

Article

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf

Polycaprolactone Enzymatic Hydrolysis: A Mechanistic Study

Beatriz C. Almeida,[†] Pedro Figueiredo,[†] and Alexandra T. P. Carvalho*®

CNC-Center for Neuroscience and Cell Biology, Institute for Interdisciplinary Research (IIIUC), University of Coimbra, 3004-504 Coimbra, Portugal

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Accumulation of plastic waste is a major environmental problem. Enzymes, particularly esterases, play an important role in the biodegradation of polyesters. These enzymes are usually only active on aliphatic polyesters, but a few have showed catalytic activity for semi-aromatic polyesters. Because of the importance of these processes, an atomic-level characterization of how common polyesters are degraded by esterases is necessary. Hereby, we present a molecular dynamics (MD) and quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics MD study of the hydrolysis of a model of polycaprolactone, one of the most widely used biomaterials, by the thermophilic esterase from the archaeon Archaeoglobus fulgidus. This enzyme is particularly interesting because it can withstand temperatures well above the glass transition of many polyesters. Our insights about the reaction mechanism are important for the design of customized enzymes able to degrade different synthetic polyesters.



INTRODUCTION

In the last years, an exponential increase of the environmental problems associated with plastics has stimulated a new interest in aliphatic polyesters for several applications such as degradable materials in packaging, disposables, and biomedical materials as alternatives to commodity plastics.¹ These polymers, in particular, poly(butylene succinate), poly(ε -caprolactone)— PCL, poly(lactic acid), and poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) have attracted much interest because of their desirable properties of biocompatibility and biodegradability.²

PCL is a bioresorbable polymer with a slow hydrolytic degradation rate (2-3 years) which has been widely used in biomedical applications, such as in nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery systems and in the engineering of tissue scaffolds.^{2,3}

Several lipases (EC 3.1.1.3) and some carboxylesterases (EC 3.1.1.1) are active on aliphatic polyesters and thus can be employed in their synthesis or hydrolysis.^{4,5} They represent a milder and selective alternative because they do not require the use of toxic reagents, which avoids problems associated with trace residues of metallic catalysts in biomedical applications.^{4,6} Compared with conventional chemical routes, enzymatic catalysis gives a more precise construction of well-defined structures, such high control of enantio-selectivity, chemoselectivity, regio-selectivity, stereo-selectivity, and choro-selectivity.⁷ These enzymes are characterized by a α/β hydrolase fold and a catalytic triad consisting of an aspartate (Asp) or glutamate (Glu) residue, a histidine (His), and a nucleophilic serine (Ser) residue.8 They display a ping-pong bi-bi mechanism comprising nucleophilic attack by the catalytic serine, which first or in a concerted manner transfers its proton to the catalytic histidine, forming the first tetrahedral intermediate (INT-1). This is followed by proton rearrangement and release of the alcohol moiety, which leads to the formation of the acylenzyme intermediate (also called enzyme activated monomer-EAM). This intermediate is then deacylated by an incoming nucleophile, which is generally water, an alcohol, or an amine. The formation of the second tetrahedral intermediate (INT-2) and proton rearrangement finally leads to product release and regeneration of the free enzyme (Scheme 1).^{9,10}

Biosynthesis is achieved via ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of cyclic monomers such as cyclic esters (lactones) and condensation polymerization.¹¹ In lipase/esterase ROP, the first step is the opening of the lactone ring by nucleophilic attack of the serine, forming the EAM. Chain initiation occurs through deacylation by water forming 6-hydroxycaproic acid (6-HCA) and chain propagation by deacylation by the terminal alcohol of a growing PCL chain. Although chain initiation is necessary for ROP, 6-HCA and water are competitive nucleophiles to the growing PCL chain.¹²

Enzymatic hydrolysis is the major factor on the degradation of PCL in compost and sea water.¹³ The biodegradability of polyesters depends on the chemical and physical properties of the polymer, particularly on the degree of crystallinity.¹⁴ This dependence model was first deduced from the correlation that was found between the difference in the temperature at which the degradation takes place with Pseudomonas sp. lipase and the melting point of the polyester.¹⁵ According to this model, polymers with high melting points such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET) would not be able to undergo biodegradation. Nevertheless, since then, several enzymes were

