Petrographic and petrophysical characterisation and structural function of the heritage stones in Fuwairit archaeological site (NE Qatar): implications for heritage conservation

Several types of limestones have been traditionally used for building mosques, houses and sheds in the Fuwairit archaeological site (NE Qatar). These limestones were characterised, and profiles of semi-collapsed walls examined to estimate the percentage that each building stone occupied and its structural function. Holocene beachrocks were the most used building stones. They were installed mainly as header masonry stones in the walls following coursesd random rubble masonry. Pleistocene oolites were used mainly as stretcher masonry stones and Umm Bab Member of Dammam Formation (middle Eocene) as wedges and filling stones of the inner cores between the masonry wall faces. These last building stones were collected in the rocky desert, whose surface is covered by stone fragments resulting from thermal dilatation. Therefore, thermal expansion measurements were performed to investigate the breakage of the Umm Bab Member. Umm Bab Member has the lowest effective porosity and water absorption, and the highest bulk density and ultrasonic pulse velocity. This stone presents the best quality. Oolites have an intermediate quality and beachrocks have the worst quality as building stone. Historic quarries of oolites were found with abandoned stone blocks in Jebel Fuwairit fossil dunes.

Introduction

Geology, specifically the study of heritage stones, provides archaeologists with information about the types of stones used, historical quarries, degree of decay and solutions for conservation (Přikryl and Török, 2010; Zoghlimi et al., 2017a; Freire-Lista and Fort, 2019; Kaur et al., 2019). Knowledge, conservation and protection of heritage stones makes it possible to develop programs contributing to the diversification of tourism and economy (Belhaj et al., 2016; Ehling, 2018; Migaszewski and Mader, 2019). Furthermore, historic quarries can in some cases guarantee the supply of stones for restorations (Grissom et al., 2018; Torabi-Kaveh et al., 2019; Garg et al., 2019; Pereira and Cárdenes, 2019).

The archaeological site of Fuwairit is located on the northeast coast of Qatar, in the municipality of Ash Shamal, approximately 90 km north of Doha (Fig. 1). It is a coastal town a little over a kilometre long, with the main area of ruined architecture measuring approximately 750 m long by 160 m wide, with an orientation NNW-SSE broadly parallel to the actual coast (Figs. 1-2).

Archaeological investigations by the Origins of Doha and Qatar Project indicate that the site was first significantly occupied in the 18th century, probably before the middle of the century. The site saw several abandonments and reoccupations during the 19th century, also supported by the historical data, and at one stage it was the home town of the Al Thani dynasty, who transferred residence to Doha in the mid 19th century. During the late 19th and very early 20th century it was walled, and major buildings included an unusually large congregational mosque. The majority of other buildings consisted of simple stone-built residential family compounds, with small neighbourhood mosques, and a large sheikhly compound found close to the centre of the site, dating to the early 20th century (older sheikhly compounds and forts were likely present but remain undiscovered). Its final occupation, by members of the Bu Kuwara tribe, took place in the early 20th century, ending in the early 1930s. This occupation provided the core of collapsed buildings in the centre of the site. Frequent episodes of rebuilding and stone-robbing have heavily disturbed the building remains of earlier occupations beneath and surrounding the final occupation phase. A small resettlement later took place to the south of the site, which from the 1950s onwards expanded into today’s village of Fuwairit. Throughout its occupation from the 18th to the early 20th century it can be characterised as a small pearl-fishing town.

The aims of this paper are to characterise the building stones preserved in the archaeological site of Fuwairit, to know where and which ones were most frequently used, to know the intrinsic causes of their decay and to locate their historic quarries.
The middle Eocene Damman Formation is the main source of traditional building stone in Qatar (Jassim al-Kholaifi, 2006; Karagkounis, 2016). However, younger limestones (Pleistocene-Holocene) of coastal origin, and less consolidated than the Dammam Formation, were also used as building stones in the vernacular buildings of Qatar (Freire-Lista et al., 2019).

**Geological Settings**

The archaeological site of Fuwairit is on a Holocene fossil chenier plain, between aligned Pleistocene fossil dunes, the main outcrop of which is called Jebel Fuwairit. These consolidated coastal oolitic ridges were deposited on the Upper Damman Formation. The fossil dunes are close and pseudo-parallel to the shoreline. On the landward side, this oolitic ridge gives way to supratidal flats, sabbkas, and the rocky desert (hamada) with fractured stones of the Umm Bab Member of the Damman Formation (middle Eocene carbonate deposits).

