **2018**, *26*, e3002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Reffuveille, F.; Josse, J.; Vallé, Q.; Mongaret, C.; Gangloff, S.C. Staphylococcus Aureus Biofilms and Their Impact on the Biofilms and Their Impact on the Medical Field. In *The Rise of Virulence and Antibiotic Resistance in Staphylococcus aureus;* IntechOpen: London, UK, 2017; pp. 187–214.
- 55. Le, K.Y.; Otto, M. Quorum-sensing regulation in staphylococci—An overview. Front. Microbiol. 2015, 6, 1174. [CrossRef]
- Metwally, L.A.; Hashem, A.A.; ElAzab, S.Z.; El Islam, H.N.; Mansour, M.K. Biofilm Production and Antibiotic Resistance of Staphylococcus Epidermidis in Catheter Related Bloodstream Infections. *Egypt. J. Med. Microbiol.* 2017, 26, 73–80. [CrossRef]
- Neupane, S.; Pant, N.D.; Khatiwada, S.; Chaudhary, R.; Banjara, M.R. Correlation between Biofilm Formation and Resistance toward Different Commonly Used Antibiotics along with Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase Production in Uropathogenic Escherichia Coli Isolated from the Patients Suspected of Urinary Tract Infections Visit, Nepal. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control.* 2016, 5, 5. [CrossRef]
- Belbase, A.; Pant, N.D.; Nepal, K.; Neupane, B.; Baidhya, R.; Baidhya, R.; Lekhak, B. Antibiotic resistance and biofilm production among the strains of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from pus/wound swab samples in a tertiary care hospital in Nepal. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 2017, 16, 15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Soto, S.M. Importance of Biofilms in Urinary Tract Infections: New Therapeutic Approaches. *Adv. Biol.* 2014, 2014, 543974. [CrossRef]
- 60. Ghasemian, A.; Peerayeh, S.N.; Bakhshi, B.; Mirzaee, M. Several Virulence Factors of Multidrug-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates from Hospitalized Patients in Tehran. *Int. J. Enteric Pathog.* **2015**, *3*, 8. [CrossRef]
- 61. Adamski, C.J.; Palzkill, T. BLIP-II Employs Differential Hotspot Residues to Bind Structurally Similar *Staphylococcus aureus* PBP2a and Class A β-Lactamases. *Biochemistry* **2017**, *56*, 1075–1084. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Stapleton, P.D.; Taylor, P.W. Methicillin Resistance in Staphylococcus Aureus: Mechanisms and Modulation. *Sci. Prog.* **2002**, *85*, 57–72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Cherifi, S.; Byl, B.; Deplano, A.; Nagant, C.; Nonhoff, C.; Denis, O.; Hallin, M. Genetic characteristics and antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolates from patients with catheter-related bloodstream infections and from colonized healthcare workers in a Belgian hospital. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* **2014**, *13*, 20. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.