Received: February 6, 2019 Accepted: March 29, 2019 Published: April 15, 2019

Scheme 1. General Catalytic Cycle for Polyester (PE) Biosynthesis



discovered that are able to degrade PET. One of those is even active on PET with $\approx 15\%$ crystallinity.¹⁶

For a polymer to be hydrolyzed, the polymeric chains need to have enough mobility to reach the enzyme-active site. Reactions at higher temperatures can promote higher chain flexibility at the amorphous regions of polymers. Therefore, thermophile enzymes, which allow reactions over harsh conditions without significant loss in enzymatic activity, are promising candidates for potential industrial applications. An example is the esterase from the hyperthermophilic archaeon Archaeoglobus fulgidus (AfEST) that has broad substrate specificity and high stability. This enzyme has been well characterized with concern to the crystal structure, catalytic mechanism, and substrate specificity. It has a classical α/β hydrolase fold and a cap domain and displays a dimer arrangement. The cap is composed of five helices from two separate regions (residues 1-54 and 188-246). The catalytic triad Ser₁₆₀-His₂₈₅-Asp₂₅₅ is located between the α/β hydrolase fold and the cap.⁸ AfEST was classified as a member of a hormone-sensitive lipase group of the lipase/esterase family, with an optimal temperature of 80 °C. It can catalyze the hydrolysis of a broad range of esters, including pnitrophenyl esters, vinyl esters, and triacylglycerols with a short chain, which exhibit the highest activity toward *p*-nitrophenyl hexanoate among the *p*-nitrophenyl esters tested.^{18,1}

The synthesis of PCL catalyzed by AfEST was previously described. The existing forms, free and immobilized, allow the formation of polymeric chains with molecular mass (M_n) values between 900 and 1340 g/mol and monomer conversion ratios between 72 and 99% at 80 °C.^{17,20,21}

Here, we have studied the catalytic mechanism for PCL hydrolysis and were able to characterize in detail all intermediates involved in polyester hydrolysis by this enzyme. This study opens the way for the future design of tailored enzymes able to hydrolyze a broad range of polyesters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Formation of EAM with the PCL Model Compound (PCL₂). Analysis of the binding of substrates and intermediates to the AfEST-active site shows that the enzyme displays a medium and a large pocket (Figure 1). Both pockets are located at the interface between the α/β hydrolase fold and the cap

Article



Figure 1. (A) Structure of AfEST showing the active site at the interface of the α/β hydrolase fold and the cap domains; (B) two pockets accessible to the substrate (the medium represented with an orange line and the large with a red line).

domains, whereas the medium pocket has no opening to the outside of the protein and the large pocket has an opening that allows the access of the hydrolysable ester and nucleophiles to the active site of the enzyme. Consequently, the access to the medium pocket must be through the large pocket (Figure 1). The overall volume of the pockets is 343.454 Å³, which is of considerable size compared with other esterases/lipases with the known structure that are capable to hydrolyze different aliphatic and semi-aromatic polyesters (Table S1).

The oxyanion hole of AfEST is composed by the amide groups of the backbone: Gly₈₈, Gly₈₉, and Ala₁₆₁. These atoms establish hydrogen bonds with the oxygen atom of the tetrahedral intermediate (Table S2), leading to stabilization of the developing negative charge of this atom during the catalytic cycle. In the reactant complex, the average distance between the oxygen atom of Ser₁₆₀ and the carbon of the carbonyl from the substrate that is going to be attacked is 3.82 ± 0.38 Å (Figure 2, Table S2).

The reaction starts with a nucleophilic attack performed by the oxygen atom of Ser₁₆₀ on the PCL model compound and leading to the formation of **INT-1**, which is 3.3 kcal/mol above the reactants (Figure 3, Scheme 2). The transition state connecting the **RC** and **INT-1** structures is **TS**₁ (Figure S1). In **INT-1**, the terminal alcohol function is in the medium pocket and the carbonyl region in the large pocket (Figure 1). The reaction is concerted, meaning that the proton transfer from Ser₁₆₀ to His₂₈₅, which are 3.07 ± 0.58 Å away from each other in the reactants, occurs concomitantly with the formation of the C–O bond between Ser₁₆₀ and the PCL model compound (1.14 ± 0.03 Å, Table S2).