The Damman Formation represents marine conditions in most eastern parts of the Arabian Gulf. Fluctuations in sea level were recognised in it, showing at least three transgressive/regressive cycles. A warm shallow marine environment was predominant as indicated by extensive dolomitisation and the presence of alveolines, linderines and nummulites (Al-Saad, 2005). The Damman Formation is subdivided into four members (from oldest to youngest): Midra Shale, a compact claystone; Dukhan, a hard limestone; Umm Bab, a dolomitic limestone and Abaraq, a marly dolomitic limestone (Sadooni and Al-Saad, 2012). The Umm Bab Member outcrops in the hamada of Fuwairit. This member was deposited in a shallow marine environment, after which the sea levels dropped and exposed the rocks to weathering during the Oligocene. Wet climatic conditions during the Pleistocene initiated the development of dissolution features from millimetres to metres long. Therefore, an unconformity exists between Eocene and Pleistocene.

The surface of Qatar has been exposed since the end of the last interglacial period (ca. 119,000 BP). The lower part of Jebel Fuwairit is made up of marine carbonate-sand bars, the upper part shows a change toward wind-blown dune deposits of oolites. They were deposited as coastal dunes adjacent to beaches where abundant calcareous sand was available. The accumulation of these sediments is related to climatic changes and fluctuations in sea level. Wind sediments were deposited during high stand of the sea-level in the Sangamonian (75,000 - 125,000 BP) (Williams and Walkden, 2002). The oolites are characterised by medium-scale cross bedding. Sets of cross-beds vary in thickness from meters to centimetres and generally pinch out over lateral distances of tens of meters. Their strata show rhizocretions (fossil root systems that have been encased in mineral matter), burrows (fossil hole or tunnel excavated by an animal) and desiccation cracks filled with mud, suggesting an alternation of flooding and stable periods.

**Figure 1.** (a) Location map of Fuwairit archaeological site (b) Geological map of Qatar (Source: Qatar Geological Society), (c) Google Earth map of Fuwairit area (Data: SIO, NOAA, US Navy, NGA, GEBCO. Image: Landsat/Copernicus).
although the water that has percolated through the dunes has not been enough to dissolve all the aragonite. The dunes were stabilised by cementation of ooid grainstones and vegetation.

Pleistocene pluvial events and eustatic sea-level changes have played an essential role in the evolution of Fuwairit area. A river system with approximate SW-NE direction cut and eroded part of the dunes ridge, where the archaeological site would later be established. Changes in sea level produced throughout the Holocene configure the current geomorphology of the area. This period began approximately 11,700 years ago, when temperatures ameliorated as the sea level rose (Macumber, 2011).

In early Holocene times, coastal embayments containing little sediment and with wide entrances that were open to the ocean, and cliffs of Eocene limestones and dolomites, drew a tortuous coastline on the east side of Qatar. After stabilisation of the present sea level, fine grained, carbonate sediment and dolomite out-wash from the land began to fill and regularise the coastal embayments (Cavalcante et al., 2016). Concurrent longshore transport of recent coarse-grained carbonates from the north created “chenier” beaches with hook-shaped spits at their southern ends, which gave added protection to these prerecent embayments. Contemporaneously, additional cheniers and spits were forming seawards of the older one creating quiet water embayments. During this
five to six thousand-year period, a tidal channel system developed on the
flats behind and between successive cheniers and spits that straight-
ened the coast of Fuwairit. Thus, Fuwairit archaeological site is over a
distinct body of sediment differing notably from the sands and coral
reefs at present accumulating a short distance off-shore. It is a system
of coastal accretion by carbonate sediment influenced by longshore
transport.

Methodology

Petrographic, mineralogical and petrophysical analysis provide
quantitative values of parameters directly related to the quality and
durability of building stones (Freire-Lista et al., 2015, 2016; Murru et
al., 2018; Zalooli et al., 2020). These parameters have been measured
and compared to establish the quality and durability of four building
stones from Fuwairit archaeological site.