In fact, we observe that all the reactions in the cycle (Schemes 2 and 3) comprehend a proton transfer and the formation/ breakage of a C–O bond occur all in a concerted way. The negatively charged oxygen of INT-1 is making hydrogen bonds with the amide groups of the oxyanion hole (Figure 2, Table S2).

INT-1 is converted to the **EAM** with the release of **6-HCA**, being the **TS**₂ structure (Figure S2) located 9.8 kcal/mol above **INT-1** and the overall ΔG^{\ddagger} of this step 12.9 kcal/mol (Figure 3).

Enzyme Deacylation: Formation of 6-HCA. In the second half part of the catalytic cycle, a water molecule attacks the EAM generating the INT-2 via formation of TS_3 (Scheme 3, Figure S3). In the EAM structure, the alcohol from the substrate is in the medium pocket and the average distance of the closest water oxygen atom to the carbon of EAM is 6.86 Å. There are



Figure 2. (A) Structure of the PCL model compound at the active site of AfEST; (B) structure of INT-1.



Figure 3. Energetic profile for the first half part of the catalytic cycle (formation of intermediate **EAM**). The blue dashed line denotes the energies calculated with the PM6/Amber_parm99SB and the green line corresponds to the corrected energies at the M06-2X/6-31G(d) level.

several water molecules in the vicinity of the EAM (Figure S4). TS₃ is 6.4 kcal/mol above the EAM, being the formation of INT-2 exergonic in relation to the formation of EAM with a ΔG of -2.1 kcal/mol (Figure 4). Water will drive the equilibrium toward the acid release and a second molecule of 6-HCA (Figure 5) is released, regenerating the free enzyme that is ready for another turnover. The transition state (TS₄, Figure S5) associated with this step has a ΔG^{\ddagger} of 6.6 kcal/mol (Figure 4). In the products, we observe that the carbonyl moiety makes hydrogen bonds with Ser₁₆₀ and His₂₈₅ and the alcohol moiety moves to the large pocket (Figure 5, Table S3).

Implications for the Degradability of Polyesters. AfEST has been extensively characterized in the synthesis of PCL.^{17,20,21} It was found that the enzyme can accommodate **PCL** at its active site, however, the low molecular weight (M_w) of the obtained polyesters shows that hydrolysis competes with the synthesis depending on the amount of water available in the active site. We show that there are several water molecules in the vicinity EAM intermediate (Figure S4). The proposed catalytic mechanism shows that the rate-limiting step is easily achievable with a ΔG^{\ddagger} of around 12.9 kcal/mol (Figure 3). An in vitro study of AfEST hydrolysis of PNP hexanoate indicates a barrier of 15.5 kcal/mol, which is expected to be higher than the hydrolysis of PCL because of the aromatic moiety of this substrate.¹ Furthermore, other carboxylesterases were found to promote PCL depolymerization.²² Comparison of the AfEST-active site with other esterases/lipases with the known structure also shows that AfEST has a large combined volume of the active-site pockets (Figure S3).

The physical and chemical properties of the polymer have a strong influence on enzymatic hydrolysis. **PCL** has a low melting point of 60 °C and a glass-transition temperature (T_g) of -60 °C. However, for polyesters with higher melting points, the degree of crystallinity is a major limiting factor for hydrolysis. Nevertheless, the amorphous region will contain polymer chains that can access the active site, and it was suggested that as the amorphous regions are degraded, the crystalline regions can be ultimately degraded.²³ Consequently, thermophilic enzymes able to operate at higher temperatures than T_g of many types of polyesters are good candidates for protein engineering and can





Scheme 3. Second Half Part of the Catalytic Cycle of the Hydrolysis of PCL₂





Figure 4. Energetic profile for the conversion of the intermediate **EAM** to the **INT-2** and **INT-2** to the products. The blue dashed line denotes the energies calculated with the PM6/Amber_parm99SB and the green line corresponds to the corrected energies at the M06-2X/6-31G(d) level.

help solving the plastic pollution problems that we are currently facing.