Sampling of Building Stones and in situ Analysis

After studying the geology of the area and carry out archaeological
surveys, four main types of masonry stones were sampled of the Fuwairit
archaeological site (Figs. 2-4). This is composed of small mounds of
ruins, stone fragments and disaggregated building materials. S1: whit-
ish-beige, compact, massive and with fine-grain size, which was iden-
tified as Eocene limestone (Umm Bab Member of Dammam Formation);
S2: homogeneous medium- to coarse-grain size, yellowish colour and
sets of cross-beds evident to the naked eye; S3: yellowish with fossils,
especially shells of bivalves and gastropods. Bioclasts size ranges from
millimetres to centimetres, with wide pore size distribution and high
moldic porosity. S2 and S3 were identified as being from different strata
of the fossil dunes of Jebel Fuwairit. S4: whitish with fossils, espe-
cially shells of bivalves and gastropods. Bioclasts size ranges from milli-
metres to centimetres in a muddy matrix, poorly consolidated and with
high porosity. It was identified as Holocene “beachrock” found under
the foundations of buildings.

These four stones types found in the Fuwairit ruins are as the stone
types found in the ruins of three house walls adjacent to the archaeologi-
cal site. These walls have a similar fabric to those of the ruins of the
archaeological site.

The percentage of each type of masonry stones used in three col-
lapsed walls (W1, W2 and W3) of houses in Fuwairit village, near the
archaeological site (Fig. 2), was quantified by longitudinal and trans-
verse sections. In situ mapping was undertaken to analyse of the wall
masonry. The type of masonry stone identified in the walls was marked
on printed photographs, distinguishing between Eocene limestone,
Pleistocene oolites and Holocene beachrocks. The percentage of masonry
stone in each wall was calculated (see, Fig. 5). In addition, the struc-
tural function of each masonry stone type was characterised as fol-
lows: Header: a stone which has its longest dimension built into the
thickness of the wall to improve bonding and strength; Stretcher: a
stone which connected both faces of the masonry wall; Wedges: small

Figure 3. Samples of masonry stones collected at Fuwairit archaeological site and six cubes obtained from each of them for petrophysical
analysis.
stones that serve to level stones for the stones row be aligned; and Filling Stones: stones that are placed in the inner core between both faces of the masonry wall (Barroso et al., 2018).

Seven cubic \((5 \times 5 \times 5 \pm 0.5 \text{ cm})\) specimens were cut from S1, S2, S3 and S4 (Fig. 3).

**Petrographic Microscopy (PM)**

Two thin sections were made from each building stone, one oriented perpendicular to and one parallel to the stratification. They were observed under a polarisation microscope Leica DM 4500 P, equipped with a digital FireWire Camera Leica DFC 290 HD that worked with the Leica application suite software LAS 4.

**X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)**

A fragment of each building stone was pulverised to 50 \(\mu\text{m}\) to use at a MiniFlex benchtop X-ray diffractometer operated at 40 KV and 30 mA. The measurements were performed in a range between 2 and 68 degrees with an interval of 0.02 and 2 min in continuous mode, and they were processed with Miniflex Guidance and PDXL 2 Rigaku diffraction software.

**Effective Porosity (Pe), Water Absorption and Bulk Density (\(\rho_b\))**

Six cubic samples of S1, S2, S3 and S4 were tested for effective poros-
ity ($P_e$), water absorption capacity and bulk density ($\rho_b$) according UNE-EN 1936: 2007 following these equations:

$P_e (%) = 100 \times \left(\frac{W_s-W_d}{W_s-W_h}\right)$  
Water absorption (%) = 100 × \left(\frac{W_s-W_d}{W_d}\right)$  
$\rho_b (Kg/m^3) = 100 \times \left(\frac{W_d}{W_s-W_h}\right)$

Where $W_d$ is the weight of the dry specimens (after oven-drying at 70 °C and desiccation for 30 min), $W_s$ is the weight of 24 h water-saturated sample, and $W_h$ is the weight of the sample submerged in water.

**Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry (MIP)**

The micropore size distribution was measured by mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP; Pascal 140 and Pascal 440 from Thermo Scientific) using irregular S1, S2, S3 and S4 samples (ca. 1.0 g). The analysis was run on samples oven-dried to a constant weight at 70 °C. Pressures up to 400 MPa were used to evaluate the pore radii measured at around 0.005 μm.

**Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity ($V_p$)**

Ultrasonic pulse velocities ($V_p$) were measured on each of the six cubes of each building stone in the three orthogonal directions, using the mean of four consecutive measurements of each face of the cube as the accepted value.

$V_p$ was taken with CNS Electronics PUNDIT equipment (precision: ± 0.1 μs) following UNE-EN, 14579, 2007. The 1 MHz transducers (11.82 mm in diameter) were affixed to the surface with a carboxymethyl cellulose paste and water to enhance the transducer-stone contact. $V_p$ is a portable non-destructive technique, with an analytical sensitivity able to detect petrophysical changes not currently visible on the surface of the stones (Martínez-Martínez et al., 2008; Zoghlaghi et al., 2017b; Kravcov and Shibaev, 2019).

**Colour**

After oven-drying at 70 °C to a constant weight, 20 colour measurements were taken in each stone, and the mean was calculated. Afterwards each stone was submerged in water for an hour and 20 measurements of the colour were taken again in the wet stones. The colour was assessed with a X-Rite colorimeter (model 964), with 45°/0° geometry and specular component included, D65 illuminant and 8 mm aperture. Colour was expressed using the three chromatic coordinates of CIE-L*a*b* (11.82 mm in diameter) were affixed to the surface with a carboxymethyl cellulose paste and water to enhance the transducer-stone contact. $V_p$ is a portable non-destructive technique, with an analytical sensitivity able to detect petrophysical changes not currently visible on the surface of the stones (Martínez-Martínez et al., 2008; Zoghlaghi et al., 2017b; Kravcov and Shibaev, 2019).

**Thermal and Hydric Dilatometry**

Thermal and hydric dilatation measurements were taken in S1 specimen with a length of 50 mm and a diameter of 15 mm, with a temperature range of 20-90 °C, using a pushrod dilatometer (for details see, Koch and Siegesmund, 2004). Heating and cooling were performed with a velocity of 1 °C/min and the maximum and minimum temperatures were held for 6 h. This cycle was implemented 3 times and the results were used to describe the thermal dilatation behaviour of S1. The thermal dilatation coefficient $\alpha$ (10⁻⁶ K⁻¹) was calculated as the ratio between the length change of the samples (ΔL) and the original sample length (L) multiplied by the temperature interval (Siegesmund and Dürrast, 2014).

**Results**

**In-situ Measurements and Observations**

Rubble masonry of undressed and rough stone blocks was used for the vernacular buildings of Fuwairit at both the archaeological site and at the mid-20th century village. Semi-collapsed architecture at the village shows that larger stones were laid down first and the spaces between them were filled up with chips of stones, debris and local earth mortar (Fig. 5). W1, W2 and W3 walls are formed by coursed random rubble masonry between two skins of walling made of unshaped stones of different sizes and shapes arranged in courses of similar height, approximately 30 to 40 cm long (Fig. 5). At the inner core, houses walls showed a poor infill of debris, with a considerable percentage of voids and insufficient interlocking.

W1 was built with 13.4% of Eocene stones (S1), 3.9% of Pleistocene stones (S2 and S3) and 82.7% of Holocene stones (S4). W2 was built with 19.0% of Eocene stones, 4.7% of Pleistocene stones and 86.3% of Holocene stones. W3 was built with 13.4% of Eocene stones, 5.1% of Pleistocene stones and 81.5% of Holocene stones.

S4 forms the largest stones, present as header stones. S2 and S3 were usually placed as stretcher stones. S1 was used as wedges to fit the masonry stones, and as filling debris of the inner core between both faces.

**Petrographic Microscopy (PM)**

S1 is a compact dolomite with micritic cement. The grain size distribution is homogeneous. It has a high degree of compaction and low porosity, no detrital components are observed, and there are dissolution cavities and some irregular veins cemented by calcite. Various crystalline calcite growths of different episodes or cementation phases are observed.

Numerous inclusions give dolomite crystals a cloudy appearance. However, some dolomite crystals show relics of the original calcite in their nuclei. Calcite crystals precipitate into secondary pores such as biomolds, vugs and veils. The nuclei of some other thick dolomite crystals are leached and leave micropores. Skeletal fragments of echinoids and foraminifera are observed.

This stone is classified as a fossiliferous micritic limestone (Folk, 1962) and as a wackestone (Dunham, 1962) (Fig. 6a, and b).

S2 is an ooid limestone characterised by medium- scale crossbedding and a vuggy fabric with ophichmora burrows and cemented roots. It shows homogeneous granulometry. Ooid grains are well sorted and medium- to coarse-grained, with sparse fossils debris as echinoid spines. It is crossbedded, almost devoid of skeletal components and consists almost exclusively of ooids and coated grains. Ooids display concentric laminae. The nuclei of ooids are mostly carbonates, but there are also quartz nuclei. In addition to normal ooids with composite coatings (0.1 mm average thickness), the ooid cortices consist of alternating clear thick lamellae and dark thin micritic laminae made up of minute
grains. Cortices and/or the nuclei of many ooids have been dissolved leaving oo-moldic porosity. The molds are sharply defined, commonly surrounded by micrite rims and in some cases partially filled by calcite cements. The interparticle porosity is occluded by calcite cements. The equant spar of calcite is the volumetrically most important cement. These cements were precipitated as isopachous rims, filling interparticle, intraparticle and oo-moldic porosity. The calcite cement surrounds the ooids grains and reduces more substantially the primary as well as the secondary porosity.