CONCLUSIONS

We have conducted a quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics molecular dynamics (QM/MM MD) study of the hydrolysis of a model compound of PCL by the thermophilic esterase from the hyper-thermophilic archaeon AfEST. Our results show that the hydrolysis has an overall ΔG^{\ddagger} of just 12.9 kcal/mol, showing that the chemistry step is already very efficient. This makes this enzyme a good starting point for further rational engineering

efforts to improve polymer binding while keeping high thermostability.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Modeling. The initial structure was modeled from AfEST crystal structure pdb code 1JJI.⁸ Hydrogen atoms were added according to the protonation states of the residues taking in account a medium with pH 7 (the enzyme has optimal activity for pH 6.5-7.5).¹⁹

The reactants, products, and intermediates (INT-1, INT-2 and EAM) were geometry-optimized in Gaussian09²⁴ using B3LYP²⁵ with the 6-31G(d) basis set and a polarizable continuum model²⁶ solvent description. Atomic partial charges were calculated resorting to the restrained electrostatic potential (RESP)²⁷ method from HF/6-31G(d) single-point energy calculations.

Molecular Docking. Molecular docking was performed using the AutoDock 4.2 suite of programs with the Lamarckian genetic algorithm (LGA).²⁸ A grid box was centered on the oxygen of the side chain of the catalytic serine (residue 160 for AfEST). A total of 100 LGA runs were carried out for each ligand–protein complex. The population was 300, the maximum number of generations was 27 000, and the maximum number of energy evaluations was 2 500 000.

Molecular Dynamics. MD simulations were performed using the Amber molecular dynamics program (AMBER18)²⁹ with the parm99SB³⁰ and GAFF³¹ force fields. The structures were placed within an octahedral box of TIP3P²⁹ waters (the distance between the protein surface and the box was set to 10 Å) and counter ions were added to make the entire system neutral. The systems were subjected to two initial energy



Figure 5. (A) Structure of the EAM complex; (B) structure of the INT-2 complex; (C) structure of the complex between the free enzyme and 6-HCA (products).

minimizations with the steepest descent and conjugate gradient algorithms and to 500 ps of equilibration in a NVT ensemble using Langevin dynamics with small restraints on the protein (10 kcal/mol) to heat the system from 0 to 300 K. Production simulations were carried out at 300 K in the NPT ensemble using Langevin dynamics with a collision frequency of 1.0 ps^{-1} . Constant pressure periodic boundary conditions were imposed with an average pressure of 1 atm. Isotropic position scaling was used to maintain pressure with a relaxation time of 2 ps. The time step was set to 2 fs. SHAKE constraints were applied to all bonds involving hydrogen atoms.³² The particle mesh Ewald (PME) method³³ was used to calculate electrostatic interactions with a cut-off distance of 10 Å. All the minima in the catalytic cycle were subjected to 50 ns triplicate simulations with different initial velocities, for a total combined time of 750 ns. Reference structures, corresponding to the lowest root-mean-square deviation structures to the average of the simulations, were calculated.34

QM/MM Calculations. The QM/MM MD calculations³⁵ were performed using the internal semi-empirical hybrid QM/ MM functionality implemented in AMBER18 with periodic boundary conditions. The PM6³⁶ semi-empirical method was employed for the high-level layer and the MM region was described by the Amber parm99SB force field.³⁰ The choice of the PM6 Hamiltonian represents good compromise between the use of a fast and a reliable QM method and the extensive sampling required to accurately determine enzymatic barriers.^{36,37}

Electrostatic embedding³⁸ was employed and the boundary was treated via the hydrogen link atom approach. Long-range electrostatic interactions were described by an adapted implementation of the PME method for QM/MM.³⁹

The high-level layer in the reactant complex included the PCL model compound, Ser_{160} , the side chains of His_{285} and Asp_{255} , and the amide groups of Gly_{88} , Gly_{89} , and Ala_{161} (Figure S6). The total number of atoms in the high-level layer in the reactants was 78. For the other intermediates, the high-level layer included the same protein residues plus either the INT-1, the EAM, the INT-2, or the product 6-HCA. The reaction coordinates used in RC to INT-1 and INT-1 to EAM were the distance between the $PCL_2(C_a)-Ser_{160}(O_b)$, and $Ser_{160}(O_g)-His_{285}(H_c)$, respectively. Concerning the second part of the catalytic cycle, the reaction coordinate for EAM to INT-2 was the distance between $Ser_{160}(O_b)-His_{285}(H_c)$ and for INT-2 to RC, the distance between $6-HCA(C_a)-Ser_{160}(O_b)$.