This stone is classified as an oosparite (Folk, 1962) and as a grainstone (Dunham, 1962) (Fig. 6c, and d).

S3 has a greater distribution of bioclasts and grain size than S2 and is an ooid limestone. Ooid grains are sorted, and they are medium-to coarse-grained, with fossils debris as echinoid spines, bivalves, corals,
green algae and pebbles with a diameter that can be greater than 1 cm (Fig. 4).

Ooids display concentric laminae (Fig. 6c and d). Their nuclei are mostly carbonates, but there are also quartz nuclei. In addition to normal ooids with composite coatings (0.1 mm average thickness), there are superficial ooids having only one coating. Ooids have relatively thin cor-

Figure 6. Crossed Nicol microscopic images of the four masonry stones types. a-b: sample S1; c-d: sample S2; e-f: sample S3; g-h: sample S4. (B: Bivalve; ES: echinoid spines; F: foraminifer; G: gastropod; MP: moldic pore; Qtz: quartz; Oo: oolites; P: pore; VP: vuggy porosity).
tices compared to the size of their nuclei. The composition of the ooid grains varies from aragonite, their primary mineralogy, to complete replacement by calcite. Cortices and/or the nuclei of many ooids have been dissolved. It is very porous mostly oo-moldic, bio-moldic and burrows, with some interparticle, microporosity in clasts. The molds are sharply defined, commonly surrounded by micrite rims and in some cases partially filled by calcite cements. The interparticle porosity is occluded by calcite cements. Components show little cement by equant blocky spar and a few micritic envelops. The equant spar of calcite is the volumetrically most important cement in S3. These cements were precipitated as isopachous rims, filling pores.

This stone is classified as an oosparite (Folk, 1962) and as a grainstone (Dunham, 1962) (Fig. 6e and f).

S4 is poorly sorted and shows heterogeneous granulometry. It is characterised by heterogeneous and random fossils debris of echinoid spines, bivalves, corals, and gastropods with a diameter that can be greater than 3 cm. It has extraclasts (small clasts of quartz, fossiliferous limestone and dolomite). It is mainly composed of poorly consolidated mud, and it is poorly cemented. The dominant porosity is intragranular and bio-moldic, vuggy fabric (Fig. 4). It is classified as a biomicrite (Folk, 1962) and as a packstone (Dunham, 1962) (Fig. 6g and h).

X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

S1 is composed of dolomite (main mineral), calcite, and ankerite; S2 is composed of calcite and aragonite in low proportion; S3 is composed of calcite and S4 is composed of calcite, and dolomite and quartz in low proportion (Fig. 7).

Effective Porosity (Pe), Water Absorption and Bulk Density (ρb)

The values of Pe, water absorption and ρb were different in the four samples. Thus, S1 showed the lowest Pe. Water absorption showed a similar distribution, S1 showed the lowest value. ρb was clearly different for S1, with greater value (Table 1).

Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry (MIP)

S1 presented the lowest MIP of all analysed samples (7.77%), and its micropore size distribution was mainly concentrated on radius sizes between 0.001 and 0.01 µm. S2, S3 and S4 presented a similar value of MIP. Although their radius size distribution varied from one sample to another one. Sample S2 showed an MIP of 22.29%; the highest percentage of micropores had a radius of around 1 µm. S3 had the highest MIP of the three samples analysed with 23.10%. Its pores were concentrated in a range of radius size between 10 and 100 µm, that is, macropores. Sample S4 showed a MIP of 21.96%. Fig. 8 shows MIP radius size distribution of the four samples.

Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (Vp)

The building stones have shown wide-ranging Vp values, indicating their different quality. S1 has had the highest Vp. S2 showed an inter-

Figure 7. XRD results. Ank: ankerite; Arg: aragonite; Cal: calcite; Dol: dolomite; Qtz: quartz.
mediate value of $V_p$, and S3 and S4 have shown the lowest $V_p$ values (see Table 1).

### Colour

All building stones showed light colours and high luminosity ($L^*$).

| Table 1. Mean values of effective porosity ($P_e$), water absorption, bulk density ($\rho_b$) and ultrasound pulse velocity ($V_p$) of the analysed building stones |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| S1              | 2.0 ± 0.2      | 0.8 ± 0.1       | 2537.0 ± 16     | 5912 ± 201      |
| S2              | 8.1 ± 0.8      | 4.6 ± 0.5       | 1752.7 ± 19     | 3651 ± 102      |
| S3              | 22.1 ± 1.3     | 13.3 ± 1.2      | 1666.3 ± 47     | 2840 ± 190      |
| S4              | 20.1 ± 1.0     | 11.6 ± 0.6      | 1729.8 ± 15     | 2893 ± 169      |

| Table 2. Mean values of colour parameters (dry and wet state) of the analysed building stones |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Dry color       | Wet color      | $\Delta$        |
| $L^*$           | $a^*$          | $b^*$           | $\Delta L^*$    | $\Delta a^*$    | $\Delta b^*$    | $\Delta E^*$    |
| S1              | 83.0 ± 5.8     | 0.6 ± 0.1       | 4.3 ± 1.2       | 77.8 ± 2.8      | 1.3 ± 0.3       | 7.5 ± 1.4       | 5.2              | -0.7            | -3.2            | 6.2 |
| S2              | 82.3 ± 0.8     | 2.3 ± 0.2       | 11.8 ± 1.1      | 74.2 ± 1.0      | 3.4 ± 0.3       | 16.3 ± 0.6      | 8.0              | -1.1            | -4.5            | 9.3 |
| S3              | 78.9 ± 2.0     | 3.4 ± 1.1       | 15.2 ± 1.5      | 73.1 ± 1.6      | 4.5 ± 0.3       | 18.5 ± 1.8      | 5.9              | -1.1            | -3.3            | 6.8 |
| S4              | 82.5 ± 1.8     | 1.1 ± 0.5       | 10.0 ± 0.9      | 75.5 ± 3.3      | 1.5 ± 0.4       | 12.4 ± 1.5      | 7.0              | -0.4            | -2.4            | 7.4 |

Foot notes: $L^*$: lightness; $a^*$: red-green value; $b^*$: blue-yellow value; $\Delta E^*$: colour difference.

$L^*$ was reduced slightly in the wet state. S1 and S4 were the whitest, both in dry and wet state. S2 and S3 were more yellow than S1 and S4, both in dry and wet state. No sample has experienced a considerable $\Delta E^*$ between the dry and the wet state, S2 showed the highest $\Delta E^*$ value (see, Table 2 and Fig. 9).
Thermal and Hydric Dilatometry

Fig. 10 shows the relative length change (ε (mm/m)) as a function of temperature for S1. The behaviour is similar in both dry and wet conditions, with a continuous length change and without residual strain (Strohmeyer, 2003; Gräf et al., 2013; Menningen et al., 2018). The mean values of the thermal dilatation coefficient, α, range from $9.68 \times 10^{-6} \text{K}^{-1}$ in dry condition to $10.84 \times 10^{-6} \text{K}^{-1}$ in wet condition (Table 3).

Discussion and Archaeological Considerations

S1 has the best hydric properties: low $Pe$ and microporosity, that produces low water absorption and high values of $V_p$ (> 5,900 m/s). S1 is a high-quality building stone when compared to others $V_p$ values of widely used building stones (Fort et al., 2013; Freire-Lista and Fort, 2019).

Its thermal dilatation values shown in Table 3 (mean 9.68 and $10.29 \times 10^{-6} \text{K}^{-1}$ for dry and wet conditions respectively) are more than twice the mean value of other carbonate dimension stones (Leite and Moura, 2013). Vendrell-Saz et al. (1996) mention values between $1.5 \times 10^{-6} \degree\text{C}^{-1}$ and $4 \times 10^{-6} \degree\text{C}^{-1}$ for a limestone building stone. High values of α, together with high temperatures that reach the surface stones of the hamada and the cooling due to rain will produce a rapid surface contraction that causes the fragmentation of the Umm Bab Member. Texture, grain size and thermal dilatation of stones are the main factors that determine the rate of thermal decay due to, among other causes, physical-chemical processes that act to break bonds at cracks tips (Rong et al., 2018; Al-Omari et al., 2019; Jin et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2019; Shen et al., 2019). Cracking proceeds even when only extremely low stresses are applied to the stone (akin to very small pulling forces). These stresses can be due to occurrences as simple and ubiquitous as daily heating and cooling by the sun (Eppes and Keanini, 2017). Cracks with orientations not readily attributable to rock anisotropies or shape of boulders or cobbles form due to tensile stresses caused by directional heating and cooling during the sun's daily transit and sporadic rains. Rock size and pavement surface age exert an influence on the development of stone cracks as the average clast size of mature desert pavements may be at or below the threshold-clast size for thermal cracking of stones.