The initial structures were **INT-1** and **INT-2**, and the reaction coordinates were restrained in 0.1 Å steps using the umbrella sampling method, except near the transition states where smaller steps of 0.02 Å were employed. The potentials of mean forces (PMFs) were computed resorting to the weighted histogram analysis method.⁴⁰

Corrections were applied to the obtained PM6 PMFs by performing geometry optimizations of the high-level layer models with the exchange correlation functional M06- $2X^{41}$ and the 6-31G(d) basis set, according to Carvalho et al. and Bownan et al.^{42,43} We provide in the Supporting Information the coordinates of these structures (Tables S5–S10).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsomega.9b00345.

Comparison of the total active site volume for esterases/ lipases that accept aliphatic and semi-aromatic polyesters; Average distance between atoms in Schemes 2 and 3; PMFs with the corrections calculated with B3LYP with dispersion corrections, M06-2X and wB97XD; coordinate lists for all the structures and respective method; representation of the QM region and of the transition states TS1–TS4; and number of water molecules in first and second solvation shells of the EAM intermediate (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: atpcarvalho@uc.pt. Website: www.atpcarvalho.pt. ORCID [©]

Alexandra T. P. Carvalho: 0000-0003-2827-5527

Author Contributions

^TB.C.A. and P.F. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia for the financial support through the exploratory project MIT-Portugal (MIT-EXPL/ISF/0021/2017) and the grant IF/01272/2015.

REFERENCES

(1) Bednarek, M. Branched aliphatic polyesters by ring-opening (co)polymerization. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2016, *58*, 27–58.

(2) Kobayashi, S. Enzymatic ring-opening polymerization and polycondensation for the green synthesis of polyesters. *Polym. Adv. Technol.* **2015**, *26*, 677–686.

(3) Abedalwafa, M.; Wang, F.; Wang, L.; Li, C. Biodegradable polyepsilon-caprolactone (PCL) for tissue engineering applications: a review. *Rev. Adv. Mater. Sci.* **2013**, *34*, 123–140.

(4) Kadokawa, J.-i.; Kobayashi, S. Polymer synthesis by enzymatic catalysis. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2010**, *14*, 145–153.

(5) Cao, H.; Han, H.; Li, G.; Yang, J.; Zhang, L.; Yang, Y.; Fang, X.; Li, Q. Biocatalytic synthesis of poly (δ -valerolactone) using a thermophilic esterase from Archaeoglobus fulgidus as catalyst. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2012**, 13, 12232–12241.

(6) Zhang, J.; Shi, H.; Wu, D.; Xing, Z.; Zhang, A.; Yang, Y.; Li, Q. Recent developments in lipase-catalyzed synthesis of polymeric materials. *Process Biochem.* **2014**, *49*, 797–806.

(7) Veld, M. A. J.; Palmans, A. R. A. *Enzymatic Polymerisation*; Advances in Polymer Science; Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011, pp 55–78.

(8) De Simone, G.; Menchise, V.; Manco, G.; Mandrich, L.; Sorrentino, N.; Lang, D.; Rossi, M.; Pedone, C. The crystal structure of a hyper-thermophilic carboxylesterase from the archaeon Archaeoglobus fulgidus1. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2001**, *314*, 507–518.

(9) Bezborodov, A. M.; Zagustina, N. A. Lipases in catalytic reactions of organic chemistry. *Appl. Biochem. Microbiol.* **2014**, *50*, 313–337.

(10) Raza, S.; Fransson, L.; Hult, K. Enantioselectivity in *Candida antarctica* lipase B: A molecular dynamics study. *Protein Sci.* 2001, *10*, 329–338.

(11) Labet, M.; Thielemans, W. Synthesis of polycaprolactone: a review. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009, 38, 3484–3504.