As observed in the wall sections, the masonry stones of S1 are the smallest and their form is mostly controlled by thermal cracks. S1 was
collected from the surface of the hamada, to be used mainly as filling stones in the inner cores between the masonry wall faces and wedges. They constitute approximately 15% of the masonry stones in the analysed walls.

S2 has greater compactness and cementation than S3 and S4 which showed the lowest values of $V_p$, due to their high macroporosity. However, S2 and S3 have high moldic porosity and they showed the highest microporosity, since many ooid nuclei are dissolved. Some of the ooids of S2 still retain the original aragonite, while others stabilised to low-Mg calcite (Holail, 2005). Quartz nuclei were observed in ooids of samples S2 and S3 with petrographic microscopy. The XRD data have not detected the presence of this mineral due to its low content. This why the characterisation of heritage stones for the location of historical quarries must be multi-analytical, with petrographic microscopy being an essential technique (Antonelli et al., 2009, 2015).

It is possible that S2 was extracted from the top of Jebel Fuwairit fossil dunes, which has a wind origin and similar petrographic characteristics than S2 (Gupta and Poppelreiter, 2010). The quarrying on Jebel Fuwairit fossil dunes (Fig. 11) was facilitated by the cross-bedded strata sets in pseudohorizontal planes, along with the desiccation cracks filled with muddy material (pseudovertical planes).

Pleistocene oolites (S2 and S3) were used mainly as stretcher blocks; they constitute approximately 5% of the stones in the analysed walls.

The quarry area of Jebel Fuwairit has an inscription which shows two lines of Arabic above a complex geometric petroglyph (Fig. 11c). We interpret the inscription as a celebration of the building of a new sheikhly residence around 1900 AD by the headman of Fuwairit, Nasr bin Shahin Al-Tuwar, using stones quarried from the location of the inscription. It is one of two Arabic inscriptions in the area by sheikhs of the Tuwar family, a leading branch of the Bu Kuwara tribe, the last residents of the site. Arabic inscriptions of any date are exceptionally rare in the region (leaving aside graffiti of the second half of the 20th century).

Figure 11. a-c Vestiges of historical quarries. Blocks were individualised following fossil desiccation cracks. c. Sheikh’s inscription, early 20th century.
century and later). Nasr bin Shahin had led his section of the Bu Kuwara to Fuwairit to resettle their ancestral town in the very late 19th or first few years of the 20th century AD. The wording of the inscription of Nasr, ḥadath āwal lil Būkūwāra, Nāṣr bin Shāhīn Ṭūwar translates as “a foremost event for the Bu Kuwara, Nasr Bin Shahin Tuwar”, and the geometric petroglyph below it resembles a house plan. The petroglyph’s outlines and proportions bear a striking resemblance to the wall outlines of a very large compound in the centre of the site (clearly visible in a 1948 aerial image).

S3 and S4 showed the highest $P_e$ and MIP because these stones have abundant bio-moldic pores that can reach diameters of up to centimetres. S4 presents heterogeneity in grain size, from clay to centimeter-sized bioclasts, with high intragrannular and bio-moldic porosity and it is poorly consolidated. In addition, S4 has uncemented mud, which plays a fundamental role in its deterioration because, mud leachate produces disintegration of the bioclasts. Rough and undressed masonry beachrocks (S4) constitute approximately 80% of the stones in the analysed walls. They were installed mainly as header masonry stones in the double-skinned walls following coursed random rubble masonry. These masonry stones possibly come from the excavation for the foundations of the buildings.

The rubble of the archaeological site of Fuwairit shows a large amount of disaggregated bioclasts from S3 and S4 (Fig. 12), which are more susceptible to dissolution and disintegration due to their high porosity and low compactness. Therefore, S1 and S2 masonry stones were better preserved in the ruins and were found in greater percentage than S3 and specially S4 masonry stones, which had broken down and lost volume. This same process, although in an incipient state, can be observed in the ruins of the walls of Fig. 5.

Characterisation of representative walls is fundamental to understanding the structural behaviour of a wall (Theodoridou and Török, 2019). Coursed rubble is the cheapest and roughest type of stone masonry. It is typically made up of stones extracted directly from the quarry with minimal efforts used to modify the corners of the stones before they are laid. Generally, the blocks of stone are irregular in shape and dimension. The courses are regular for better mechanical performance of the walls.