(12) Shirahama, H.; Shiomi, M.; Sakane, M.; Yasuda, H. Biodegradation of Novel Optically Active Polyesters Synthesized by Copolymerization of (R)-MOHEL with Lactones. *Macromolecules* **1996**, *29*, 4821–4828.

(13) Krasowska, K.; Heimowska, A.; Rutkowska, M. Enzymatic and hydrolytic degradation of poly (ϵ -caprolactone) in natural conditions. *Int. Polym. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, 33, 57–62.

(14) Mueller, R.-J. Biological degradation of synthetic polyesters enzymes as potential catalysts for polyester recycling. *Process Biochem.* **2006**, *41*, 2124–2128.

(15) Marten, E.; Müller, R.-J.; Deckwer, W.-D. Studies on the enzymatic hydrolysis of polyesters I. Low molecular mass model esters and aliphatic polyesters. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2003**, *80*, 485–501.

(16) Austin, H. P.; Allen, M. D.; Donohoe, B. S.; Rorrer, N. A.; Kearns, F. L.; Silveira, R. L.; Pollard, B. C.; Dominick, G.; Duman, R.; Omari, K. E.; Mykhaylyk, V.; Wagner, A.; Michener, W. E.; Amore, A.; Skaf, M. S.; Crowley, M. F.; Thorne, A. W.; Johnson, C. W.; Woodcock, H. L.; McGeehan, J. E.; Beckham, G. T. Characterization and engineering of a plastic-degrading aromatic polyesterase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2018**, *115*, E4350–E4357.

(17) Ma, J.; Li, Q.; Song, B.; Liu, D.; Zheng, B.; Zhang, Z.; Feng, Y. Ring-opening polymerization of ε -caprolactone catalyzed by a novel thermophilic esterase from the archaeon Archaeoglobus fulgidus. *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.* **2009**, *56*, 151–157.

(18) D'Auria, S.; Herman, P.; Lakowicz, J. R.; Bertoli, E.; Tanfani, F.; Rossi, M.; Manco, G. The Thermophilic Esterase from Archaeoglobus fulgidus: Structure and Conformational Dynamics at High Temperature. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet.* **2000**, *38*, 351–360.

(19) Manco, G.; Giosuè, E.; D'Auria, S.; Herman, P.; Carrea, G.; Rossi, M. Cloning, Overexpression, and Properties of a New Thermophilic and Thermostable Esterase with Sequence Similarity to Hormone-Sensitive Lipase Subfamily from the Archaeon Archaeoglobus fulgidus. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2000**, *373*, 182–192.

(20) Ren, H.; Xing, Z.; Yang, J.; Jiang, W.; Zhang, G.; Tang, J.; Li, Q. Construction of an Immobilized Thermophilic Esterase on Epoxy Support for Poly (*e*-caprolactone) Synthesis. *Molecules* **2016**, *21*, 796.

(21) Li, G.; Li, Q. Thermophilic esterase from the archaeon Archaeoglobus fulgidus physically immobilized on hydrophobic macroporous resin: A novel biocatalyst for polyester synthesis. *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.* **2011**, *16*, 1201–1207.

(22) Hajighasemi, M.; Nocek, B. P.; Tchigvintsev, A.; Brown, G.; Flick, R.; Xu, X.; Cui, H.; Hai, T.; Joachimiak, A.; Golyshin, P. N.; Savchenko, A.; Edwards, E. A.; Yakunin, A. F. Biochemical and Structural Insights into Enzymatic Depolymerization of Polylactic Acid and Other Polyesters by Microbial Carboxylesterases. *Biomacromolecules* **2016**, *17*, 2027–2039.

(23) Mochizuki, M.; Hirano, M.; Kanmuri, Y.; Kudo, K.; Tokiwa, Y. Hydrolysis of polycaprolactone fibers by lipase: Effects of draw ratio on enzymatic degradation. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **1995**, *55*, 289–296.

(24) Frisch, M.; Trucks, G.; Schlegel, H.; Scuseria, G.; Robb, M.; Cheeseman, J.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H.; Izmaylov, A.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J.; Peralta, J.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K.; Staroverov, V.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J.; Iyengar, S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J.; Cross, J.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J.; Martin, R.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V.; Voth, G.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A.; Foresman, J.; Ortiz, J.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. *Gaussian 09*, Revision B.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT, 2016.