Materials with similar chromatic parameters should be used for possible future reconstructions. All masonry stones have undergone a colour difference between dry and wet state visible to the naked eye ($\Delta E^*$ values $> 6$). S2 has experienced greater $\Delta E^*$, possibly due to its high microporosity, which causes water retention in it.

Figure 12. a. General view of the building’s ruins of the Fuwairit archaeological site. b. Detail of the rubbles with the remains of the masonry stones and disaggregated masonry stone material.
Conclusions

S1 (Umm Bab Member limestone) had low porosity and water absorption, and high bulk density and ultrasonic pulse velocity. According to its petrographic and petrophysical properties, this stone has the best quality for use as a building stone. S2 and S3 (Pleistocene oolites from Jebel Fuwairit fossil dunes) have an intermediate quality. According to the data of Pe, water absorption, pb, MIP and Vp, the durability of S2 and S3 is lower than S1. S4 (Holocene beachrock) has the worst quality as building stones. It has low Vp, low cementation and a high percentage of mud, causing disintegration of the stone.

The sources of the building stones of Fuwairit archaeological site are in the immediate vicinity of the site, minimizing transport costs. The stones were installed directly from the source area without dressing and each type of masonry stone was used for a specific structural function within the wall.

The fact that S1 was not used as the main building stone, despite its favorable petrophysical properties, may indicate that Fuwairit’s buildings were conceived to be ephemeral, prioritizing a fast and functional construction and, using the nearest and lowest quality stones as the main building material. Most Holocene masonry (S4) have disintegrated due to weathering, which caused the collapse of the building walls. The Pleistocene masonry stones (S2, S3), and especially Eocene masonry stones (S1), have conserved their size without great variation since their installation, and they have higher resistance to weathering than Holocene stones.

Rubble masonry of undressed and rough stone blocks was used for the buildings. Walls are formed by dressed random rubble masonry between two skins of walling made of unshaped stones arranged in courses of similar height.

Possible reconstruction of buildings at the archaeological site should consider that the most-used original stone was the very low quality Holocene beachrock, whose masonry stones have disintegrated with weathering. If this stone is substituted for another, the colour parameters of the replacement stone should be similar to the original one.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted under the remit of the QNRF-funded Origins of Doha and Qatar Project, funded through the grants awarded to this project (NPRP 5-421-6-010 and the renewal, NPRP 8-1655-6-064) in collaboration with Qatar Museums and Qatar Foundation. Warm personal thanks are also due to Nasr bin Shahin bin ‘Isa Al-Tuwair (current head of the Al Tuwar) and Ali bin Muhammad Al-Tuwair, for their hospitality, and for sharing their family history. The Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) of Portugal supported the first author with the Stimulus of Scientific Employment, Individual Support 2017. CEECIND/03568/2017.

References


Gris, V., Jameck, M., Rohatsch, A., and Tschegg, E., 2013, Effects of thermal-heating cycle treatment on thermal expansion behavior of different
David Martín Freire-Lista holds an European PhD in Geology and Geological Engineering and a Master in Environmental Geology and Geological Resources. His research is mainly focused on petrophysics and decay of geomaterials. He has special interest on Non-Destructive Techniques for protection, conservation and restoration of cultural heritage. He is currently working at the Centre of Geosciences (CGeo-UTAD) of Portugal. He has worked at College of London University at Qatar; Geosciences Institute in Madrid, Spain, Department of Biology, Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Calabria (Italy) and Bureau of Economic Geology (University of Texas at Austin, USA).

Robert Carter has long-standing interests in the archaeology and history of the Persian Gulf, with publications ranging from the first trading networks and pearl fishers of the Neolithic period, through to the historic pearl fishery in the 20th century AD. His current interests focus on the Gulf towns, and since 2012 he has investigated the people, archaeology, history, urban life and architecture of the Qatari towns in the pre-oil and early oil period, with the Origins of Doha and Qatar Project. This multidisciplinary project is funded by the Qatar National Research Fund. He is currently Visiting Professor at UCL Qatar.

Luís Sousa works at the University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro (Portugal) since 1990. He holds a PhD in geology since 2001. Dr. Luís Sousa develops its scientific activity in geological resources. His research is mainly focused on building stones, namely quarrying, physical-mechanical characterisation and weathering control. He has written about 30 publications in international journals.