(25) Ashvar, C. S.; Devlin, F. J.; Bak, K. L.; Taylor, P. R.; Stephens, P. J. Ab Initio Calculation of Vibrational Absorption and Circular Dichroism Spectra: 6,8-dioxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 9262–9270.

(26) Tomasi, J.; Mennucci, B.; Cammi, R. Quantum Mechanical Continuum Solvation Models. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999–3094.

(27) Bayly, C. I.; Cieplak, P.; Cornell, W.; Kollman, P. A. A wellbehaved electrostatic potential based method using charge restraints for deriving atomic charges: the RESP model. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1993**, *97*, 10269–10280.

(28) Morris, G. M.; Huey, R.; Lindstrom, W.; Sanner, M. F.; Belew, R. K.; Goodsell, D. S.; Olson, A. J. AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4:

Automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2009**, *30*, 2785–2791.

(29) Salomon-Ferrer, R.; Case, D. A.; Walker, R. C. An overview of the Amber biomolecular simulation package. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2013**, *3*, 198–210.

(30) Hornak, V.; Abel, R.; Okur, A.; Strockbine, B.; Roitberg, A.; Simmerling, C. Comparison of multiple Amber force fields and development of improved protein backbone parameters. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Bioinf.* **2006**, *65*, 712–725.

(31) Wang, J.; Wolf, R. M.; Caldwell, J. W.; Kollman, P. A.; Case, D. A. Development and testing of a general amber force field. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, *25*, 1157–1174.

(32) Ryckaert, J.-P.; Ciccotti, G.; Berendsen, H. J. C. Numerical integration of the cartesian equations of motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics of n-alkanes. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1977**, *23*, 327–341.

(33) Darden, T.; York, D.; Pedersen, L. Particle mesh Ewald: An N-log(N) method for Ewald sums in large systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, 98, 10089–10092.

(34) Dourado, D. F. A. R.; Swart, M.; Carvalho, A. T. P. Why the Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide (FAD) Cofactor Needs to be Covalently Linked to Complex II of the Electron-Transport Chain for the Conversion of FADH2 into FAD. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 5246–5252.

(35) Carvalho, A. T. P.; Barrozo, A.; Doron, D.; Kilshtain, A. V.; Major, D. T.; Kamerlin, S. C. L. Challenges in computational studies of enzyme structure, function and dynamics. *J. Mol. Graphics Modell.* **2014**, *54*, 62–79.

(36) Stewart, J. J. P. Optimization of parameters for semiempirical methods V: Modification of NDDO approximations and application to 70 elements. *J. Mol. Model.* **200**7, *13*, 1173–1213.

(37) Jindal, G.; Warshel, A. Exploring the Dependence of QM/MM Calculations of Enzyme Catalysis on the Size of the QM Region. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2016**, *120*, 9913–9921.

(38) Bakowies, D.; Thiel, W. Hybrid Models for Combined Quantum Mechanical and Molecular Mechanical Approaches. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 10580–10594.

(39) Nam, K.; Gao, J.; York, D. M. An Efficient Linear-Scaling Ewald Method for Long-Range Electrostatic Interactions in Combined QM/ MM Calculations. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **2005**, 1, 2–13.

(40) Grossfield, A. WHAM: The Weighted Histogram Analysis Method. http://membrane.urmc.rochester.edu/content/wham (accessed Dec. 14, 2018).

(41) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The M06 Suite of Density Functionals for Main Group Thermochemistry, Thermochemical Kinetics, Noncovalent Interactions, Excited States, and Transition Elements: Two New Functionals and Systematic Testing of Four M06-Class Functionals and 12 Other Functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, *120*, 215– 241.

(42) Carvalho, A. T. P.; Dourado, D. F. A. R.; Skvortsov, T.; de Abreu, M.; Ferguson, L. J.; Quinn, D. J.; Moody, T. S.; Huang, M. Catalytic mechanism of phenylacetone monooxygenases for non-native linear substrates. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 26851–26861.

(43) Bowman, A. L.; Grant, I. M.; Mulholland, A. J. QM/MM simulations predict a covalent intermediate in the hen egg white lysozyme reaction with its natural substrate. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 4425–4427.